

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265

**Petition of PPL Electric Utilities
Corporation for Approval of a
Default Service Program and
Procurement Plan**

**Public Meeting of June 18, 2009
2060309-OSA*
Docket No. P-2008-2060309**

STATEMENT OF VICE CHAIRMAN TYRONE J. CHRISTY

I am respectfully dissenting from the majority's decision to approve the Joint Petition for Settlement establishing a Default Service Program (DSP) and Procurement Plan for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL).

My disagreement with today's decision is based on two factors. First, I believe that the full requirements approach to obtaining power supply for PPL's customers will not lead to a good result, and that a managed portfolio plan would be a better approach to obtaining reasonably priced electricity.

Second, I do not believe that PPL's DSP fully complies with Act 129 of 2008, which became effective on October 15, 2008, and which applies to this proceeding. Unfortunately, I believe that today's decision falls short of the Act's requirements. Instead of the fundamental changes to procurement strategies that I believe that are mandated by Act 129, PPL's DSP largely represents "business as usual."

Act 129 requires, *inter alia*, that EDCs take prudent steps necessary to obtain least cost generation supply on a long-term, short-term and spot market basis. 66 Pa.C.S. § 2807(e)(3.7). The requirement that PPL obtain a portion of its generation supply on a long-term basis is not met by this DSP, which includes only one five-year purchase of a 100 MW energy block and one ten-year purchase of a 50 MW energy block for the residential class.

DATE

TYRONE J. CHRISTY, VICE CHAIRMAN