EDEWG Meeting 5/2/2013

**Utilities:** PECO, Duquesne Light Company, First Energy, PPLEU, and UGI Utilities

**Suppliers/Service Providers**:

Ista North America, Constellation, Dominion Retail, GDF Suez, UGI Energy Services, Direct Energy, First Energy Solutions, PPL Solutions, Liberty Power, EC Info Systems, AEP Energy, Accenture, YEP Energy, Washington Gas Energy Services, Big Data Energy and TriEagle Energy

**Other:** Matt Wurst - PAPUC Staff

**Agenda:**

1. Roll Call
2. Approve April 4th meeting minutes
3. EDI Change Control

EDI CC 105 – clarify ‘banked’ generation adjustments in the 867MU & 867IU transaction sets (EDC action items in 4/4 minutes)

1. 867IU IG – Unit of measure looping when reporting data at the account (BQ) level.
2. Web Portal Working Group – status update
3. Duquesne Light FOCUS project - status update
4. New Business
5. Next Meeting

**Meeting Notes:**

## Introductions & Roll Call

Brandon Siegel (ISTA-North America) commenced roll call while Matt Sigg, Constellation (Co-Chair-Supplier) facilitated the meeting. Other EDEWG leadership present: Matt Wurst (PA PUC Staff) and Sue Scheetz, PPLEU (Co-Chair Utility)

## Approve Meeting Minutes

## The April meeting minutes were approved without revision.

## EDI Change Control

## EDI Change Control 105 – support for banked generation adjustments

Brandon Siegel presented EDI CC 105 as a result of recently learning FirstEnergy and PPLEU follow a process where excess customer generation is banked then applied to first subsequent month where consumption is greater than generation. EDEWG agreed the extra data segments are unnecessary though an explanation of the practice should be added to the PA notes section of the 867MU & 867IU transaction sets.

Action items from 4/4 meeting…

* EDCs roll over banked KH?
  + FE, PPL, DLCO & UGI = Yes
  + PECO = No
* Do all roll over EDCs report the adjusted banked KH in the BB loop only?
  + FE = Yes, BB loop
  + PPL = Yes, BB loop
  + DLCO = Yes, BB loop
  + UGI = Yes, BB loop
* What KH is reported in settlement reporting to PJM? **[ACTION ITEM – PPL, FE & DLCO please confirm during the June meeting, note UGI’s response below…this would seem to be the correct process, is it not?]**
  + FE = Reports actual
  + PPL = Reports actual
  + DLCO = Reports actual
  + UGI = Reports the net to PJM or zero if net negative.

CC 105 remains open pending further EDEWG discussion and review of updated CC105.

## 867IU – Unit of measure looping when reporting IU detail at the account (BQ) level

PECO recently mentioned to leadership during internal testing they noticed a possible discrepancy with the EDI 867 Implementation Guideline. The 867IU IG states that one PTD\*BQ loop should be sent for each unit of measure.  However, currently PECO is only sending the PTD\*BQ loop for the KH despite having both KH and K1 in the BB.  PECO reviewed the IG and notice that the examples in the IG for the account-level IU have this same feature, meaning that they also include both KH and K1 reads in the BB but only include a BQ for the KH reads.  (This is also true for recent net metering Change Control 103.)

PECO proposed two ways of handling this inconsistency, both via administrative EDEWG change controls, since PECO has no plans to change this and has been sending the account-level IU this way for several years, with no complaints from EGSs

1. Adding notes indicating that PECO will only send PTD\*BQ in an account-level IU for the KH reads even if K1 reads exist on the account, and modifying the examples in the IG and CC 103 to add BQ loops for K1.
2. Modifying existing language to indicate that providing PTD\*BQ for the KH reads is mandatory but also noting that looping the PTD\*BQ for every unit of measure becomes an optional practice.  No changes to examples needed.

Brandon Siegel stated when leadership discussed this during a recent leadership call, the group was mixed on which option would be best. It was decided to poll each EDC during the EDEWG meeting to see how they are sending the data in this situation…

* PPLEU – sending each applicable UOM in BB loop, only KH in BQ loop
* FE – sending each UOM in BB and BQ loops
* DLCO – checking, will report back to EDEWG **(ACTION ITEM: Provide NLT June meeting)**
* UGI – N/A as UGI has no interval metered customers

Pending the DLCO response, EDEWG will discuss this item in more detail during the June meeting and determine the best practice to update the EDI 867IU IG to reflect current practices.

## Web Portal Working Group Update

Matt Wurst put the previously distributed WPWG charter out for final discussion prior to a vote. No additional comments were made on the charter so EDEWG put forth and approved as final.

The initial meeting of the WPWG might be held during the PPL Supplier Conference in early June. Additional details will be sent to the WPWG distribution and the EDEWG list server once final.

## Status update on Duquesne Light’s 2013 System Replacement (FOCUS Project)

Duquesne Light provided a status update on DLC’s 2013 Customer & Billing system replacement.

Key points regarding the FOCUS project…

* The go-live has been moved from 2Q 2013 to December 2013, exact date TBD.
* Testing continues with the small subset of volunteer suppliers/providers. 814 and 867 testing is winding down. Next transactions to be tested will be the 810, 568 & 820.
* DLC reported EDI CC 85, 87 and 103 along with the 867HI remain for a January 2014 implementation and have not been delayed with the change in the go-live date.
* In the FOCUS system, DLC will be sending a 4 decimal value to report consumption data in the EDI 867 transaction sets. (Ex: 871.1234 ) No EDI change control is necessary as the existing EDI implementation guidelines (data dictionary) support 4 numbers to the right of the decimal for the QTY02, MEA03, MEA05, and MEA06 elements. Suppliers and service providers should confirm their systems are able to support the new value.
* DLC is planning a supplier conference in Pittsburgh to provide further details regarding the FOCUS project, details forthcoming.
* Any market stakeholder with questions or concerns pertaining to DLC’s new system should email Supplier Support ([DLC\_SSC@duqlight.com](mailto:DLC_SSC@duqlight.com)) with ‘FOCUS’ in the subject line

## New Business

* Sue Scheetz mentioned an EDI change control will be forthcoming to address the need for a new rejection reason to the 814E. This will be used for any account which is pending active electric service, PPLEU will reject with the new code which notifies the supplier they must resubmit the enrollment later. Brandon will review the EDI guidelines from other jurisdictions to see if a code is already used for a similar reason.
* Sue Sheetz reminded everyone PPLEU will be holding their annual Supplier Conference on June 5-6 in Bethlehem, PA. For more information, please contact PPLEU Supplier Support ([PPLUtilitiesSupplier@pplweb.com](mailto:PPLUtilitiesSupplier@pplweb.com)).
* Sue Scheetz reported efforts have been underway amongst the EDCs to establish a working group to address Seamless Moves & Instant Connects. The group is working on documentation addressing business practices and is also developing a charter. Currently this group is comprised of EDCs only but will expand to suppliers and service providers once the initial business practices are documented. Initially the group doesn’t believe the will be an EDI impact for Instant Connects but the Seamless Move will most likely require EDI enhancements.
* Sue Scheetz reports PPLEU will hold a webinar on their new Supplier Portal on Tuesday, May 14th from 9:30-10:30AM Eastern. Details will be sent to PPLEU’s supplier distribution or for more information, contact PPL Supplier Support ([PPLUtilitiesSupplier@pplweb.com](mailto:PPLUtilitiesSupplier@pplweb.com)).

## Next Meeting

Due to the PPLEU Supplier Conference, the next regular monthly EDEWG meeting will be held on Thursday, **June 13th** 2013 at 2PM ET. The number is **312.777.1429   PIN:  2203616#**. Thank you to PPL Electric Utilities for hosting our conference calls.