

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, ELC 213 Market Street - 8th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 TEL 717 237 6000 FAX 717 237 6019 www.eckertseamans.com

Deanne M. O'Dell 717.255.3744 dodell@eckertseamans.com

August 25, 2010

Via Hand Delivery

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary PA Public Utility Commission PO Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re:

Joint Application of West Penn Power Company d/b/a Allegheny Power, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company and FirstEnergy Corp. for a Certificate of Public Convenience under Section 1102(a)(3) of the Public Utility Code approving a change of control of West Penn Power Company and Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, Docket Nos. A-2010-2176520 and A-2010-2176732

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

On behalf of Direct Energy Services, Inc., enclosed for filing please find the original and three copies of its Objections To The Interrogatories (Set I) Of West Penn Power Company, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, And FirstEnergy Corp with regard to the above-referenced matter. Copies have been served in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Very truly yours,

Deanne M. O'Dell, Esq.

DMO/lww Enclosure

cc: Cert. of Service w/enc.



BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Joint Application of West Penn Power Company d/b/a Allegheny Power, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company and FirstEnergy Corp. for a Certificate of Public Convenience under Section 1102(a)(3) of the Public Utility Code approving a change of control of West Penn Power Company

And Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

Docket No. A-2010-2176520 Docket No. A-2010-2176732

OBJECTIONS OF DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES
TO THE INTERROGATORIES (SET I) OF WEST PENN POWER COMPANY, TRANSALLEGHENY INTERSTATE LINE COMPANY, AND FIRSTENERGY CORP

Pursuant to 66 Pa. C.S. § 333(d) and 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.342(e) and (e), Direct Energy Services ("Direct Energy") object to Set I Interrogatory Nos. I-26, I-28 and I-29 propounded by West Penn Power Company, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, and FirstEnergy Corp. (collectively, "Joint Applicants"). Notwithstanding and without waiver of these objections, Direct Energy will provide responses to each of the questions to which an objection is being lodged.

I. Introduction

On August 19, 2010, Joint Applicants served Direct Energy with its first set of Interrogatories containing 46 questions, 12 subparts and two exhibits from presentations by Direct Energy's parent company, Centrica. Direct Energy is preparing responses to all of these questions and plans to serve them on August 30, 2010 in accordance with the discovery schedule set forth in this proceeding. There are, however, three questions I-26, I-28 and I-29 to which Direct Energy objects as set forth herein. Notwithstanding these objections and without waiver of them and in the interests of attempting to provide a full and complete record for the Commission, Direct Energy will provide responses to each of them.

II. Objections

The Commission's regulations permit a party to seek discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action. 52 Pa. Code § 5.321. However, the regulations prohibit discovery if it "would cause unreasonably annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, burden or expense to a party." 52 Pa. Code § 5.361(a)(2). As explained below, while questions I-26, I-28 and I-29 seek information that should not be required to be disclosed, Direct Energy will provide responses notwithstanding and without waiver of its objections.

A. Direct Energy's Objections to Question I-26

Interrogatory I-26 states as follows:

Request: -JA(DIRECT)-I-26 Please identify any generation assets in PJM targeted for acquisition as part of the corporate strategy to source 35% to 40% of Direct Energy's North American Market from assets owned by Direct Energy (Road Show, p. 41).

Direct Energy objects to this request on the basis that it is not relevant nor is it reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. In addition, this questions seeks information related to a matter which is privileged because it seeks data that Direct Energy is prohibited from disclosing due to non-disclosure and confidentiality agreements with other entities.

First, the information sought is not relevant nor is it reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this proceeding. 52 Pa. Code § 5.321(c). At its core, this proceeding is about whether Joint Applicants' request to merge should be approved by the Commission as consistent with the statutory requirements that it provide an affirmative public benefit. In its testimony, Direct Energy has explained why the proposed merger fails to meet the statutory requirements and what measures must be implemented to the extent the Commission

chooses not to deny the merger. Direct Energy's business strategy and business plans are not relevant to these issues which are rightly focused on the Joint Applicants. As this information is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding, Direct Energy submits that this request not only does not meet the relevancy test but also is being sought to cause unreasonably annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, burden or expense and should be prohibited. 52 Pa. Code § 5.361(a)(2).. Notwithstanding this objection and without waiver of it, Direct Energy will provide a response.

Second, and in the normal course of business, Direct Energy is bound by non-disclosure and confidentiality agreements with various entities with whom it is either considering or actively discussing potential acquisition and/or business arrangements. Pursuant to these agreements, therefore, the information is privileged and barred from discovery, even pursuant to the protective order entered in this case. 52 Pa. Code § 5.361(a)(3). To the extent Direct Energy is not bound by any non-disclosure or confidentiality agreements, it will provide a response notwithstanding and without waiver of its objections.

B. Direct Energy's Objections to Question I-28

Interrogatory I-28 states as follows:

Request: -JA(DIRECT)-I-28 Per the March 12, 2010 Centrica plc-Capital Markets Presentation (attached as Exhibit B to these Interrogatories), p. 66, Direct Energy seeks to be "a strong retail energy business (no. 1 or 2) in our core retail markets (residential and C&I)."

- 1. Please describe how Direct Energy defines "no. 1 or no. 2" in its core retail markets.
- 2. Please describe the percentage market share that Direct Energy believes will result in Direct Energy being "no. 1 or no. 2" in its core retail markets.
- 3. Please provide the estimated MWh sales that Direct Energy will have in its US Northeast Core Market referenced in the Road Show over the next 5 years, assuming it attains the no. 1 or no. 2 market position.
- 4. How much generating capacity will Direct Energy need to acquire over the next 5 years to provide the estimated MWh sales provided in

response to Interrogatory No. 30.3 to meet its strategy to source 35% to 40% of the projected MWh sales from assets owned by Direct Energy?

Direct Energy objects to subparts 2-4 of I-28 for two reasons. First, this question is not seeking relevant information nor is it reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. 52 Pa. Code § 5.321(c). As discussed above, Direct Energy's business strategy and business plans are not relevant to the issues in this proceeding and is being sought to cause unreasonable annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, burden or expense and should be prohibited. 52 Pa. Code § 5.361(a)(2).

Second, Direct Energy objects to these questions to the extent they are seeking highly detailed information related to Direct Energy's business plans and marketing strategy. Such information is at the core of Direct Energy's business and is highly sensitive such that the release of it would be prejudicial and damaging to Direct Energy's business. 52 Pa. Code § 5.361.

Direct Energy has not relied upon the information sought in this question to support its position in this case, it has not released such information to the other parties in this case, nor does it in the normal course of business release such information to other entities. While there is a Protective Order, the terms of the Protective Order would not mitigate potential damage to Direct Energy to releasing this information nor can the Protective Order be used as a tool to require Direct Energy to produce information that is not relevant to the issues of this proceeding and, if released, would substantially harm a party. Notwithstanding and without waiver of this objection, Direct Energy will provide a confidential response to these questions.

C. Direct Energy's Objection to Interrogatory I-29

Interrogatory states as follows:

Request: -JA(DIRECT)-I-29 Please provide Direct Energy's estimate of the top 5 providers of competitive electricity services in the US Northeast Core Market referenced in the Road Show (p. 39).

Is any affiliate of FirstEnergy Corp. or Allegheny Power 1. considered a top 5 provider of competitive electricity services in the US

Northeast Core Market as described by Centrica?

Consistent with its previously explained objections as to relevancy and to the extent this question

is seeking highly detailed information related to Direct Energy's business plans and marketing

strategy, Direct Energy objects to this question. Notwithstanding this objection and without

waiver of it, Direct Energy will provide a response.

III. Conclusion

For all the reasons set forth above, Direct Energy's objections to Joint Applicants'

Interrogatories I-26, I-28 and I-29 should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel Clearfield, Esq.

Deanne M. O'Dell, Esq.

Carl Shultz, Esq.

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC

213 Market St., 8th Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

717.237.7173

Dated: August 25, 2010

5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this day I served a copy Direct Energy's Objections To The Interrogatories (Set I) Of West Penn Power Company, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, and FirstEnergy Corp upon the persons listed below in the manner indicated in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54.

Via Email and/or First Class Mail

Randall B. Palmer, Esq.
Jennifer L. Petrisek, Esq.
Allegheny Energy, Inc.
800 Cabin Hill Dr.
Greensburg, PA 15601
rpalmer@alleghenyenergy.com
jpetrisek@alleghenyenergy.com

Wendy E. Stark, Esq. Bradley A. Bingaman, Esq. FirstEnergy Service Company 2800 Pottsville Pike PO Box 16001 Reading, PA 19612-6001 starkw@firstenergycorp.com

Alan Michael Seltzer, Esq. W. Edwin Ogden, Esq. Ryan, Russell, Ogden & Seltzer, PC 1150 Berkshire Blvd., Suite 210 Wyomissing, PA 19610-1208 aseltzer@ryanrussell.com wogden@ryanrussell.com

Thomas P. Gadsden, Esq. Kenneth M. Kulak, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 tgadsden@morganlewis.com kkulak@morganlewis.com

Scott Rubin, Esq.
333 Oak Lane
Bloomsburg, PA 17815
Scott.j.rubin@gmail.com

Darryl Lawrence, Esq.
Tanya J. McCloskey, Esq.
Office of Consumer Advocate
5th Floor, Forum Place
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
Dlawrence@paoca.org
tmccloskey@paoca.org

Daniel Asmus, Esq.
Office of Small Business Advocate
1102 Commerce Building
300 N. Second St.
Harrisburg, PA 17101
dasmus@state.pa.us

Allison C. Kaster, Esq. Carrie B. Wright, Esq. Office of Trial Staff PO Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17101-3265 akaster@state.pa.us carwright@state.pa.us

Charis Mincavage, Esq.
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
100 Pine Street
PO Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166
cmincavage@mwn.com

Derrick Price Williamson, Esq.
Barry Naum, Esq.Spilman Thomas & Battle
1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com
bnaum@spilmanlaw.com

Vasiliki Karandrikas, Esq. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 100 Pine Street PO Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 vkarandrikas@mwn.com

Thomas J. Sniscak, Esq.
Hawke McKeon & Sniscak LLP
100 N. Tenth St.
PO Box 1778
Harrisburg, PA 17105
tjsniscak@hmslegal.com

Benjamin L. Willey, Esq. 7272 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814 blw@bwilleylaw.com

Kurt E. Klapkowski, Esq.
Department of Environmental Protection RCSOB, 9th Floor
400 Market St.
Harrisburg, PA 17101-2301
kklapkowsk@state.pa.us

Stephen H. Jordan, Esq. Rothman Gordon, P.C. Third Floor, Grant Building 310 Grant St. Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Theodore Robinson, Esq.
Staff Attorney
Citizen Power
2121 Murray Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

Divesh Gupta, Esq.
Constellation Energy
111 Market Place, Suite 500
Baltimore, MD 21202
Divesh.gupta@constellation.com

Charles E. Thomas, Jr., Esq.
Thomas, Long, Niesen & Kennard
212 Locust St.
PO Box 9500
Harrisburg, PA 17108-9500
cthomas@thomaslonglaw.com

John K. Baillie, Esq.
Charles McPhedran, Esq.
Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future
425 Sixth Ave., Suite 2770
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
baillie@pennfuture.org
mcphedran@pennfuture.org

Gary A. Jack, Esq.
Kelly L. Geer, Esq.
Duquesne Light Company
411 Seventh Ave., 16-4
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
gjack@duqlight.com
kgeer@duqlight.com

Thomas T. Niesen, Esq.
Thomas, Long, Niesen & Kennard
212 Locust St.
PO Box 9500
Harrisburg, PA 17108-9500
tniesen@thomaslonglaw.com

Regina L. Matz, Esq.
Thomas, Long, Niesen & Kennard
212 Locust St.
PO Box 9500
Harrisburg, PA 17108-9500
rmatz@thomaslonglaw.com

Susan E. Bruce, Esq.
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
100 Pine Street
PO Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166
sbruce@mwn.com

Scott H. Strauss, Esq. Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP 1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

Eric P. Cheung, Esq. Clean Air Council 135 S. 19th St., Suite 300 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Michael D. Fiorentino, Esq. 42 E. Second St., Suite 200 Media, PA 19063 mdfiorentino@gmail.com

Dated: August 25, 2010