

Regular Monthly Meeting – 5/7/2015

**Utilities:** Duquesne Light, FirstEnergy, UGI, Citizens Electric, PECO

**Suppliers/Service Providers**:

Intelometry, First Energy Solutions, EC Infosystems, Direct Energy, AEP Energy, UGI Energy Services, PPL Solutions, Liberty Power, Latitude Technologies, Aurea, ATMS, Crius Energy, Energy Services Group, GDF Suez, NRG, Champion Energy Services, Constellation, Customized Energy Solutions

**Other:** PA PUC Staff (Jeff McCracken & Lee Yalcin)

**Agenda:**

1. Roll Call
2. Approve April meeting minutes (sent to list server 4/7/15)
3. EDI CC #123 (814E) – new request to add PLC/NSPL dates and future PLC value
4. Revisit CC #105 to confirm EDC handling – attached ‘revisit doc’ includes LDC responses in yellow on p.2 (Duquesne yet to respond)
5. 867MU/867IU – Summary loop data reporting concerns
6. Duquesne’s FOCUS project – status update
7. Mid-Cycle/Accelerated Switching – status update for Phase II
8. Web Portal Working Group – PUC issued tentative order, comment period open
9. Seamless Move & Instant Connect – EDCs updated implementation plans, comment period open & 814 Move transaction discussion.
10. EDI Contacts List – current draft attached, last request for updates.
11. New Business
12. Next Meeting

 **Meeting Notes:**

## Introductions & Roll Call

Brandon Siegel, Intelometry (Secretary / Change Control Manager) commenced roll call and Christine Hughey (Constellation) facilitated the meeting. Other EDEWG leadership present: Sue Scheetz, PPL EU (Co-chair, Utility), Jeff McCracken & Lee Yalcin, PA PUC Staff

## Approve Meeting Minutes

## The May meeting minutes were approved without revision.

## EDI Change Control #123 (814E) –request to add PLC/NSPL dates & future PLCvalue

Kim Wall (PPL Solutions) briefed the group on EDI CC# 123 to add effective dates to the PLC (ICAP) and NSPL (NITS) values in the 814 Enrollment response. The request would also add the future PLC value and effective date as well. By providing these values in the EDI 814 Enrollment Response, the supplier will know exactly which values are in effect when. Currently the utilities each follow different practices of when they notify suppliers of annual PLC & NSPL changes and if they provide updates to the supplier when a customer is enrolled after the annual changes are sent.

The group discussed and FirstEnergy stated the PLC & NSPL values with effective dates are provided in the EDI 867HU/HIU transaction. While true, the suppliers still don’t know each utilities’ practice around sending the annual 814 updates, timing with the enrollment response relative to the date when the new values go into effect and if the utility will notify the supplier of the new values for customers enrolled after the annual 814 change.

In advance of motioning the change request for approval, the utilities agreed to review the below list of questions presented by Kim and provide their response for discussion during the next call. EDCs please send your response to Brandon Siegel for compilation and distribution with our June meeting agenda.

Note: The term ICAP is also sometimes known as PLC; the term NITS is also sometimes known as NSPL.

1. Explain how the changes to ICAP and NITS are handled.
	1. When are 814 Changes sent for the new ICAP Tags?
	2. When are 814 Changes sent for the new NITS Tags?
	3. If any customers enroll after 814 Changes are sent, is an 814 Change sent to that new supplier for the future value(s)?
	4. Is there an exact date that you stop sending the current ICAP tag on the 814 Enrollment Response and start sending the new ICAP Tag?
		1. For example, would you send the 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 tag all the way through enrollments received by the cutoff time of the last business day prior to June 1, and then always send the new ICAP tag with enrollments processed on the first business day, after or including June 1st?
2. The utility knows the ICAP and NITS effective dates that you are sending at the time you are creating 814 Enrollment Responses.
	1. Would it be very costly to include two new EDI segments to show the effective dates for ICAP and NITS?
	2. If not difficult or excessively costly, would you potentially be willing to implement?
3. Determine how difficult it would be to include Future ICAP and associated Effective Dates on the 814 Enrollment Response.
	1. If not difficult, would you potentially be willing to implement?
4. An alternative to the recommended change control is for the supplier to request 867 Historical Usage/867 Historical Interval Usage for every account that is enrolled.
	1. Are there any limits to the number of times that a supplier may request HU/HIU for an account?
	2. Are there any limits to the number of 814 HU/HIU requests that may be sent on a single day?
		1. For example, on June 1st, can I send HU/HIU request for all of my customers to ensure that I have the latest ICAP Tag?
	3. Are there any charges associated with requesting 867 HU/HIU?

## Revisit EDI CC #105\_Update2 to confirm EDC handling

Due to some recent net metering changes in Maryland and new information from a PA EDC, there is concern the statements relative to excess generation under net metering require updates. Approved EDI CC #105\_Update2 added clarification of the banked KH rollover process to the PA Notes section of the EDI 867MU and EDI 867IU implementation guidelines. The EDEWG leadership respectfully requests each EDC review both paragraphs in CC #105, especially the 2nd which has a sentence to address PJM settlement. EDCs to either confirm or send updated verbiage directly to Brandon Siegel for compilation NLT April 30th. This item will be reviewed and discussed during our May meeting.

* Duquesne Light has yet to respond to the request. Review and discussion of this item will be delayed until the June meeting.
* As part of similar effort in Maryland, FirstEnergy will be updating their comments and possibly examples, once received Brandon Siegel will update the documentation for the revisit discussions.

## 867MU/867IU – Summary loop data reporting concerns

## Kim Wall had to drop off for another meeting. The group felt best to delay discussion to our June meeting.

## Update on Duquesne Light’s FOCUS project

Markia Banks (Duquesne Light Company) reported…

* DLC’s top priority is researching and fixing a few batch processing issues which remain after their latest emergency fix which was deployed last week. Status update will be provided on Tuesday’s Supplier teleconference.

Supplier teleconferences continue each Tuesday & Thursday @ 11AM ET. These meetings provide a forum for suppliers to report issues and for DLC to pass important updates. Parties are strongly encouraged to participate. To participate, please contact DLC\_SSC@duqlight.com to be added to the teleconference notifications / access list.

## Accelerated / Mid-Cycle Customer Switching – Status Update

Phase II Status of the EDCs…

* PPL: On target for August 1st implementation of metered accounts; unmetered TBA
* PECO: Final (long term) solution is live, no Phase II project necessary
* FirstEnergy: project underway, target date TBA
* Duquesne: in project planning, target date TBA

## Web Portal Working Group – PUC tentative order & comment period open

On April 23rd, the PAPUC issued a tentative order regarding the EDEWG’s filing of the WPWG solution framework. The comment period is open for 30 calendar days. The EDEWG leadership met to review the tentative order as well as questions put forth by Commissioner Cawley. Leadership will be filing comments to respond to Commissioner Cawley’s questions.

## Seamless Move / Instant Connect

As of April 28th all of the updated EDC implementation plans for SM/IC were filed and available for review on the PUC website. The Commission will provide a 30 day comment period which ends on May 28th.

In advance of this meeting Kim Wall (PPL Solutions) emailed Brandon Siegel inquiring of the validity of the REF11 (EGS Account Number) in the EDI 814 Move transaction. It’s presumed the PUC will order the use of the EDI 814 Move as part of the SM/IC utility filings and forthcoming order. Currently the EDI 814M has been merely a proposed transaction which was developed by the SM/IC working group back in late 2013. Brandon requests the EDEWG to review the EDI 814M and bring forth any additional questions, concerns, etc. during out June meeting. Specifically parties are asked about the use of the REF11…will the EDCs be able to port the REF11 over to the customers new service location? Suppliers do you really want the same REF11 or do you prefer to create a new REF11 when you import the EDI 814M?

EDEWG will continue discussions on the 814 Move during our June meeting.

## EDI Contacts List

Parties are asked to review the version of the regional EDI contacts list in the meeting agenda email and send any updates to Brandon Siegel by May 27th. A final version will be distributed to the regional EDI working groups in advance of their June meetings.

## New Business

* FirstEnergy has delayed their implementation of EDI CC #103 (net meter data reporting) for the EDI 867IU & 867HIU transaction sets from May 8th to June 19th.

## Next Meeting

Due to scheduling conflicts, the next regular monthly EDEWG meeting will be held on **WEDNESDAY**, June 3rd 2015 at 2PM ET. Please note this is not our usual first Thursday of each month.

To participate, please dial **312.777.1429 - PIN:  2203616#**

Thank you to PPL Electric Utilities for hosting our EDEWG conference calls.