

100 Pine Street \* PO Box 1166 \* Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 Tel: 717.232.8000 \* Fax: 717.237.5300 Teresa K. Schmittberger Direct Dial: 717.237.5270 Direct Fax: 717.260.1688 tschmittberger@mwn.com

September 7, 2012

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street, 2nd Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120 VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND HAND DELIVERY

RE: Joint Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company, Pennsylvania Power Company and West Penn Power Company for an Evidentiary Hearing on the Energy Efficiency Benchmarks Established for the Period June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2016; Docket Nos. P-2012-2320450, P-2012-2320468, P-2012-2320480, and P-2012-2320484

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC" or "Commission") the signed original of the Prehearing Memorandum on behalf of the Met-Ed Industrial Users Group ("MEIUG"), the Pennelec Industrial Customer Alliance ("PICA"), the Penn Power Users Group ("PPUG"), and the West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors ("WPPII") in the above-referenced proceeding.

As evidenced by the attached Certificate of Service, all parties to this proceeding are being duly served with a copy of this document. Please date stamp the extra copy of this transmittal letter and Prehearing Memorandum, and kindly return them to our messenger for our filing purposes.

Sincerely,

McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

Teresa K. Schmittberger

Counsel to the Met-Ed Industrial Users Group, the Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance, the Penn Power Users Group, and the West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors

TKS/leh

Enclosures

c: Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth H. Barnes (via Hand Delivery) Certificate of Service

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am this day serving a true copy of the foregoing document upon the participants listed below in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

#### **VIA E-MAIL AND FIRST-CLASS MAIL**

Kathy J. Kolich, Esq. FirstEnergy Service Company 76 S. Main Street Akron, OH 44308 kjkolich@firstenergycorp.com

Christy Appleby, Esq.
Candis Tunilo, Esq.
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
cappleby@paoca.org
ctunilo@paoca.org

Joseph Otis Minott Clean Air Council 135 S. 19th Street, Suite 300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 joe minott@cleanair.org

Heather M. Langeland, Esq. PennFuture – Sutie 2770 425 Sixth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 langeland@pennfuture.org

Harry S. Geller, Esq.
Patrick M. Cicero, Esq.
Pennsylvania Utility Law Project
118 Locust Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1414
pulp@palegalaid.net

Zachary Max Fabish, Esq. Sierra Club 50 F Street, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20001 zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org

Johnnie E. Simms, Esq.
Charles Daniel Shields, Esq.
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265
josimms@pa.gov
chshields@pa.gov

Kriss Brown, Esq. Law Bureau – PA PUC 400 North Street, Third Floor PO Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 kribrown@pa.gov

Shaun A. Sparks, Esq.
Krystle J. Sacavage, Esq.
Law Bureau – PA PUC
400 North Street, Third Floor
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265
shsparks@pal.gov
ksacavage@pa.gov

Teresa K. Schmittberger

Counsel to the Met-Ed Industrial Users Group, the Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance, the Penn Power Users Group, and the West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors

Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012, at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

## BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Joint Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company, Pennsylvania

Power Company and West Penn Power Company for an Evidentiary Hearing on the

Energy Efficiency Benchmarks Established

for the Period June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2016

Docket Nos. P-2012-2320450

P-2012-2320468

P-2012-2320480

P-2012-2320484

# PREHEARING MEMORANDUM OF THE MET-ED INDUSTRIAL USERS GROUP, THE PENELEC INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER ALLIANCE, THE PENN POWER USERS GROUP, AND THE WEST PENN POWER INDUSTRIAL INTERVENORS

As requested by Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Elizabeth H. Barnes in the August 29, 2012, Prehearing Conference Order, the Med-Ed Industrial Users Group ("MEIUG"), the Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance ("PICA"), the Penn Power Users Group ("PPUG"), and the West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors ("WPPII") (collectively, "Industrial Customer Groups") hereby submit this Prehearing Memorandum.

#### I. HISTORY OF THE PROCEEDING

On August 3, 2012, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC" or "Commission") issued its Energy Efficiency and Conservation ("EE&C") Phase II Implementation Order ("I.O.") at Docket Nos. M-2012-2289411 and M-2008-2069887, tentatively adopting, among other things, Electric Distribution Company ("EDC")-specific consumption reduction targets for the period June 1, 2013, through May 31, 2016. The I.O.

indicated that an EDC could contest its specific targets by filing a petition for evidentiary hearing by August 20, 2012. If the EDC did not contest the targets, the targets would become final.

On August 20, 2012, Metropolitan Edison Company ("Met-Ed"), Pennsylvania Electric Company ("Pennelec"), Pennsylvania Power Company ("Penn Power"), and West Penn Power Company ("West Penn") (collectively, "Companies") submitted to the Commission a Joint Petition for an Evidentiary Hearing challenging the energy efficiency ("EE") benchmarks established in the I.O. ("Joint Petition"). Specifically, the Companies indicated that they cannot be certain if these energy efficiency benchmarks can be achieved until after certain assessments occur. In order to preserve their rights to challenge the energy efficiency benchmarks set forth in the I.O., the Companies submitted their Joint Petition.

On August 30, 2012, the Industrial Customer Groups filed a Joint Petition to Intervene in this proceeding. A description of the Industrial Customer Groups is set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Industrial Customer Groups' Joint Petition to Intervene. The Industrial Customer Groups' Joint Petition to Intervene awaits disposition by the ALJ.

#### II. ANTICIPATED ISSUES AND SUB-ISSUES

The Industrial Customer Groups are concerned with any modifications to the Companies' EE&C Plans. The Industrial Customer Groups anticipate pursuing these issues during this proceeding, and also reserve the right to raise further issues and to respond to issues raised by other parties.

#### III. PROPOSED WITNESSES

The Industrial Customer Groups are still evaluating whether they will present any witnesses in this proceeding. If the Industrial Customer Groups determine that they will present such witnesses, the Industrial Customer Groups will inform the ALJ and the other parties as soon

as possible. The Industrial Customer Groups intend to participate in this proceeding through the submission of discovery, cross-examination of other parties' witnesses, and the submission of briefs, exceptions and reply exceptions, if necessary.

#### IV. ADDITIONAL MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION

As per ALJ Barnes' request, the Industrial Customer Groups provide direct responses to the following issues specifically raised in the Prehearing Conference Order.

## a) Consolidation of the above-referenced docket numbers or coordination of the hearings.

The Industrial Customer Groups submit that these four dockets share common elements of fact and law. Thus, for the purposes of judicial and administrative efficiency, consolidation of the above-referenced docket numbers and coordination of the hearings is appropriate.

b) Coordination of these cases with (1) PPL Electric Utilities Company's petition for evidentiary hearing at Docket No. P-2012-2320369; and (2) PECO Energy Company's petition for evidentiary hearing at Docket No. P-2012-2320334.

The Industrial Customer Groups submit that coordination of these cases with PPL's and PECO's Petitions for Evidentiary Hearing may not be efficient because FirstEnergy, PPL and PECO have different EE&C Plans and have raised different issues in their Petitions.

### c) Whether the hearings should be held separately, but back-to-back.

The Industrial Customer Groups submit that hearings could be held sequentially, but overlaps of attorneys and witnesses may require some time between hearings to allow for adequate preparation.

## d) The possibility for settlement of the proceeding, subject to the Commission's approval.

The Industrial Customer Groups are willing to participate in settlement discussions with the other parties to amicably resolve the issues in this proceeding.

e) Whether the matter should be decided upon legal briefs, or whether a hearing is necessary.

The Industrial Customer Groups submit that deciding this matter upon legal briefs may be possible depending on the scope of testimony and an opportunity for responses.

f) If a hearing is required, a procedural schedule will be discussed including the amount of hearing time necessary to dispose of the proceeding.

The Industrial Customer Groups will cooperate with the ALJ and the parties at the Prehearing Conference to develop an appropriate procedural schedule in accordance with the Commission's regulations and any directives issued by the ALJ.

g) Arrangements for the submission of direct testimony of witnesses in writing in advance of the hearing to the extent practicable, and for the submission in advance of hearing of written requests for information which a party contemplates asking another party to present at hearing.

The Industrial Customer Groups support written testimony and discovery.

- h) Other matters that may aid in expediting the orderly conduct and disposition of the proceeding and the furtherance of justice, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - 1. The exchange and acceptance of exhibits proposed to be offered into evidence.

The Industrial Customer Groups submit that the Commission's rules and regulations regarding exchange and acceptance of exhibits is appropriate.

2. The obtaining of admissions as to, or stipulations of, facts not remaining in dispute, or the authenticity of documents which might properly shorten the hearing.

The Industrial Customer Groups will work with the other parties in an attempt to address the aforementioned issues in a manner that would appropriately and properly shorten the hearing.

3. The limitation of the number of witnesses.

The Industrial Customer Groups are willing to amicably discuss this issue with the other parties at the Prehearing Conference.

#### 4. Discovery rules modifications.

The Industrial Customer Groups do not take a position on this issue at this time.

#### V. PROPOSED DISCOVERY RULES

The Industrial Customer Groups will cooperate with the ALJ and the parties at the Prehearing Conference to develop appropriate discovery rules in accordance with the Commission's regulations and any directives issued by the ALJ.

Respectfully submitted,

McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

Susan E. Bruce (Pa. I.D. No. 80146)

Charis Mincavage (Pa. I.D. No. 82039)

Vasiliki Karandrikas (Pa. I.D. No. 89711)

Teresa K. Schmittberger (Pa. I.D. No. 311082)

100 Pine Street

P.O. Box 1166

Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

Phone: (717) 232-8000

Fax: (717) 237-5300

sbruce@mwn.com

cmincavage@mwn.com

vkarandrikas@mwn.com

tschmittberger@mwn.com

Counsel to the Met-Ed Industrial Users Group, the Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance, the Penn Power Users Group, and the West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors

Dated: September 7, 2012