



Damage Prevention Committee

**Summaries and Actions
from the
Meeting of October 5, 2021**



Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List
October 5, 2021**

Omnibus Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
12957	Facility Owner: UGI Project Owner: Pennsylvania American Water	<p>On 1/9/2020 7:06:00 AM at 258 WYOMING AVE, KINGSTON BORO, LUZERNE Incident occurred at 258 Wyoming Ave, Kingston Borough, Luzerne Co. ***No Damage</p> <p>PA American Water provided sufficient information to remove all three penalties. DPI agrees with the information provided.</p> <p>AVR submitted by UGI states that PA American Water submitted emergency tickets that were not an emergency as described in Act 50. On January 09, 2020, emergency ticket #20200090114 was placed by Pennsylvania American Water for a leaky fire hydrant, with comments that the work crew was "en route" to 258 Wyoming Ave, Luzern Co., Kingston Borough.</p> <p>AVR submitted by PA American Water states that they were notified by the Kingston Fire Department that the hydrant was leaking. The first emergency call was to check hydrant and make the repair. Crew could not get the valve to shut off to replace the hydrant, therefore called in another Emergency One Call 4 days later, as shutting down the valve would have a very large high rise out of water. On January 13, 2020, shows that another emergency ticket # 20200130126 was placed for a leaky fire hydrant and again, that work crew is "en route" to the same address as the ticket that was placed on January 9, just 4 days earlier. PA American Water explained that this was a Highrise building that would have affected very many people for a long time, if this was not placed as an emergency. The repair was completed on the second emergency ticket and the tickets were not in violation of Section 5(9). Pennsylvania American Water response in KARL was clear no facilities to tickets #20200090114 and 20200130126. This is the same facility that called in the emergency for a hydrant leak. This is a third offense violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty is applied to each ticket.</p>	Pennsylvania American Water: \$0.00
12519	Facility Owner: WESTMORELAND CO MUNI AUTH OF Contractor/Excavator: General Trade Corp Project Owner: General Trade Corp Other: City of McKeesport	<p>On 1/14/2020 12:00:00 PM at 275 CENTER ST, MCKEESPORT CITY, ALLEGHENY 8/23/2021 Second AVR request was emailed to General Trade Corporation. 8/10/2021 Pre Discussion Notes: The City of McKeesport owned this area prior to MAWC ownership. Education and help to fill out an AVR was offered to General Trade Corp. DPI to contact contractor to get any additional information. Incident occurred on January 14, 2020, at 275 Center St. in Mckeesport City, Allegheny Co. Almost a year after the original ticket 20190853646 was placed. The Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County had to repair a main water line that was damaged by General Trade Corporation, while they were using a</p>	General Trade Corp: \$2,000.00 Section 5(2.2) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(3.1) 1st Offense \$250.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>trackhoe/backhoe to complete road maintenance PA. This water main is the primary feed supplying the water for City of Duquesne for water and fire protection.</p> <p>AVR from Westmoreland Co Municipal Authority alleges that this project by General Trade Corp. (project owner and the excavator) should have been submitted as a complex project. There was one ticket 20190853646 and a renotification ticket 20190853646-001 received March 29, 2019. The tickets specify that the area is Approximately 1800FT W and 1500FT NE of the McKeesport Bridge. The tickets do not show the work expanse and duration that was to be done at this location. This is a violation of Section 5(13) and penalty applied.</p> <p>General Trade Corp is in violation of Section 5(2.2) for failing to provide exact information to identify the worksite. Besides requesting a broad area to be marked, it is unclear where specific parts of the project are to take place. Where is the road work, electrical drop service or retention pond on this land? I have attached pictures from Google to show the area requested in ticket 20190853646. General Trade Corp was developing this site. They are listed as the project owner and excavator. The tickets were submitted to excavate a retention pond, road work and electric drop service. The scope of this project exceeds the maximum area of a routine ticket as established by the one call system regarding the maximum area that a notification can cover. This is a violation of Section 5(3.1) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Ticket 20190853646 is prior to July 2019, when the complex project was defined in ACT 50. As the project owner, General Trade Corp is also responsible for violation of Section 6.1(1) failed to use sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of four hundred thousand dollars ((\$4000,000.) or more. This is prior to July 2019, so no violation or penalty applied.</p> <p>This project was released to bid or construction before final design was complete. There is no design submitted. This is a violation of Section 6.1(3) no violation or penalty given. DPC set guidelines for Design Tickets on Jan 1, 2020. There were no design or preconstruction meetings recorded.</p> <p>AVR was requested from General Trade Corp. No AVR was received. This is a violation of Section 5(16) and penalty is applied. General Trade Corp did not respond to any emails from this investigator. This is a violation of Section 5(17) and penalty applied.</p> <p>MAWC did mark the area and pictures were submitted. A renotification ticket was requested, but MAWC explained that the reason for the renotification was that General Trade Corp added that they wanted to know the depth of the MAWC facilities. MAWC stated they had marked the area by the due date and logged that in KARL. Since no AVR was received from General Trade Corp, I conclude that this is correct information.</p> <p>General Trade Corp did not use prudent techniques. This is a violation of Section 5(4) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>AVR from MAWC describes that the water main is their primary feed to supply the city of Duquesne with water and fire protection. As of this date, MAWC is still calculating</p>	<p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(19) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>City of McKeesport: \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the number of customers who were affected, as well as temporary repairs, permanent repairs and loss of revenues related to the damage.</p> <p>The work site specifies 275 Center St. and then more information is added. One call was not able to capture all the added information because the sentence ends with "and". So accurate information was not provided to the One Call System. This is a violation of Section 5(19) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Ticket response Violations: Citation 2(5)(v) is applied to the City of McKeesport for not responding to ticket # 20190853646.</p>	
13909	<p>Facility Owner: Frontier Communications Solutions Contractor/Excavator: PennDOT Project Owner: PENNDOT</p>	<p><u>On 3/11/2020 11:00:00 AM at SR 4002, FOX TWP, SULLIVAN</u> Incident occurred on 3/11/2020 in Fox Township, Sullivan County, PA.</p> <p>Penn Dot was installing an inlet box, when they hit and damaged a Frontier Communications cable.</p> <p>AVR from PennDot stated that the Frontier Communication lines were mismarked. Photos were provided.</p> <p>No AVR or pictures were received from Frontier Communications. The pictures received from Penn Dot show orange markings going into the location where the equipment is in the trench. The pictures that show the damaged cable do not give enough information to show the location of the markings in perspective of where the cable is.</p> <p>Frontier Communications has conflicting information on the one call tickets. New excavation ticket 20202590972 response is Field marked. Emergency ticket 20200710838 schedules mark, then two hours later responds with insufficient Info. These interim responses are a third offense violation of section 2(5)(vii) and penalty is applied.</p>	<p>Frontier Communications Solutions: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 3rd Offense \$2,000.00</p> <p>PennDOT: \$0.00</p>
15199	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas Contractor/Excavator: BURKENTINE AND SONS BUILDERS</p>	<p><u>On 5/7/2020 12:50:00 PM at 172 Winifred Dr, WEST MANHEIM TWP, YORK</u> Incident occurred on Thursday, May 7, 2020, on Winifred Drive in West Manheim Township, York County.</p> <p>The Burkentine and Sons Builders crew was using a shovel to expose a 2-inch plastic gas main line and for backfill; for their third dig and backfill of that day, a 2-inch line was nicked with the shovel but there was no gas blowing. From the Columbia Gas investigation, it was determined that the gas main, more than 10% of the wall thickness was compromised which had to be cut out and replaced.</p> <p>Columbia Gas provided pictures of the damage.</p> <p>Burkentine states that the excavation ticket had expired but their equipment never left the job site and markings were still visible. Columbia Gas stated the gas facilities were still identified from the previous one call tickets in the area. Burkentine stated that all active job sites have been updated with excavation tickets.</p> <p>Columbia Gas has said that Burkentine requested additional gas safety presentations for their employees and their subcontractors, and they asked for Columbia Gas to be a part of their new hire process.</p> <p>Because Burkentine and Sons Builders have been calling in update tickets for facility remarks and has taken the initiative to have gas safety presentations from Columbia Gas, I'm recommending no violation and penalties for Burkentine.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
16074	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Property Management Services</p>	<p><u>On 6/5/2020 12:21:00 PM at 515 Alder St, SCRANTON CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> Incident occurred on 6/25/20 at 515 Alder Street, Scranton City, Lackawanna County.</p> <p>On June 5, 2020 UGI was notified of a gas leak at 515 Alder St., Scranton. Property Management Services was excavating and had struck UGI's line with a backhoe. Property Management Services did not have a One Call Ticket for this excavation. UGI states that an unknown party called 911. It is not clear whether it was the excavator or one of the residents that called.</p> <p>On November 19, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an email asking Property Management Services for an AVR. To date, no AVR has been received.</p> <p>Property Management Services is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. Education is mandatory in addition to fines. Because of their lack of cooperation and good-faith effort to comply with the law, I recommend no reduction in penalties and mandatory education.</p>	<p>Property Management Services: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
15572	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: PMI Energy Solutions Contractor/Excavator: PMI ENERGY SOLUTIONS Project Owner: PECO Energy Other: Philadelphia City Water Department Other: VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA, LLC</p>	<p><u>On 6/16/2020 1:00:00 PM at 84TH ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on Tuesday, June 16, 2020, on 84th Street in Philadelphia City.</p> <p>While working for PECO, the PMI Energy Solutions crew was replacing a pole and during the use of an auger, at approximately 4-feet into the ground, an unmarked streetlight wire- secondary voltage was hit. The PMI employee immediately stopped working to assess the situation and called PECO and their PMI management team. PMI explained in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that before doing the job there was a complete walk down and job briefing, and the PMI Foreman reviewed the New Excavation Routine ticket 20201530724 to verify the responses and to make sure it was safe to start the excavation. Also, PMI stated that the ticket was all clear and there were no locate marks found where the PMI crew would be safely digging with the auger. One picture was provided by PMI, but it does not show the damaged line / no hit kit.</p> <p>PECO stated they responded to the New Excavation Routine ticket 20201530724 on 6/3/20 as Field Marked and said PMI started augering on 6/16/20 without calling One Call for a remark. Also, PECO believes PMI did not call in the correct location on the original ticket 20201530724 and stated PMI did not have a copy of PECO's secondary prints in their work package. PECO did not provide pictures.</p> <p>On April 1, 2021 emails were sent to PECO and PMI requesting pictures and additional information that was not in the AVR. As of April 7, 2021 there were no responses to the email.</p> <p>*PECO response was "Field Marked" for the following Excavation Routine tickets: 20201530724, 20201530748, 20201672295, 20201672309 and 20201672326.</p>	<p>Philadelphia City Water Department: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA, LLC: \$3,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Philadelphia City Water Department responded as “Scheduled Mark”, but they did not follow-up with “Clear No Facilities” or “Field Marked on the following Excavation Routine tickets: 20201530724, 20201530748, 20201672309 and 20201672326.</p> <p>*Verizon responded as “Conflict Difficulty”, but they did not follow-up with “Clear No Facilities” or “Field Marked on the following Excavation Routine tickets: 20201672295, 20201672309 and 20201672326.</p> <p>~20201530724 New Excavation Routine, on 6/1/2020 at 0854 due 6/3/20 Location Info: Pole 66885B is 475 feet W. from the Inter from Lindbergh Blvd on the left. Pole is marked in white, and road is marked. Coordinates are 39 53 53 and 75 15 13. Pole is across from a large construction site. Please mark 20 feet radius of the pole.</p> <p>~20201530748 Update Excavation Routine, on 6/1/2020 at 0857 due 6/3/20 Reason for Update: Work not started; no additional mark outs needed. Location Info: Working at the SW corner of the inter. Coordinates are 39 53 51 and 75 15 10. Pole is marked in white and in white on the grass, new pole will go between poles 6083B and 60830D. Please mark 20 feet radius of the location.</p> <p>~20201672295 New Excavation Routine, on 6/15/2020 at 1228 due 6/17/20 Ticket Remarks: This is an update. Work is in progress. Remarking’s are needed. Location Information: Pole 66885B is 475 feet W. from the Inter from Lindbergh Blvd on the left. Pole is marked in white, and road is marked. Coordinates are 39 53 53 and 75 15 13. Pole is across from a large construction site. Please mark 20 feet radius of the pole.</p> <p>~20201672309 New Excavation Routine, on 6/15/20 at 1231 due 6/17/20 Ticket Remarks: This is an update. Work is in progress. Remarking’s are needed. Location Information: Working at the NW corner of the inter. Coordinates are 39 53 52 and 75 15 08. Pole is marked in the white and in white on the grass. Mark 30 feet radius of the location.</p> <p>~20201672326 New Excavation Routine, on 6/15/20 at 1233 due 6/17/20 Ticket Remarks: This is an update. Work is in progress. Remarking’s are needed. Location Information: Working at the SW corner of the inter. Coordinates are 39 53 51 and 75 15 10. Pole is marked in white and in white on the grass, new pole will go between poles 6083B and 60830D. Please mark 20 feet radius of the location.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*PMI Energy Solutions, Recommending: No violation. There are no pictures prior to the excavation and no pictures of the damage.</p> <p>*Philadelphia City Water Department is in violation of 4 counts of Section 2.5(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Tickets: 20201530724 and 20201530748. PWD responded "scheduled mark" to both tickets but did not finalize a response. I am recommending education in addition to penalties.</p> <p>*Verizon is in violation of 3 counts of Section 2.5(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Tickets: 20201672295, 20201672309 and 20201672326.</p>	
15979	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES NATURAL GAS Contractor/Excavator: HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 6/23/2020 11:54:00 AM at 219 Emerson St., VANDERGRIFT BORO, WESTMORELAND</u> Incident occurred on 6/23/2020 at 219 Emerson Street, Vandergrift Borough, Westmoreland County.</p> <p>Homeowner drove a metal pole into the ground and struck People's gas line. There was no One Call Ticket.</p> <p>Homeowner is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket and will be sent a warning notice.</p>	<p>HOMEOWNER: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15884	<p>Contractor/Excavator: BRUBACHER EXCAVATING Project Owner: AQUA PA Other: PECO Energy Other: Verizon Pennsylvania Other: West Chester Borough</p>	<p><u>On 6/24/2020 1:00:00 PM at 9 N DARLINGTON ST, WEST CHESTER BORO, CHESTER</u> PECO accepted the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(viii) and disputed the violation and penalty for Section 2(4) and sent in PECO Exhibit 1 - Dispute. The DPI agrees with PECO's dispute and additional exhibit and has removed the violation and penalty for Section 2(4) on the basis of the response to the design ticket being an acceptable response at the time it was made.</p> <p>Incident occurred on June 24, 2020, at 9 N. Darlington St., West Chester Borough, Chester County.</p> <p>Brubacher Excavating was working to install a new water main for Aqua Pennsylvania when they struck an unmarked 1-inch water service line. Aqua concurs in their AVR that the line was unmarked.</p> <p>Aqua is cited for failing to mark the service line or it's connection to the existing main.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for responding late to Excavation Ticket 20201553035, Response due 6/5, Verizon did not respond until 6/8 when they marked their line. Verizon is also cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20200630481. Response was due 3/5 and the meeting was held on 3/6. Verizon made no response until 3/16 when they responded "Clear". Given that Verizon had lines to mark on the excavation ticket 20201553035, Verizon should have been at the complex project meeting. Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20200082499. Response was due 1/23. Verizon responded "Conflict" on 1/9 but did not make a final response and there is no evidence that Verizon made contact with any</p>	<p>AQUA PA: \$1,250.00 Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PECO Energy: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$4,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>West Chester Borough: \$750.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>one. Given Verizon's history of violations for failure to respond to tickets, all penalties are subsequent amounts.</p> <p>PECO Energy is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20200630481. PECO Field marked the area, so they should have been at this Complex Project Meeting. They instead responded "Conflict" on the due date, but there is no evidence that PECO attended the meeting. PECO is also cited for failing to respond to Design Ticket 20200082499. PECO responded "Conflict" on 1/9 but made no final response to the design ticket.</p> <p>West Chester Borough is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20200630481, making no response to the ticket. West Chester is further cited for failing to respond to Design Ticket 20200082499.</p>	
16067	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: CENTRAL PENN DIRECTIONAL DRILLING INC Project Owner: MET-ED, FirstEnergy Corp.</p>	<p><u>On 6/29/2020 8:00:00 AM at 3 SUMMIT DR, MONAGHAN TWP, YORK</u> Incident occurred on 6/29/20 at 3 Summit Dr, Monaghan Twp., York County.</p> <p>On June 29, 2020, CPDD was excavating to expose a correctly marked Verizon line when they severed the line with a shovel instead. CPDD has admitted that they struck the line and that it was marked properly. CPDD does state that the line was only 5-inches below grade, however the law does not recognize depth as an excuse for striking a line, and Verizon is repeatedly reported as having their lines at depths of as little as 2-inches, so a shallow line would not be unexpected.</p> <p>On October 19, 2020, DPI Locke sent a courtesy AVR email to Verizon. As of May 24, 2021, Verizon did not respond with an AVR.</p> <p>No parties have been cited.</p>	
16031	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Contractor/Excavator: DOLI Construction Corporation Project Owner: Upper Pottsgrove Township Other: FirstEnergy / Met Ed Other: PECO Energy Other: Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p><u>On 6/30/2020 12:00:00 PM at ROSE VALLEY RD, UPPER POTTS GROVE TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 6/20/20 on Rose Valley Rd., Upper Pottsgrove Township, Montgomery County.</p> <p>**Please note that except for Comcast, tickets that were not responded to and entities that did not attend the Complex Project Meeting were already cited in case no 015697***</p> <p>On June 30, 2020, Doli struck an unmarked Comcast line. Comcast had responded "field marked" to the One Call Tickets, however, they responded "clear no facilities" to the Complex Project Ticket and did not attend the meeting.</p> <p>On October 16, 2020, DPI Locke sent AVR request emails to Comcast and Upper Pottsgrove Township. LTL Consultants submitted an AVR on behalf of Upper Pottsgrove on October 20, 2020. Comcast has not submitted an AVR as of May 25, 2021.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for failing to mark their line within 18 inches, and for failing to attend the Complex Project meeting 20201221099 responding "clear no facilities" to</p>	<p>Comcast: \$2,250.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the complex project when they had lines in the area as evidenced by their field marking for 3 excavation tickets placed for this project. Comcast is also cited for responding late to Update Excavation Routine ticket 20201710566. Response was due on 6/23 with a dig date of 6/24. Comcast did not respond until 16:45 on 6/24 (1 day late).</p>	
16184	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: DRAINMEN PLUMBING</p>	<p><u>On 6/30/2020 6:00:00 PM at 230 ROSEDALE DR, POTTSTOWN BORO, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 6/30/2020 at 230 Rosedale Drive, Pottstown Borough, Montgomery County.,</p> <p>Drainmen Plumbing was working at 230 Rosedale Drive in May 4 and 5, 2020, when they struck and damaged a PECO gas line. Drainmen did not report this damage to 911, PAOC or to PECO and instead buried the damaged line. PECO discovered the damage when a leak was reported at this address on June 30, 2020. PECO reviewed tickets of the area and Drainmen Plumbing was called out to survey the damage and admitted fault according to PECO's AVR. The excavator had pulled up on the service T, which caused it to crack and leak.</p> <p>On October 6, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR letter via email and hard copy to Drainmen Plumbing. To date Drainmen Plumbing has not submitted an AVR or made an attempt to reach out to the DPI.</p> <p>Drainmen Plumbing is cited for failing to exercise due care within the tolerance zone, failure to report any damage to PECO, burying the line after damaging it to cover up the damage, failure to notify 911 of any release of gas, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days Education is mandatory.</p>	<p>DRAINMEN PLUMBING: \$2,750.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
16137	<p>Facility Owner: PPL Electric Contractor/Excavator: K C Landscaping & Nursery Project Owner: Buckingham Heights Mobile Home Park</p>	<p><u>On 7/6/2020 10:00:00 AM at 191 Buckingham Heights MHP, SALEM TWP, WAYNE</u> Incident occurred on 7/6/2020, at 191 Buckingham Heights Rd., Salem Township, Wayne County.</p> <p>PPL has reported that K C Landscaping was called out by the mobile home park to make emergency repairs to their sewer lines. No One Call Ticket was placed, so the excavator hand-dug blindly for a while and then excavated with a trackhoe, severing PPL's primary line. Excavator claimed not to know anything about 811, so PPL recommended a One Call liaison to them. It is not known if the company ever spoke to a liaison, but they did not submit an AVR.</p> <p>On October 27, 2020, DPI Locke sent AVR letters to K C Landscaping and Buckingham Heights MHP. KC Landscaping called on 11/4 stating that they did not know about the requirements and they were having trouble accessing 811's website. DPI Locke gave them the phone number to call 811 so they can get their AVR submitted. KC Landscaping was very cooperative and would like education. Their AVR was submitted on November 11. Buckingham Heights MHP has not responded or submitted an AVR.</p>	<p>K C Landscaping & Nursery: \$500.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Buckingham Heights Mobile Home Park: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>KC Landscaping is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket, failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. I have reduced the AVR penalty to zero since they did not know about the AVR requirement. I am recommending a 50% reduction in the No One Call penalty if they complete education through PA 1 Call.</p> <p>Buckingham Heights MHP is cited for failing to submit an AVR. PPL states in their AVR that they recommended education to the woman they dealt with, and DPI Locke sent a letter requesting an AVR and received no response and no AVR. I recommend zero reduction in penalty and mandatory education since they did not seek education when it was offered.</p>	
17073	<p>Facility Owner: CENTURYLINK FORMERLY EMBARQ Contractor/Excavator: G B GROFT INC</p>	<p><u>On 7/20/2020 8:00:00 AM at 100 HERSHEY HEIGHTS RD, HANOVER BORO, ADAMS</u> Incident occurred on 7/20/2002 at 100 Hershey Heights Rd., Hanover Borough, Adams County.</p> <p>G B Groft Inc was excavating without a One Call Ticket and severed Centurylink's underground line with a backhoe. This excavator has placed One Call Tickets in the past.</p> <p>On October 27, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to G B Groft Inc. As of May 24, 2021, no AVR has been submitted and G B Groft Inc. has not attempted to make contact.</p> <p>G B Groft Inc. is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket, failure to respond to requests for information and for failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. I recommend education in addition to fines as this excavator has placed tickets in the past and should be aware of the requirements of Act 50.</p>	<p>G B GROFT INC: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
17763	<p>Facility Owner: National Fuel Contractor/Excavator: Penn Dot</p>	<p><u>On 7/21/2020 11:00:00 AM at 240 State St, ST MARYS CITY, ELK</u> Incident occurred on July 21, 2020 at 240 State Street, St Marys City, Elk County.</p> <p>National Fuel has reported that on July 21, 2020, PennDOT was excavating without a 1 Call ticket near their 6-inch medium-pressure gas main. Please see attached photos.</p> <p>On December 17, 2020, DPI Locke sent an email asking PennDOT to submit their AVR within 10 business days. PennDOT submitted their AVR the same day.</p> <p>No penalties have been applied. PennDOT was clearing out sediment, not digging.</p>	
17900	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 8/2/2020 3:12:00 PM at 27 Enterprise St, PLAINS TWP, LUZERNE</u> Homeowner struck UGI line with an auger while installing a fence. Homeowner did not have a One Call Ticket, but he did call 911 after striking the line.</p> <p>A warning has been recommended for this homeowner.</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
17872	<p>Facility Owner: West Penn Power Contractor/Excavator: P AND W CONSTRUCTION</p>	<p><u>On 8/3/2020 11:00:00 AM at 590 Main St, PROSPECT BORO, BUTLER</u> Incident occurred on 8/3/2020 at 590 Main Street, Prospect Borough, Butler County.</p>	<p>P AND W CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.: \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>COMPANY, INC. Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p>West Penn Power has reported that P&W Construction was excavating without a 1-Call Ticket and struck WPP's underground line. P&W did submit an emergency ticket through the One Call system after the strike.</p> <p>On December 29, 2020 DPI Andrade-Locke attempted to email an AVR letter to P&W, however the email provided by P&W Construction in their ticket was not valid. AVR letters were then mailed as hard-copies to both the excavator and homeowner on December 30, 2020. As of February 2, 2021, no AVRs have been received.</p> <p>P&W Construction is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. No penalties have been reduced for first-time offenses as the excavator has not acted in good faith by complying with requests for information. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
17971	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 8/6/2020 1:39:00 PM at 1807 Hill City Rd, CRANBERRY TWP, VENANGO</u> Incident occurred on August 6, 2020 at 1087 City Hill Rd., Cranberry Townshpi, Venango County.</p> <p>On August 6, 2020, Jonathan Felmlee was digging with a backhoe on his property when he struck a UGI gas line. He did call 911, but he did not have a One Call Ticket.</p> <p>On January 13, 2021, DPI Andrade sent a letter to Mr. Felmlee asking for an AVR. Mr. Felmlee submitted an AVR on 1/25/2021.</p> <p>Mr. Felmlee is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket before excavating and for failing to submit an AVR after striking a line. All penalties are reduced to \$0 for this homeowner.</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
17601	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - South Contractor/Excavator: Skeeter Trucking Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 8/8/2020 12:00:00 PM at 24 HIGHLAND ST, SMITHFIELD BORO, FAYETTE</u> Incident occurred on 8/8/2020 at 24 Highland Street, Smithfield Borough, Fayette County.</p> <p>The son-in-law of homeowner-excavator placed the One Call Ticket. Homeowner struck Columbia Gas line with hand tools on August 8 but did not report a strike until 8/10 when the homeowner reported smelling gas. None of the parties involved called 911 to report the strike or the leak and it does not appear that there was any escape of gas. Columbia has stated that they followed up with the parties involved for education.</p> <p>On November 4, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR email to the Son-in-Law who placed the ticket asking for an AVR since he seems to have been involved in the excavation. Skeeter Trucking submitted an AVR on the same day.</p> <p>Skeeter Trucking has admitted to striking the line with hand tools and to failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days. I am citing them only for failing to submit the AVR and I am reducing the penalty to a warning because they cooperated right away and Columbia Gas</p>	<p>Skeeter Trucking: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
18073	Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Terrance McQuirk	<p>states in their AVR that this party has received education from them.</p> <p><u>On 8/15/2020 12:00:00 PM at 923 Perryville Rd, PERRY TWP, CLARION</u> Incident occurred on 8/15/20 at 923 Perryville Rd., Perry Twp., Clarion County. UGI has reported that on August 15, 2020, Terrence McQuirk was excavating to install a driveway when he struck UGI's gas line with a skid steer. Mr. McQuirk did not have a 1 Call Ticket, he did not call 911 to report blowing gas, and he attempted to make a repair to the line himself.</p> <p>On January 13, 2021, DPI Andrade-Locke sent a letter requesting an AVR. As of July 21, 2021, no AVR has been received and Mr. McQuirk has not contacted the investigator.</p> <p>Mr. McQuirk is cited for failing to place a One Call ticket before excavating, failure to call 911 immediately when the strike caused gas to start leaking, failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike and failure to respond to requests for information. The penalty for failure to place a One Call ticket has been reduced to a warning due to the first-time offense from this individual. Training is mandatory. The penalty for failing to place a One Call ticket has been reduced by 50% and education is mandatory.</p>	<p>Terrance McQuirk: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
17885	Facility Owner: Equitrans Midstream Contractor/Excavator: East Dunkard Water Authority	<p><u>On 8/29/2020 10:00:00 AM at Wahula Road, CUMBERLAND TWP, GREENE</u> **NO DAMAGE** Incident occurred on 8/29/20 on Wahula Rd., Cumberland Twp., Greene County. Equitrans Midstream has reported that on August 29, 2020, East Dunkard Water Authority was excavating with a backhoe but without a One Call Ticket. Please see attached photos. East Dunkard states in their email and AVR that a previous excavation caused them to have a leak and to dig, and that they did not need a ticket because they had been out there before and they already know what was at that site. Their AVR states that a lack of office personnel on the weekends was a factor.</p> <p>On December 29, 2020, DPI Andrade sent an AVR email request to East Dunkard. On January 12, 2021 East Dunkard sent an email to DPI Andrade instead of an AVR. I have included this email in the report, and I have informed East Dunkard that they must submit an AVR in accordance with Act 50. East Dunkard submitted an AVR on January 13, 2021.</p> <p>East Dunkard Water Authority is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket prior to excavation, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of an Act 50 violation. Because we have no record of this company having previous violations and because they did submit an AVR after being notified, I am lowering the penalty for failing to submit an AVR to a warning. I have reduced the penalty for failure to place a One Call ticket by 50% with mandatory education. Penalty was not reduced to \$0 because this company does not have a solution to this problem.</p>	<p>East Dunkard Water Authority: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>I ask the Committee to urge East Dunkard to come up with a plan for placing tickets and responding to tickets over weekends as emergencies happen instead of waiting until they have personnel in the office.</p>	
18025	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: Property Owner</p>	<p><u>On 9/1/2020 1:00:00 PM at 100 PINE ST, COLWYN BORO, DELAWARE</u> On September 1, 2020, Mr. Felfelis (property owner, does not live at the address where the strike took place) was excavating without a One Call Ticket when he struck PECO's gas service line with a backhoe.</p> <p>On December 30, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent a courtesy AVR letter to Mr. Felfelis. As of January 22, 2021, no AVR has been submitted and Mr. Felfelis has not attempted to contact the investigator.</p> <p>Mr. Felfelis is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket before excavating with a backhoe.</p>	<p>Property Owner: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
17980	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: SOLID STATE MASONRY Project Owner: LEWISTOWN BORO Designer: EADS Group</p>	<p><u>On 9/8/2020 12:23:00 AM at S BROWN ST, LEWISTOWN BORO, MIFFLIN</u> ***Solid State Masonry disputes their penalty because they say they believed they could excavate prior to the lawful start date if they knew UGI had marked their lines already.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 9/8/20 on S. Brown Street, Lewistown Borough, Mifflin County. ***NO DAMAGE****</p> <p>UGI has reported that Solid State Masonry was excavating before their lawful start date. New Excavation Insufficient Ticket 20202522259 was an Insufficient Ticket placed on 9/8/20 with a lawful start date of 9/11/20. Solid State stated in their ticket that they would be excavating on 9/9/20. DPI Locke questioned UGI about whether they had actually seen Solid State excavating and they responded that Solid State had begun excavation when their locator arrived on 9/8/2020 (the day they placed the ticket). That email is attached to this case. Solid State Masonry also states in their AVR that they started before their lawful start date.</p> <p>On December 17, 2020 DPI Locke sent AVR email requests to Solid State and Lewistown Borough. There was no line strike so no AVR request was sent to EADS Group. Solid State Masonry submitted an AVR on January 7, 2021. Lewistown Borough did not respond.</p> <p>Solid State Masonry is cited for excavating without a valid One Call Ticket for starting their excavation before the lawful start date. Penalty reduced to by 50% with mandatory education for this first-time offender.</p> <p>Lewistown Borough is cited for failing to submit an AVR. Lewistown was notified on December 17, 2020 that an AVR was required and as of January 22, 2021 they have not responded. I am recommending no reduction in penalty as Lewistown was informed in the letter that they needed to file.</p>	<p>SOLID STATE MASONRY: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>LEWISTOWN BORO: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
18074	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator:</p>	<p><u>On 9/8/2020 10:45:00 AM at 831 ELIZABETH ST, TURTLE CREEK BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident</p>	<p>STAHL PLUMBING & HEATING: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>STAHL PLUMBING & HEATING Project Owner: JARED JESIH - HOME OWNER Other: Wilkinsburg-Penn Joint Sewer Authority</p>	<p>occurred on 9/8/20 at 831 Elizabeth Street, Turtle Creek Borough, Allegheny County.</p> <p>On September 8, 2020, Stahl Plumbing & Heating was excavating the day before their ticket was valid (Lawful start dates were September 9 to 18) when they struck a gas line belonging to Peoples Gas. Peoples states that there were markings visible from a previous mark-out for a different excavator and that the line was marked correctly. The excavator did not call 911 (excavator did contact Peoples) however there has been no evidence submitted proving that there was gas escaping from the line.</p> <p>On January 7, 2021, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR request letter via email to Stahl Plumbing. The letter to the homeowner/project owner had to be sent manually and was not sent until 1/13/2021. Stahl Plumbing submitted an AVR report on January 26, 2021.</p> <p>Stahl Plumbing & Heating is cited for excavating without a valid 1-Call ticket, using imprudent techniques within the tolerance zone since there was an old mark which was accurate, and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Training is mandatory.</p> <p>Wilkinsburg-Penn Joint Water Authority is cited for responding 1 day late to ticket No. 20202470915. The response was due on 9/8, Wilkinsburg-Penn did not respond until 9/9/2020. Because this is the first time we have seen this facility owner, the penalty has been reduced to a warning and training is mandatory.</p>	<p>Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Wilkinsburg-Penn Joint Sewer Authority: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
20126	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC. Contractor/Excavator: Cornman's Excavating Project Owner: B and B Masonry Other: Kuhn Communications</p>	<p><u>On 9/24/2020 10:36:00 AM at CARDINAL DR, SOUTHAMPTON TWP, CUMBERLAND ***NO DAMAGE***</u></p> <p>On September 24, 2020, UGI went out to locate their facilities when they found that their line had already been exposed by the excavator. Cornman's Excavating placed an insufficient excavation ticket on 9/23/20 saying they were going to excavate on 9/25/20. The lawful start date wasn't until 9/28. UGI is reporting that excavating began on or before the 24th when they found their line uncovered.</p> <p>On February 3, 2021, DPI Locke sent an AVR email to Cornman's Excavating. As of April 9, 2021 Cornman's Excavating has not responded.</p> <p>Cornman's Excavating is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of an incident. Cornman's is also cited for failing to respond to requests for information as the letter also requested them to confirm the name of the project owner.</p>	<p>Cornman's Excavating: \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
7499	<p>Facility Owner: Aqua PA, Inc Contractor/Excavator: Kriger Pipeline Project Owner: Aqua PA, Inc Designer: Pennoni Associates Other: Buckeye Partners Other: Comcast Other: Frontier Communications Other: PPL Other: UGI</p>	<p><u>On 6/17/2019 10:00:00 AM at CONCORD AVE, CLINTON TWP, WYOMING</u> At the DPC meeting held on 10/5/2021, The motion was made by Dacey to keep Comcast's violations as stated and waive penalty but keep violations for Buckeye. 2nd by Clark. Moslen abstained and Ferri voted NO. Comcast's penalties were upheld because they did not attend the meeting. Buckeye's penalties were waived but the violations were upheld.</p> <p>On 6/17/2019 Kriger Pipeline was working for Aqua to replace the water main and all services on Concord Ave, Clinton Township, Wyoming County when they struck and damaged an underground mismarked Aqua service line. Kriger stated Aqua had no records of this system and Kriger and Aqua utilized all resources to locate all services and the main by speaking with the former owner/developer of this system as well as using witching sticks.</p> <p>Complex Project ticket #20190933623 was placed on 4/3/2019. Response was due on 4/8/2019 for meeting on 4/9/2019 at 10:30 AM. Comcast never finalized a response in the KARL system and sent a USIC representative in lieu of a facility representative.</p> <p>Design Ticket #20181490463 was placed on 5/29/2018. Response was due on 6/12/2018. Comcast did not finalize a response in the KARL system.</p> <p>One Call ticket #20191552619 was placed on 6/4/2019. Response was due on 6/6/2019 for excavation to begin on 6/7/2019 at 6:00 AM. Comcast responded on 6/17/2019 at 16:00 PM after the Damage Ticket had already been placed for the Aqua water line.</p> <p>One Call ticket #20191552620 was placed on 6/4/2019. Response was due on 6/6/2019 for excavation to begin on 6/7/2019 at 6:00 AM. Comcast responded on 6/13/2019 at 11:44 AM with "Field Marked".</p> <p>One Call ticket #20191553035 was placed on 6/4/2019. Response was due on 6/6/2019 for excavation to begin on 6/7/2019 at 6:00 AM. Comcast responded on 6/17/2019 at 16:00 PM with "Field Marked".</p> <p>This case is part of a Complex Project which correlates with case numbers: 7499 7402 7672 7644 7682 7717</p>	<p>Aqua PA, Inc: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Buckeye Partners: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$3,750.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Frontier Communications: \$4,250.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>PPL: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		7837 8759 8760 8736	Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00
7883	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc.</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Hall Construction</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Nathan Hall</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Ricker Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: ANGIE'S DINER AND LOUNGE</p>	<p><u>On 6/26/2019 11:21:00 AM at 1360 Eisenhower Blvd, Lower Swatara, Dauphin</u> DPC meeting 10/05/2021: None of the stakeholders joined the meeting.</p> <p>Ricker Construction - No show: DPC decision is to remove violations and penalties for Sections 5(2.1), 5(16) and 5(17).</p> <p>Angie's Diner - No Show - DPC decision is to keep violation Section 6.1(7) and add education.</p> <p>Nathan Hall - No Show - DPC decision is to keep violations Section 5(2.1), 5(16) and 5(17) and add education.</p> <p>*No Damage*</p> <p>****UPDATED REPORT****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/26/2019 in the parking lot of 1360 Eisenhower Blvd, Lower Swatara, Dauphin County.</p> <p>On 6/8/2021 the Damage Prevention Committee tabled case 7883 and requested further investigation.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR that Ricker Construction was using power Equipment close to a high pressure UGI gas main, without a One Call ticket. Ricker disputed this claim and stated that he rented or loaned his equipment to N. Hall but had no rental agreement.</p> <p>Further investigation found that all other parties were reluctant to provide information about this incident. DPI reached out multiple times to Angie's Diner and N. Hall in an attempt to gather information and explain our process and specific information we are needing to investigate this case. For example, the telephone number that was stated as being M. Hall's was not in service. After the DPI tracked down N. Hall he stated that he worked for "someone", then later left a message with the DPI stating he was unemployed at the time of incident. Between 6/8/2021 and 8/16/2021, the DPI has made multiple phone calls, emails, and sent multiple letters explaining to both N. Hall and Angie's Diner that an AVR is mandatory and that fines will result from failure to comply.</p> <p>The equipment that was on location when incident occurred has Ricker Construction's logo. Ricker Construction stated this was on loan to N. Hall, but was unable to provide current contact information.</p> <p>During a telephone call with Angie's Diner, the owner stated that he was not sure who he hired to have the work done. He thought Hall may have been working part time for Mr. Ricker. Mr. Ricker claims that Mr. Hall was not working for him.</p> <p>Hall's Excavation submitted an AVR stating their company had nothing to do with this incident. No other information was filled out. They were cooperative with giving the DPI a good contact telephone number to reach Mr. Hall, who is located at the same address as Halls Excavation. Halls Excavation says that N. Hall has not worked for them for 10 years.</p> <p>Mr. N. Hall told the DPI on 8/6/2021 that he would submit an AVR immediately. The DPI received AVR</p>	<p>Nathan Hall: \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Ricker Construction: \$0.00</p> <p>ANGIE'S DINER AND LOUNGE: \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>from Mr. Hall on 8/14/2021. The site location of Mr. N. Hall's AVR is "driveway" and the incident date is incorrect. This is a first-time violation of Section 5(16) of failing to submit and AVR. Due to the massive amount of time, energy, and misinformation, I recommend that this penalty be enforced. I also recommend adding the violation of Section 5(17) for failing to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff, for the same reasons. Mr. Hall did not submit a One Call ticket for the work he did on the driveway, which was close to a high pressure UGI gas main. This is a violation of Section 5(2.1) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>I recommend that the penalties for Ricker Construction be removed, as there is not enough evidence to confirm that N. Hall was working for Ricker Construction at the time of the incident.</p> <p>Angie's Diner and Lounge was informed via USPS, email, and phone call that stated an AVR was necessary. No AVR was received. I recommend keeping the violation and penalty for Section 6.1(7) to Angie's Diner and Lounge.</p>	
14111	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC Contractor/Excavator: West Penn Utilities Project Owner: Comcast Other: Creswell Heights Joint Authority Other: Municipal Water Authority of Aliquippa Other: Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p>On 3/18/2020 1:14:00 PM at 3273 BRADBURY DR, HOPEWELL TWP, BEAVER At the DPC meeting held on 10/5/2021, the motion was made by Dacey to keep Municipal Water Authority of Aliquippa violations as stated and waive penalty. 2nd by Ferri and Swartley voted No.</p> <p>*Rejection- West Penn Utilities (WPU) has rejected both penalties for 5(16) stating they want them lowered or removed. WPU also rejects the penalty for 5(4) for March 18, and wants that penalty removed. West Penn Utilities agrees with the penalty for 5(11.2) and for the penalty for 5(4) for the March 25 incident. Please see file "West Penn Utilities disputing penalty amounts" file for more information.</p> <p>**Two line strikes within one week and at the same address***</p> <p>.***Rejection: Municipal Water Authority of Aliquippa rejects the penalty for failure to respond to a One Call ticket because the address is not in their service area so they shouldn't have to make a response</p> <p>***** ***** ***** *****</p> <p>At the DPC meeting on 4/13/2021, Mr. Ferri made a motion to remove the penalty for the March 18 violation of 5(4) and to add education which was seconded by Mr. Dacey. The motion passed unanimously and Mr. Moslen abstained.</p> <p>***** ***** ***** *****</p>	<p>West Penn Utilities: \$1,500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Creswell Heights Joint Authority: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Municipal Water Authority of Aliquippa: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On March 18, 2020 West Penn Utilities was attempting to expose an accurately marked line in preparation for an HDD excavation when they struck Peoples Gas Company's 1-inch plastic service gas line with hand tools and severed the line. West Penn did call 911 and Peoples came out to repair the line. On March 25, 2020, West Penn Utilities struck the 3-inch main that the service line was connected to, this time the line was struck with HDD drilling equipment. According to Peoples' Gas, the line was struck within 7 inches of the first strike, indicating that West Penn was drilling well within the tolerance zone of the service line. In addition, Peoples Gas has reported in an email dated 4/27/20 that the hole shown in the photographs of the second strike was only partially dug and that the line was not exposed by the excavator to insure that their equipment had cleared the line. West Penn maintains that they had exposed the line, but that they did not clear it by 18 or more inches as per HDD Consortium guidelines and say that a rock struck the line. The photographs provide by Peoples for March 25 do not show the line as exposed, however without a photograph looking down inside of the hole, it is impossible to know fore sure but it does not nullify that fact that West Penn was not operating according to HDD Consortium guidelines when the strike occurred.. Both lines were accurately marked.</p> <p>On April 21, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR request emails to Comcast and West Penn Utilities. West Penn Utilities filed both AVRs on the following day. As of May 12, 2020, Comcast has not submitted an AVR for either event and has been cited accordingly.</p> <p>West Penn Utilities is cited for failing to use prudent excavation techniques in the tolerance zone for the March 18 line strike. While West Penn may have been using hand tools, the line was still severed indicating that they were not using the tools prudently within the tolerance zone. West Penn is cited for failing to use prudent techniques in the tolerance zone for the March 25 line strike. They are further cited for failing to follow HDD Best Practices for the March 25 line strike. West Penn Utilities is also cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business day of a line strike for both incidents. This company filed their first AVR on July 31, 2018, has filed several AVRs in the time since July 2018, and they are not unaware that they must submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike. . While these events may have occurred during the COVID outbreak, their personnel were working and they did submit their AVRs 1 day after being notified.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for failing to submit an AVR for each incident as the project owner, and after being notified by the investigator that AVRs were necessary. I recommend Comcast be sent for training or have all penalties raised to \$2,500 as they have been cited for this same offense two other times this year.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Verizon is cited for responding late to One Call Ticket No. 20200703674. Response due 3/12, Verizon did not respond until 3/17 (5 days late). Verizon must complete education or their penalty raises to \$2,500.</p> <p>Municipal Authority of Aliquippa is cited for failing to respond to Ticket No. 20200703674. No response was made to this ticket. Aliquippa's fine will be reduced to zero if they attend education.</p> <p>Creswell Joint Authority is cited for failing to respond to Ticket No. 20200703674. Response was due on 3/12. Creswell JA responded "scheduled mark" on 3/10, but did not follow up to indicate that they had sent anyone out to mark the line, so it is assumed that the mark-out has not been completed. No marks other than electric and gas are visible in the photographs supplied and the excavator specifically requested both flags and paint in their ticket. Creswell Joint Authority's fine will be reduced to zero if they attend education.</p>	
14425	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: Steve's Logging and Excavating</p>	<p><u>On 3/25/2020 11:13:00 AM at SODOM RD, WEST CHILLISQUAQUE TWP, NORTHUMBERLAND</u> Steve's Logging and Excavating disputed all penalties. On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted for the following: 1. Section 5(2.1) and 5(16) -- remove the financial penalty but keep the violation in the record. Add education requirement 2. Section 5(21) was upheld as-written.</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE NO 1 CALL**</p> <p>UGI reported that "Serviceman noticed Steve's logging and excavating moving logs and soils without a one call. Facilities were called out due to the no One Call process. Contractor refused to place his own one call, or provide his name." UGI also stated in a request for more information that the excavator was digging out a leaking drain pipe with a backhoe.</p> <p>Several facility owners replied to UGI's emergency ticket that they had underground facilities in the area.</p> <p>An AVR letter was sent to Steve's Logging and Excavating on 8/26, The owner called on 8/31 asking for help. I referred him to 811 and told him that they could help him file an AVR. 811 did help him file an AVR electronically.</p> <p>Excavator stated during the call that he usually does logging work and he was not aware of the ticket and thought that UGI's emergency ticket covered him. I explained why he needs to submit his own ticket and he seemed to understand. Please note that this company has done other no-One Call excavation work as I found a review of online that mentions this excavator dug up a homeowner's septic pipe back in 2016, but PA One Call has no history of this company ever having placed a One Call ticket, so this excavator has been working without tickets for no less than 4 years.</p>	<p>Steve's Logging and Excavating: \$250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Steve's Logging and Excavating is cited for failing to submit a ticket before excavating, failure to be a member of PA One Call, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 days of a violation of Act 50. I have reduced the AVR citation to a warning since the excavator did comply with the request. I do not recommend any reduction of the penalty for failing to call in a ticket as the excavator is aware of One Call laws since he states in his AVR that he did see lines on the ground and made a point to avoid those lines, and placing a One Call Ticket for excavations is not a new part of the law. I do recommend that this excavator be sent to training.</p>	
14413	<p>Facility Owner: MORRISVILLE BOROUGH Contractor/Excavator: UTILITY LINE SERVICES Project Owner: PECO ENERGY</p>	<p><u>On 4/7/2020 8:00:00 AM at DOLORO DRIVE, MORRISVILLE BORO, BUCKS</u> Tuesday, 10/5/21 DPC Meeting. Disputing PECO. Vote: 2(4)- Remove penalty and keep violation, 2.5(v)- Remove penalty and violation.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Utility Line Services (ULS) was doing a job for PECO. During trenching to install a gas main line ULS hit and damaged an unmarked sewer lateral and water service. An emergency ticket was called in and Morrisville Borough completed the repairs. Morrisville could not advise ULS where the lines were located because they did not have maps of the underground facilities. On 6/4/2020 an email was sent to Morrisville Borough requesting an AVR. On 8/20/2020 a second email, to another email address, was sent to Morrisville. Also, a letter for Morrisville requesting an AVR was mailed on 8/26/2020. Morrisville did not submit a report. On 9/16/2020 an emailed was sent to ULS requesting pictures of PECO locate marks and Morrisvile line damage.</p> <p>*Morrisville Borough- Cited for no AVR and not locating the point of connection of the sewer laterals.</p> <p>*PECO- 20192700262- Final Design Ticket. They responded as "Conflict Difficulty" but did not follow-up with "Field Marked" or "Clear No Facilities".</p> <p>*PECO- 20200801069- Update Excavation Routine Ticket, on 3/20/2020. They responded as "Field Marked" on 3/24/2020.</p> <p>THIS IS FYI because there were no gas line hits and the provided information is suggesting the gas lines were not located during excavation:</p> <p>*PECO- 20200801069-001- Renotify Excavation Insufficient Ticket, on 3/30/2020. The RNO Remarks were: Attn PECO, you marked lines but the lines cannot be located at the marking. Please respond asap to check the markings. Thank you. PECO responded as "Field Marked" on 3/30/2020.</p> <p>*PECO- 20200801069-002- Renotify Excavation Insufficient Ticket, on 3/31/2020. The RNO Remarks</p>	<p>MORRISVILLE BOROUGH: \$500.00 Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PECO ENERGY: \$0.00 Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>were: Attn PECO, you responded as Field Marked and the crew is excavating at the marks and is unable to locate the gas service line. Please go the site and locate all the gas lines. PECO responded as "Clear No Facilities" on 3/31/2020.</p> <p>*20200970128- New Excavation Routine Ticket, on 4/6/2020 at 7:22am, due date 4/8/20</p> <p>*PECO- 20200970128-001- Renotify Excavation Insufficient, on 4/9/2020 at 8:31am, due date 4/9/20 The RNO Remarks: "ATTN PECO emergency for gas. At the gas marks crew is unable to locate the gas service. Please return to the site to help locate gas service. Thank you." PECO responded Field Marked to both tickets.</p>	
14363	<p>Facility Owner: National Fuel Contractor/Excavator: Wilson Excavating and Grading Inc. Project Owner: AQUA PA Other: City of Farrell Municipal</p>	<p>On 4/14/2020 12:00:00 PM at Shenango Blvd. House #39, FARRELL CITY, MERCER National Fuel disputed their penalties. On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted to waive the violations and penalties for 2(5)(v) for One Call ticket Nos. 20200553152 and 20200553172. The violation and penalty were upheld for Section 2(5)(v) for ticket No. 20200553185</p> <p>Both Wilson Excavating and Aqua Pennsylvania state that Wilson struck NFG's 3 inch steel line within the tolerance zone. NFG states that their personnel had refreshed the other markings but had missed this one. The original One Call tickets are from February 17, and by April 14 the lines had faded. Wilson would have placed update tickets to have the lines refreshed instead of attempting to mark lines themselves.</p> <p>On May 1, 2020 DPI Andrade-Locke sent an email to Wilson asking them explain what they mean by refreshing lines, and an email to NFG asking them to submit an AVR by April 27 if they would like to send one.</p> <p>Wilson Excavating is cited for failing to preserve markouts or request a remark as their own AVR states that the marks were faded. Also for not using prudent techniques within the tolerance zone.</p> <p>NFG is cited for responding late to the following tickets: 20200553152 New Excavation Routine ticket placed 2/24, marks due 3/2. NFG responded "conflict" on Monday 3/2, but did not mark until Friday 3/6. National fuel is cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Routine Ticket o. 20200553185. Ticket placed 2/24, marks due 3/2. NFG made no response to this ticket. 20200553172 New Excavation Routine ticket placed 2/24, marks due 3/2. NFG responded "conflict" on Monday 3/2, but did not mark until Wednesday 3/4.</p> <p>City of Farrell is cited for not responding to the following tickets: 20200553152 New Excavation Routine ticket placed 2/24, marks due 3/2. City of Farrell made no response until they marked their lines on 3/17 (15 days late). 20200553186 New Excavation Routine ticket</p>	<p>National Fuel: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Wilson Excavating and Grading Inc.: \$1,000.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>City of Farrell Municipal: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>placed 2/24, marks due 3/2. City of Farrell made no response until they marked their lines on 3/17 (15 days late). Ticket No. 20200553172 New Excavation Routine ticket placed 2/24, marks due 3/2. City of Farrell made no response until they marked their lines on 3/17 (15 days late). The DPC has historically considered any markout more than 7 days past the due date to be a non-response to a 1-Call ticket. I recommend that the City of Farrell be sent for education in return for a 50% reduction in their fine amounts if education is completed within 90 days.</p>	
14457	<p>Facility Owner: FirstEnergy/WEST PENN POWER Facility Owner: Port Authority of Allegheny County Facility Owner: The Ashby at South Hills Village Station Contractor/Excavator: West Penn Utilities Project Owner: COMCAST Other: DQE Communications Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 4/20/2020 2:30:00 PM at VILLAGE DR, BETHEL PARK BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> On April 20, West Penn Utilities reports that they struck a mismarked power line with their HDD rig. West Penn Utilities repeatedly called West Penn Power to come and mark the lines, however the lines do not belong to WPP, but they belong to The Ashby at South Hills Village Station who is not a member of One Call.</p> <p>On May 15, 2020, DPI Locke send an AVR message through the Ashby's online communication system. On August 17, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR letter to The Ashby. As of September 2, 2020, The Ashby has neither made contact, nor filed an AVR.</p> <p>WPU is cited for failing to place a complex project ticket for this excavation, which is over 3100 feet, or 1477 feet by Comcast's estimates. WPU abused the renotification ticket on the original update tickets by placing updates before the tickets were even due. While some companies do check the job site the day before and issue renotification tickets if facility owners have not responded, renotifications are generally issued after normal working hours. And while I understand and appreciate that WPU was very concerned about the line strike, they were told that the apartment complex owned those lines and they cannot force WPP to locate or fix lines that they don't own because the actual owners are out of town and it does not seem that the staff at the Ashby were trained about who to call to repair damages. It does appear that WPU was aware that WPP did not own the lines as their project owner states in their AVR that the owners of the complex were in Colorado and weren't there to take care of this. While WPU can't be cited for making too many One Calls, Education regarding complex project tickets and renotification tickets is mandatory.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for releasing this project to bid or construction without a design ticket, and failing to use sufficient levels of SUE for an HDD excavation in a rather crowded area. Had a design ticket been done, the fact that the Ashby had failed to become a member of One Call may have been identified sooner when they did not show up on the design maps.</p> <p>The Ashby is cited for failing to become a member of One Call and for failing to mark their lines when they were aware that they had been damaged and that the lines were on their property because the owners were "out of town" and did not hire a locate company to mark their lines. They are also cited for failing to participate in</p>	<p>Case Remanded to DPI for further review.</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Member Mapping, and for failing to respond to an emergency. While they may not have been members of One Call, they were informed that it was their line that was struck and asked for concessions to keep their elevators running, but they did not send someone to the site to fix the problem or mark the lines. The owners are responsible to have a contingency plan for when they are out of town, so their location is not an excuse for failing to respond to a line strike. When contacted by DPI Andrade-Locke both by their online system and via letter, the Ashby has not made any response to our requests for information. I recommend mandatory training and zero reduction in fines until they become members of PA One Call,. If the Ashby becomes a member of One Call AND completes the training within 90 days, I recommend a reduction of their penalties by 50% after proof of completion of training and membership in PA One Call.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for responding late to ticket 20200693204. Response due 3/11. Verizon made no response until marking their line on 3/13 (2 days late). Verizon is also cited for responding late to ticket 20200693203. Response due 3/11. Verizon made no response until marking their line on 3/13 (2 days late). Verizon was also late responding to tickets 20200831458 and 20200831459 Responses for both tickets was due on 3/25. Verizon made no response until they marked on 3/26</p> <p>DQE Communications is cited for responding late to Ticket 20200693204. Response due 3/11. DQE did not mark their lines until 3/12, although they did respond "conflict" on 3/10. they are also cited for responding late to 20200831459. Response due 3/25. DQE responded "Conflict" on 3/24 and then marked on 3/25. As they were renotified several times, there is no evidence that they made contact with the excavator or that the excavator agreed to DQE marking their line at a later date.</p>	
14411	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc. Contractor/Excavator: C&S Concrete Inc Contractor/Excavator: Empire Masonry Contractor/Excavator: Triple Crown Corp Project Owner: Triple Crown Corp Designer: R.J. FISHER AND ASSOCIATES Other: COMCAST Other: Lower Paxton Township Other: LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY Other: PPL Other: Verizon Other: ZAYO Bandwidth</p>	<p><u>On 4/22/2020 11:23:00 AM at Tibor Lane & Alexandra Lane, LOWER PAXTON TWP, DAUPHIN</u> 10/07/2021 DPC meeting decision: UGI: Ticket 20201131335 - Remove violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v). Keep violation Section 2(4) as is. Lower Paxton Township Authority. Table until future investigation as presented. The contact and address information was incorrect, but has been verified and updated. Empire Masonry: NO SHOW - Keep violation and penalty \5(2.1) and 5(16). Triple Crown: Keep violation remove penalty 6.1(7), Keep violation and penalty for Section 6.3 and remove violation and penalty Section 6.1(1).</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/22/2020 at 11:23am Empire Masonry was working on a Complex Project for Triple Crown Corp, grading with a loader on Tibor and Alexandria Ln. in Lower Paxton, Dauphin Co. Alleged</p>	<p>Case Remanded to DPI for further review</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Violation Report reads that excavator did not place an One Call ticket for this work.</p> <p>Disagrees: Empire Masonry is disputing the violation of striking a line and listed Section 5(16) which is Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). On 12/23/2020 DPI Maki spoke with Representative from Empire Masonry and explained why an AVR is needed. A follow-up e-mail with an AVR request letter attached was mailed later that day.</p> <p>Disagrees: Triple Crown Corporation email from 2/26/2021 states that Violations 6.1(7), 6.1(3) and 6.1(1) are inaccurate and improperly applied to Triple Crown Corporation.</p> <p>Triple Crown Corp is listed on the maps, tickets and the AVR's as both the excavator and project owner.</p> <p>The first AVR request letter was sent on 12/23/2020 with a brief description of the incident. An emailed response was received on 12/29/2020 with a response letter attached asking for evidence and documentation of the matter – See Triple Crown Response letter. 12/29/2020 DPI asked for an AVR in an email for the second time. A sign in sheet for the complex project meeting was also requested at this time. On the same day, for the third time, an email was sent explaining why an AVR is needed from Triple Crown Corp. with an explanation about the need for an AVR from Triple Crown.</p> <p>Omnibus session was on 2/9/2021. AVR was submitted on 2/08/2021. Triple Crown listed themselves as the General Contractor, not representing any other company. No with information was provided in AVR. There was no information given as to who the different project owner may be, what kind of SUE was used or who the designer is. There are no tickets found or listed.</p> <p>Disagrees: Lower Paxton Township Authority states that the sewer system referenced in the notice is not owned by the Authority or the township, therefore not required to mark these facilities. This sewer system is within the Blue Ridge Village development and is part of a developer installed sewer extension. The sewer system is not owned by the Authority until all the specification requirements are met and the proper legal documents are submitted for the Authority Board to accept the dedication of the sewer system to the Authority system. It is my understanding that the developer is in process of preparing the dedication documents but at the time of the one call tickets in January and April 2020 the Authority did not own the system. There are three 2(5)(v) violations. There are no penalties applied. Training was recommended.</p> <p>DPI requests that the 2(5)(v) violation be removed for Lower Paxton Township Authority. The violation was entered incorrectly.</p> <p>Disagrees: UGI Ticket 20201131335 is an emergency ticket. UGI UTL Damages did not respond, but UGI Harrisburg did respond timely. DPI requests the removal of violation.</p> <p>*Ticket 20193571431 was erroneously entered as a design ticket violation, but ticket is requesting a meeting on Dec 26, 2019. UGI did not respond until 12/30/2019 that they will attend the meeting. DPI is requesting the</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>violation 2(4) to be changed to 2(5)(v) and to keep the penalty of \$250.</p> <p>Ticket # 20200061448. UGI accepts remedial action. AVR's requested on Dec 23, 2020 from designer-R.J.Fisher Engineering, excavator and project owner-Triple Crown Corporation, and excavator – Empire Masonry.</p> <p>R.J. Fisher sent in AVR. There is no final design ticket submitted through one call. This is a violation of Section 4(2). No Penalty applied but Training is recommended.</p> <p>No AVR received from excavator Empire Masonry. This is a violation of Section 5(16) and penalty applied.</p> <p>No AVR requested from Excavator C&S Concrete since their project was putting in the sidewalks. This was completed by January 2020.</p> <p>AVR form Triple Crown Corp. was received the day after the Omnibus Vote. This is a Violation of Section 6.1 (7) and no penalty is applied.</p> <p>Emergency Ticket 20201131335</p> <p>Verizon never responded. This is a subsequent violation of Section 2(vii) and penalty applied. Lower Paxton Township replied a day late to this emergency ticket.</p> <p>Violation 2(5)(v) with penalty applied.</p> <p>UGI first responded field marked, then almost two hours later had two interim responses. There was never a final response to either of those interim responses. Violation 2(5)(v) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township had a late response. Training in lieu of a penalty is recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 20193571431 Triple Crown Concrete - excavator requested a meeting. DPI Maki requested a sign in sheet from Triple Crown Corporation. Triple Crown Corp wrote emails back and forth explaining why they did not need to submit an AVR and that I need to prove that there was a violation first. They never submitted an AVR, nor cooperated with any information for this case. This is a violation of Section 6.1(7) Penalty is applied as the Project Owner. Training is recommended.</p> <p>UGI responded with an interim response, that they will attend meeting, four days after the meeting was held. This is a violation of section 2(4) and penalty applied.</p> <p>Verification received that USIC was representing PPL at the meeting. Sign in sheet and GPS verification submitted.</p> <p>ZAYO Bandwidth had a late response, which is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) penalty applied.</p> <p>Comcast Communications had a late response. This is offence of Violation of Section 2(5)(v) penalty applied.</p> <p>Ticket 20200101480 had a late response from Lower Paxton Township Authority. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) Training in lieu of fine recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 2020010450 had one late response from Lower Paxton Township Authority. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v). The responses are done at the end of the day on the day of the dig. Training in lieu of penalty is recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 20200061448 Lower Paxton Twp Authority, Zayo Bandwidth, PPL Utilities, Comcast Communication, and UGI all responded after the lawful dig time. Violation of Section (5)(v). Penalty is applied to Zayo Bandwidth,</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PPL Utilities, Comcast Communication and UGI. This is a first violation for Lower Paxton Authority. Training in lieu of fine is recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 20173382748 was submitted to show preliminary design with One call telephone number and serial number. This is prior to July 2019 changes in the DPC.</p> <p>No response violations were considered due to the age of the ticket. A There was no final design ticket found. This is a violation of 6.1(3) Triple Crown Corp released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete.</p>	
14460	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Cablevision Facility Owner: First Energy/ Penelec Contractor/Excavator: ALLEGHENY CONTRACTING Project Owner: National Fuel Gas Other: Northeastern ITS LLC/ Zayo Other: Reynoldsville Borough</p>	<p><u>On 4/23/2020 9:00:00 AM at Conference call, REYNOLDSVILLE BORO, JEFFERSON</u> NFG disputed their penalty for Section 2(5)(viii) On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty for NFG.</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE***</p> <p>Allegheny Contracting submitted an AVR stating that Penelec and Comcast responded "attended meeting, came to agreement" to a complex project ticket but did not attend the meeting at all or come to any agreement. Allegheny failed to give us a ticket number with their AVR. On May 5, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an email to the individual who submitted the AVR asking for the One Call Ticket number. Ticket No. 20201063170 was provided. A review of this ticket shows that Comcast and Penelec responded on 4/20 that they "attended meeting" (not will attend meeting), three days before the meeting actually took place.</p> <p>On May 6, 2020 DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR request emails to Comcast and Penelec. Penelec submitted an AVR admitting that USIC had said they (USIC) would attend the meeting on Penelec's behalf but that they did in fact, NOT attend said meeting. USIC claims they contacted the excavator for information but there is no date, time, or contact name provided as evidence for such a call.</p> <p>Penelec is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201063170 on April 23, 2020. Comcast is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201063170 on April 23, 2020. Northeastern ITS LLC did not respond to ticket# 20201063170 on April 23, 2020, until April 28, 6 days after their response was due. DPI spoke with Representative from Northeastern ITS Inc, email was requested, but not yet received, with explanation of why Karl was not updated, but excuse from representative sounded valid. Earth is the program that is used to link up the coordinates and alert the people in the correct area to update KARL. A message was received that due to Covid, Earth was not finding coordinates at that time. Northeastern ITS stated they have since found ways to remedy this. They were also not "called out" on the AVR, since they did respond to Allegheny Contracting. No fine assessed. National Fuel Gas is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201063170 on April 23, 2020. They</p>	<p>Comcast Cablevision: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>First Energy/ Penelec: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>National Fuel Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>Northeastern ITS LLC/ Zayo: \$0.00</p> <p>Reynoldsville Borough: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>failed to make a response until April 28 (will attend), 6 days after the response was due, and 5 days after the meeting took place.</p> <p>Reynoldsville Borough is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201063170 on April 23, 2020.. They failed to respond until April 27 (5 days late) when they responded "Field Marked", which means their attendance was mandatory because they had lines in the area. I recommend that Reynoldsville Borough attend training in lieu of a penalty reduction of 50% if proof of training is submitted within 90 days.</p>	
14420	<p>Facility Owner: Aqua Pa Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Other: PECO Energy Other: Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p><u>On 4/23/2020 11:00:00 AM at BRYN MAWR AVE, LOWER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> PECO disputed all penalties. On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all 3 violations but to lower the penalties for all 3 to \$0.</p> <p>Both Caddick and Aqua state "Crew hit and damaged well marked water service at houses 821, 829 Bryn Mawr Ave. (One 1/2" copper line feeding both addresses). Backhoe removed roadway and began removing sub-grade ballast when it pulled rock into the line and pulled the connection to the water main. The depth in the roadway was 24" as the service had to cross over storm drain with 2' of cover."</p> <p>Caddick is cited for failing to plan their excavation work so as to protect Aqua's line.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20200632377 or attend the meeting. Response due 3/16/20. Verizon did not respond "clear" until 4/25/20 (more than 1 month). Verizon is also cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20193231515. Response due 12/4. Verizon responded "Conflict" on 11/23 but did not follow up with any further responses. Verizon also failed to respond to New Excavation Final Design Ticket No. 20193372737. Response due 12/17. Verizon responded "Conflict" on 12/4, but did not follow up to their response.</p> <p>PECO Energy is cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20200730102. Response due 3/17. PECO responded "conflict" on 3/17 but did not mark until 3/24 (1 week late). PECO is also cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20193231515. Response due 12/4. PECO responded "Conflict" on 11/19 but did not follow up with any further responses. PECO also failed to respond to New Excavation Final Design Ticket No. 20193372737. Response due 12/17. PECO responded "Conflict" on 12/4, but did not follow up to their response.</p>	<p>CADDICK UTILITIES L L C: \$250.00 Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PECO Energy: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$2,000.00 Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>
14484	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Other: Comcast Other: PPL Other: University of Scranton Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 4/27/2020 7:00:00 AM at CLAY AVE, SCRANTON CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> University of Scranton disputed their penalty for Section 2(5)(v). On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted to keep the violation but remove the penalty and add an education requirement.</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE**</p>	<p>UGI: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>PPL: \$750.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PA American has reported that they placed this ticket with extra time to do a 600-foot markout. Ticket 20201051659 New Excavation Routine was placed 4/14/20 with a markout due date of 4/26/20 (12 days). UGI responded "Scheduled Mark" on 4/26 but did not mark until 4/28/20. USIC reported on 4/26 (the day the markout was due) at 4:40pm that they had scheduled the markout for 4/28/20 at 23:59, Their report states that they "spoke with" Brian Gaylets of PAWC. Mr. Gaylets has no recollection of this conversation. The report says nothing about Mr. Gaylets agreeing to the late markout, only that they spoke with him, and indeed a markout schedule should have been set up during the complex project meeting, not when USIC realizes at 4:40pm on the date the marks are due that they cannot fulfill their obligation.</p> <p>On May 6, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an email to UGI asking for an AVR, although a response from UGI is not mandatory for this violation. UGI did not submit an AVR, but they did submit the responses USIC logged as they relate to this ticket.</p> <p>Taking into account that UGI/USIC was given more than twice the normal amount of time to complete this markout, and would have been aware of the scheduled excavation date and had time to negotiate for a later markout at the preconstruction meeting on May 14, I have recommended that the penalty amount be raised to "subsequent" for a late markout. The other option is to declare it as "no response" as UGI/USIC was given two weeks to complete the mark and was still late. If this had been a standard dig ticket, two weeks late would be considered non-response.</p> <p>PPL is cited for responding late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201051659. Response due 4/26, PPL responded "Scheduled Mark" on 4/26 and did not mark until 4/27 at 16:15. I have also recommended that the penalty be raised to subsequent for the same reason listed above.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for responding late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201051659. Response due 4/26, Comcast responded "Scheduled Mark" on 4/26 and did not mark until 4/27 at 16:15. I have also recommended that the penalty be raised to subsequent for the same reason listed above.</p> <p>Facility owners failing to mark lines on time after a Complex Project Meeting are discouraging excavators from taking the time out to hold such meetings. Given that so many facility owners have hired the locating company who failed to mark on-time, they are effectually permitting USIC to consistently cost excavators both time and money by forcing them to wait until USIC feels like showing up, to wait hours for renotification tickets that get zero results, or forcing excavators to attempt excavating with no marks to guide them which puts excavators and the community at-large at risk. The argument will likely be made that PAWC could have</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>University of Scranton: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>placed a renotification ticket, however, many experiences with USIC have shown that those renotifications result in no response in the requisite two-hours. Due to weather conditions on 4/27 (not 4/26 when the marks were due), PAWC was unable to begin work, and hence there was no need for a renotification. Had PAWC excavated with no renotification ticket, and actually struck a line, they would have been fined for failing to submit renotifications, however, they permitted USIC to hold up their work. If facility owners want Complex Project meetings and renotification tickets, they need to ensure that their locating company is meeting deadlines as agreed. As I said before, this markout deadline could have been negotiated during the Complex Project Meeting. While I understand that this event occurred during the COVID-19 crisis, USIC has a history of late markouts even with complex project tickets and renotifications. While I also understand that USIC does mark thousands of tickets on-time, they also have a history of dictating mark-out times several days and sometimes weeks past the due date which is an absolute violation of Act 50.</p> <p>The University of Scranton is also cited for failure to respond to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201051659. Education for this party is mandatory and the fine will be reduced by 50% after proof of completion of the educational component if completed within 90 days.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to attend the preconstruction meeting (Ticket 20200980246). The meeting was held on 4/14 and Verizon did not attend, and responded "Clear No Facilities" on 4/25, 11 days after the meeting was held. I have also recommended this offense be held as subsequent given Verizon's extensive history of failing to attend meetings or respond to tickets on time.</p>	
14516	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Contractor/Excavator: S.E.T., Inc. Project Owner: Girty's Run Joint Sewer Authority Designer: Nira Consulting Engineers Inc. Other: Allegheny County Department of Public Works Other: Millvale Borough Other: Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Other: Shaler Township</p>	<p><u>On 4/27/2020 1:00:00 PM at FRIDAY RD, MILLVALE BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the Section 2(5)(v) penalty for Peoples Gas. The DPC also voted to uphold the penalty for Section 5(8) for SET Inc. and add education. The DPC voted to uphold all penalties for Allegheny County.</p> <p>On April 27, 2020, SET Inc. struck a mis-marked service line belonging to Peoples Gas. SET Inc reports that the line was mis-marked by more than 3-feet and Peoples also agrees that the mark was not within the tolerance zone. SET Inc. states in their AVR that they did not call 911, but that they did notify Peoples and 811. The AVR also states that Peoples damaged the roadway while fixing the leak, however they told 1 Call that there was no hazardous gas release when they placed the damage ticket. Peoples Gas reported in an email to DPI Andrade-Locke dated June 3, 2020 that there was in fact an escape of gas and they state that the plug visible near the lower left hand corner of photo 3 was placed by SET Inc. without the permission of Peoples Gas.</p>	<p>Peoples: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>S.E.T., Inc.: \$1,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Allegheny County Department of Public Works: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Millvale Borough: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer: \$1,000.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On June 2, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR request via email to Peoples Gas and Nira Consulting Inc. A letter was created for Girty's Run and a hard copy was mailed out on August 17, 2020. Peoples submitted their AVR on June 2 after receiving the email request. Girty's Run did not file their AVR until September 10 and is cited for failing to submit within 10 business days.</p> <p>SET Inc. is cited for failing to call 911 after an escape of hazardous gas. It is also recommended that SET Inc. be sent for training regarding calling 911 after striking a line and to attend one of the classes offered by one of the area gas companies who offer training regarding the dangers of attempting to repair or cut off a line instead of waiting for the gas company to send trained personnel.</p> <p>Peoples Gas is cited for failing to mark their line within 18-inches as the photos of the strike show that the line was approximately 4-feet from the mark. Peoples is also cited for failing to respond to Update Excavation Ticket 20201110728 - response due 4/22. Peoples responded "Conflict" on 4/20 but did not finalize their response.</p> <p>Girty's Run Joint Sewer Authority appeared to have submitted a job to bid before a final design was completed. The final design tickets were placed in July 2019 and were far more than 90 days old when this project was started. -- Update - Girty's Run was able to demonstrate that they had released the project to bid at approximately 60 days after the design ticket was completed, and they have not been cited for this penalty.</p> <p>Allegheny County Department of Public Works is cited for failing to respond to Update Excavation Ticket 20201110728 - response due 4/22. Allegheny County responded "Conflict" on 4/20 but did not finalize their response.</p> <p>Shaler Township is cited for responding late to Update Excavation Ticket 20201110728 - response due 4/22. Shaler responded "Clear" on 4/23 (1 day late). Shaler has been able to demonstrate that their personnel had gone out to the site, but that there was a clerical error that caused them not to respond until the following day.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water & Sewer is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20191933530. Response due 7/26/19. PGH responded "Conflict" on 7/12/19, only 3 minutes after the ticket was placed, but did not finalize their response. Pittsburgh W&S is also cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20191933531. Response due 7/26/19. PGH responded "Conflict" on 7/12/19, only 3 minutes after the ticket was placed, but did not finalize their response. Pittsburgh W&S is also cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20191713674. Response due 7/5/19/19. PGH responded "Conflict" on 6/20/19, only 2 minutes after the ticket was placed, but did not finalize their response. Pittsburgh W&S is also cited for</p>	<p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Shaler Township: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20191713673. Response due 7/5/19. PGH responded "Conflict" on 6/20/19, only 2 minutes after the ticket was placed, but did not finalize their response.</p> <p>Millvale Borough is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20191713673. Response due 7/5/2019. Millvale did not respond until 8/9, far more than 7 days past the due date.</p>	
14498	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: M J SHIMP MECHANICAL</p>	<p>On 4/28/2020 9:00:00 AM at 508 FAIRMAN LN, MIDDLETOWN TWP, BUCKS Tuesday, 10/5/21 DPC Meeting. Disputing MJ Shimp Mechanical. Vote: For 5(8)- Remove penalty and violation, for 5(2.1) and 5(16) Reduce penalties to 50%, and add Education.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>M.J. Shimp Mechanical was excavating on Tuesday, April 28, 2020 without a One Call locate notification ticket. During their excavation, a 0.5" plastic gas service line, owned by PECO was damaged.</p> <p>M.J. Shimp did call One Call placing a New Damage Emergency ticket stating, "the line is kinked over and no gas is escaping at the moment". The caller was advised to notify 911. The excavator did not call 911.</p> <p>PA One Call Compliance commented on PECO's AVR, "No dig ticket placed by M.J. Shimp Mechanical was found for this location. M.J. Shimp Mechanical has placed one calls in the past."</p> <p>Pictures were not provided and there are no additional attachments.</p> <p>On Thursday, October 15, 2020, an email requesting an AVR was sent to M.J. Shimp. They submitted no report and there was no response to the email.</p>	<p>M J SHIMP MECHANICAL: \$625.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>
14509	<p>Facility Owner: Upper Merion Santuary & Stormwater Auth Contractor/Excavator: Caddick Utilities Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA INC Other: PECO Other: Verizon</p>	<p>On 4/30/2020 7:00:00 AM at LARKSPUR LN, UPPER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY PECO disputed all penalties. On October 5, 2021 the DPC voted to remove all violations and penalties for PECO.</p> <p>On April 30, 2020 Caddick struck a sewer main belonging to the Upper Merion Sewer Authority. Caddick states that they had checked the depth at a nearby manhole and weren't prepared for the line to be at the depth it was. Although they checked off the box stating that the line was mismarked, they do not give us any measurements regarding how far this mark was off, and while Caddick's AVR states that the line was mismarked, they do not state how far off the marks were, and they show no evidence of the line being mismarked on their photographs. The photographs only show depth which is not a consideration when working within the tolerance zone.</p> <p>On June 4, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent a message asking for an AVR through Upper Merion's messaging system and received a notice that the message was received. Upper Merion is not required to file an AVR for this strike. On June 5, 2020 Upper Merion sent an email saying that Caddick did damage the line but they also made the necessary repairs and asked if they needed to submit an AVR, and DPI Andrade-Locke responded that</p>	<p>Caddick Utilities: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>PECO: \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>they were not required to by law. They have not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Caddick is cited for imprudent excavation. Caddick indicates that they hit the line because it wasn't as deep as they expected, not that they struck it because it was struck more than 18 inches away from the line. Depth of a line is not a consideration within the tolerance zone as excavators are mandated to excavate prudently until the line is located. As sewer lines do change depth, measuring the depth at a distance does not guarantee where the line will be at a different location, and therefore measuring a manhole is not sufficient for prudent excavation.</p> <p>PECO is cited for failing to respond to Design ticket 20191502675,20192823833, 20205111971, and Complex Project Ticket 20200990769. PECO responded "Conflict, DCTF" to every ticket and did not follow up any of those responses with a final response. For the Complex Project Ticket there was no meeting declared, however PECO was required to supply a final response to this ticket and other parties had responded that they were okay with having no meeting. PECO is also cited for responding late to New Excavation Routine Ticket 20201080513. Response was due on 4/21. PECO responded "Scheduled Mark" and "Conflict" on 4/21, and did not mark their lines until 4/27 (6 days late).</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20201080513. While Caddick may not have requested a meeting, Verizon is still required to respond as other parties responded that they were okay with not having a meeting.</p>	
14557	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES NATURAL GAS Contractor/Excavator: DAVE MCMAHAN Project Owner: PHANTOM ENTERPRISE LP#31</p>	<p><u>On 5/4/2020 9:21:00 AM at 125 Kingston St., HEMPFIELD TWP, WESTMORELAND</u> Dave Mc Mahan disputed all penalties. On October 5, 2022 the DPC upheld the violations and penalties as Mr. Mc Mahan did not show up to the meeting.</p> <p>NO 1 CALL. Gas line struck, 911 was called.</p> <p>On August 27, 2020 DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR letters to the project owner and facility owner. Phantom Enterprises submitted their AVR on September 4. As of September 24, 2020, McMahan Construction has not submitted an AVR. Update: McMahan Construction filed an AVR on November 6, 2020 after receiving notice that this case was going to the DPC on October 27, 2020.</p> <p>McMahan's AVR says that "811 marked the wrong house" and sent in ticket No. 20201251875, but this ticket is for 4432 SR 0982 in Unity Township and not 125 Kingston Street in Hempfield Township. Even if the ticket were for the correct address it was placed on 5/4/2020 and would not have been valid on 5/4/2020 when the damage occurred.</p>	<p>DAVE MCMAHAN: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(19) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Phantom Enterprises is not cited for failing to submit an AVR as they complied as soon as they were made aware of the issue.</p> <p>McMahan Construction is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket and failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike.</p>	

Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
17047	<p>Facility Owner: Hazle Twp Municipal Authority Contractor/Excavator: DOLI Construction Corporation Designer: Schumacher Engineering</p>	<p>On 7/30/2020 7:00:00 AM at WINTERS AVE, HAZLE TWP, LUZERNE **NO DAMAGE**</p> <p>Incident occurred on 7/30/20 on Winters Avenue, Hazle Township, Luzerne County.</p> <p>Doli has reported that Hazle Township Municipal Authority hired them to replace their sewer and when Doli questioned why none of the laterals were marked at the current main, they were told to just dig through them. Act 50 states that the laterals should be marked at the point of connection to the main. During research for this report, it was also discovered that the most recent Design Ticket was Ticket for this project is No. 20170960436, which was more than 3 years old when this project was released to bid or construction. Hazle TWP has admitted in their AVR that they only used level C SUE for a project that cost over \$400,000. Hazle TWP's attorney argues that the municipal authority is not obligated to mark the point of connection to the main, please see his attached letter.</p> <p>On October 27, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR email to Hazle Twp. Hazle's attorney responded on November 11, asking how to fill out an AVR and stating that he had just received the letter. DPI Locke sent him to PA One Call and Hazle Township did submit an AVR on November 12, 2020. The penalty has been reduced to \$0 for failing to submit an AVR.</p> <p>Hazle Township Municipal is cited for releasing a project to bid or construction without a valid design ticket, failing to mark their laterals at the point of connection to the main, failure to use sufficient levels of SUE for a project exceeding \$400,000 and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of committing a violation of Act 50. All penalties have been reduced by 50% with mandatory training. The final design ticket was from 2017 and therefore does not meet the criteria for 10-90 days.</p>	
17395	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: FIX A FENCE Project Owner: Homeowner Other: Comcast Cablevision</p>	<p>On 8/1/2020 2:00:00 PM at 2567 HARDING AVE, MARPLE TWP, DELAWARE Incident occurred on 8/1/2020 at 2567 Harding Drive, Marple Twp., Delaware County.</p> <p>On August 1, 2020 Fix-A-Fence was excavating without a One Call Ticket when they struck PECO's inaccurately marked gas line. PECO states in their AVR that hand tools were being used at the time of the strike and they do state that their line was mismarked, however those marks were placed for a different company operating on a different ticket and are not valid for the work that Fix-A-Fence was doing and therefore PECO will not be cited for failure to mark. Fix-A-Fence was piggybacking on a</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>ticket placed by the homeowner on June 22. Fix-A-Fence has been in business since 1999 according to their website, and PA One Call has no record of them ever placing a ticket. Because Fix-A-Fence was using hand tools they are not in violation of Section 5(2.1).</p> <p>One November 2, 2020, DPI Locke sent AVR request emails to the project owner and Fix-A-Fence requesting AVRs. Fix-A-Fence called the same day asking about how to submit an AVR. DPI Locke explained how to do it both online or by calling 811 for help. Fix-A-Fence claimed at that time that the project owners had started the fence and had a ticket, so they believed they could just use the same marks. DPI Locke explained that they were responsible for One Call tickets as well as the AVR. As of May 24, 2021, Fix-A-Fence has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Fix-A-Fence is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. Since they knew about the AVR requirement and had promised to submit one, but failed to do so, I recommend training. Fix A Fence is not cited for digging without a One Call ticket since PECO states that the damage was done with hand tools.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for responding one day late to Ticket No. 20201742292. Response due 6/28 with a lawful start date of 6/29. Comcast did not make any response or mark their lines until 6/29.</p>	
17505	<p>Facility Owner: Pennsylvania American Water Company</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Wright Township Public Works</p>	<p><u>On 8/7/2020 11:13:00 AM at 5 Red Coat Lane, WRIGHT TWP, LUZERNE</u> Incident occurred on 8/7/2020 at 5 Red Coat Lane, Wright Twp., Luzerne County.</p> <p>While excavating to replace two storm sewer pipes Wright Township struck PAWC's water line. PA American admitted in their AVR that they had mis-marked the line.</p> <p>On December 17, 2020, DPI Locke sent an email to PAWC asking them if they would like to submit an AVR. PAWC submitted their AVR on December 23, 2020.</p> <p>PA American Water is cited for failing to mark their line within 18-inches.</p>	
17784	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION</p> <p>Project Owner: CITY OF PITTSBURGH</p>	<p><u>On 8/18/2020 7:00:00 AM at 1316 W SYCAMORE ST, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 8/18/20 at 1316 W. Sycamore Street, Pittsburgh, Allegheny County.</p> <p>***No Damage</p> <p>Incident occurred on the morning of 8/18/20, at 7:00 a.m. Excavator A. Folino Company Inc got to their work site at 1316 W Sycamore St Pittsburgh City, Allegheny Co. PA to resurface the road, and immediately smelled gas. Foreman then used a shovel to find each service line on the road. Once the gas line was exposed, 911 was called. It would be prudent to call in an emergency ticket and 911 before locating the line, when there is a gas smell. Peoples Gas AVR states the incident occurred at 1:48.</p> <p>AVR from A. Folino states that they arrived at their work site at 7 a.m. 4 and a half hours later an emergency ticket was placed. On 10/09/2020 email was sent to A. Folino asking about this project. No response was received. This is a violation of Section 5(17) and Penalty applied.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>AVR from People’s Gas states that this old steel gas service line was not damaged. It was uncovered by A. Folino. A Peoples Gas worker found this gas line to have a grade 1 leak, with pinholes, caused by corrosion.</p> <p>Emergency ticket 20202311807, placed at 11:25, does not list anything about a gas line, or what the emergency is. It lists that crew is on site and the work is for base repair. Email was sent on 10/09/2020 to A. Folino asking for more information about this emergency and if this is part of a larger project. No response was received. 911 and Peoples Gas were called after the line was discovered at 1:49 p.m.</p> <p>The emergency ticket is the only ticket found for the area. If A. Folino had called in a ticket before any digging, Peoples Gas would have found the leaking gas line. This is a second offense violation of Section 5(2.1) and penalty applied.</p> <p>The City of Pittsburgh did not submit an AVR. This is a violation of section 6.1(7) and penalty applied.</p> <p>This investigation was brought to attention, because multiple AVR’s were submitted since 8/11/2020, concerning excavating and notification issues with multiple gas lines, owned by Columbia Gas and People’s Gas, in various locations.</p>	
20158	<p>Facility Owner: PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: J P C Group Inc.</p> <p>Project Owner: Philadelphia Water Department</p> <p>Designer: Hunt Engineering Company</p>	<p><u>On 9/1/2020 9:00:00 AM at S 8TH ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 9/1/20 on S. 8th St., Philadelphia.</p> <p>PGW has reported that on September 1, 2020, J P C Group Inc. struck their line 4 times with a pneumatic missile. It is not known at this time if 911 was called. PGW Photo 4 shows all 4 hits to the line and one of them did go through, so there would have been an escape of gas. DPI Locke also asked PGW of the 4 strikes were the result of one shot somehow striking the line 4 times in 1 shot or if this was 4 separate shots . PGW says they sent the missile 4 times at the same location. PGW states that they do not know if 911 was called. PGW also states in their follow-up emali that they do not know if the excavator potholed the area but that it did not look like it. JPC states in their AVR that the line was not at the depth marked on the plans, they did not actually pothole to verify the depth of the line. Their AVR also says they did not call 911.</p> <p>On February 3, 2021, DPI Locke sent AVR emails to JPC, Phila. Water Department, and Hunt Engineering. JPC Group sent an email on 2/5 saying they had trouble submitting an AVR at the time of the strike and submitted it on Feb 9, 2021. JPC admitted that after they had trouble submitting an AVR the first time that it was missed. Because this excavator does not have a history of failing to submit AVRs in a timely manner I am not citing them. On February 22, 2021 Hunt Engineering sent a letter in lieu of an AVR stating that they were not responsible because their services were terminated in February 2020. Phila Water Department did not respond or file an AVR for this incident and they are cited accordingly.</p> <p>On February 3, 2021 DPI Locke sent an email to PGW with follow up questions (photos, was 911 called, was this 1 strike that hit 4 places or 4 strikes on the same street, did the excavator pothole the area). PGW responded with photos saying there are 4 strikes from 4 attempts to shoot the missile (please see photos).</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>JPC is cited for failure to employ prudent excavation techniques, failure to plan excavation work to avoid damage, failure to call 911 after hitting a gas line, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days. All penalties except for failure to call 911 have been reduced to warnings with mandatory education.</p> <p>PGW is cited for responding 1 day late to Ticket No. 20202171855. Response due 8/6/20. PGW did not mark their line until 8/7 (1 day late).</p> <p>Philadelphia Water Department is cited for releasing a project to design or bid without a final design ticket. This penalty has been reduced to a warning with mandatory education. PWD is also cited for failure to submit an AVR within 30 days of being notified of the incident. PWD was notified via both email and USPS mail and this is not the first time being cited for failure to submit an AVR as a project owner.</p>	
24124	<p>Facility Owner: VERIZON NORTH Contractor/Excavator: W CRAIG ADAMS INC</p>	<p><u>On 6/10/2021 7:00:00 AM at 10 GRUMBACHER RD, MANCHESTER TWP, YORK PREDISCUSSION CASE-</u> At the DPC meeting held on 10/5/2021, the suggestion was made to maximize Verizon's fines due to blatantly disregarding the Law throughout PA.</p> <p>~Near Miss incident occurring on 6/10/2021 at 10 Grumbacher Rd., near Mat Rd. and Board Rd., Manchester Township, York County.</p> <p>W Craig Adams Inc. submitted an AVR stating, "STAKE CENTER HAS DONE THIS TO NUMEROUS LOCATE REQUESTS FOR DIFFERENT YORK COUNTY LOCATIONS FOR OUR COMPANY WHERE THEY DO NOT HAVE THEM MARKED WITHIN THE REQUIRED 3 BUSINESS DAY LAW!!! HOLDING UP OUR WORK." A violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for ticket #20211581988 is recommended against Verizon.</p> <p>A renotify Ticket was placed, ticket #202115819881, with the comments, "ATTENTION VERIZON NORTH YOU HAVE RESPONDED TO THIS CALLEER ON JUNE 9TH AT 3:17 PM AND SAID YOU WERE UNABLE TO COMPLETE THE MARKINGS WITHIN THE REQUIRED 3 DAY LAW. PLEASE MARK YOUR LINES ASAP. PLEASE CALL KIM AT ***** WITH ANY PROBLEMS OR QUESTIONS." A response to the renotify ticket was still not obtained. A violation of 2(5)(v.1)- failed to communicate directly to the excavator within two hours after renotification of the information about its facility location and, if necessary and possible, go to the proposed work site to mark, stake or locate its underground lines or to verify to the excavator that the facility owner's underground lines are not within the area of the proposed work site is recommended against Verizon.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon- ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification ~2(5)(v.1)- failed to communicate directly to the excavator within two hours after renotification of the information about its facility location and, if necessary and possible, go to the</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		proposed work site to mark, stake or locate its underground lines or to verify to the excavator that the facility owner's underground lines are not within the area of the proposed work site.	