BEFORE

THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISION

3

4

5

6

1

2

In re: A-00108155 Application of Central Transport, Inc. A Corporation of the State of North Carolina, for the right to transport, as a common carrier, property, in bulk, in tank and hopper-type vehicles, between points in Pennsylvania. Further hearings.

7

8

9

February 7, 1989 10:00 a.m.

10

11

Pages 346 to 444, inclusive Public Utility Commission

RECEIVED

FEB 1 0 1989

SECRETARY'S OFFICE





HOLBERT ASSOCIATES SABINA L. LOHR REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER Suite 401, Kunkel Building 301 Market Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

> HOLBERT ASSOCIATES (717) 232-4506



1.	BEFORE
2	THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
3	
4	In re: A-00108155 Application of Central Transport, Inc.
5	A Corporation of the State of North Carolina, for the right to transport, as a common carrier,
6	property, in bulk, in tank and hopper-type vehicles, between points in Pennsylvania. Further hearings.
7	
8	
9	Stenographic report of hearing held in Hearing Room No. 1306, State Office Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
10	Tuesday,
11	February 7, 1989 10:00 a.m.
12	
13	
1.4	BÉFORE
15	MICHAEL SCHNIERLE, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16	APPEARANCES:
	WILLIAM A. CHESNUTT, ESQUIRE
17	MCNEES, WALLACE, & NURICK 100 Pine Street
18	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108 Appearing on behalf of the Applicant, Central
19	Transport, Inc.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
2	WILLIAM J. O'KANE, ESQUIRE CHEMICAL LEAMAN CORPORATION
3	102 Pickering Way Exton, Pennsylvania 19341-0200
4	Appearing on behalf of Protestant, Chemical Leaman Corporation
5	KENNETH A. OLSEN, ESQUIRE
6	GEORGE A. OLSEN, ESQUIRE P.O. Box 357
7	Gladstone, New Jersey 07934 Appearing on behalf of Protestant, Marshall
8	Service, Inc.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1.8	
19	
20	<u> </u>
21	
22	
23	
24	1

					340
1	INDEX	TO WITNE	SSES		
2	MARSHALL SERVICE, INC.	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	Everett Marshall	351	371	384	387 389
4	CHEMICAL LEAMAN				
5	John B. Repetto	391	413		
6 7	INDEX	к то ехні	BITS		
8	OIL TANK LINES	<u>I</u>	DENTIFI	ED ADMI	TTED
 9	No. 1		350	35	1
10	MARSHALL SERVICE, INC.				
11	No. 1		353	39	
12	No. 2		355	39	
13	No. 3		364 366	39 39	
14	No. 5		367	39	
15	CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC.		307	33	·
16	No. 23		373	39	0
17	-NO. 24		419	43	9
18	No. 25		424	43	9
19	NO. 26		429	43	9
20	NO. 27		437	44	2
21 22					
23					
24					
25					
	I				

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: This is the time and place set for a further hearing in the matter of the application of Central Transport. I note the appearances of William Chesnutt for the applicant, William J. O'Kane for Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, and Kenneth Olsen and George Olsen for Marshall Service, Incorporated.

1.8

These hearings were originally scheduled for February 7th, 8th, and 9th but because of various scheduling conflicts and discovery problems, it appears that we'll only be having — we should be able to finish up this hearing today. And if that's the case, the next set of hearings in this proceeding will be on February 14th and 15th in Pittsburgh, February 14th starting at 1:00 and February 15th starting at 10:00 a.m.

With that preliminary note, I would inquire if there's any other business that we need to discuss this morning.

MR. CHESNUTT: If Your Honor please, I do have one matter in the nature of a preliminary. In a letter dated January 25, 1989 counsel for protestant Oil Tank Lines, Inc. addressed a letter to me with a copy to you and other parties, all other parties of record --

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Yes, I recall that.

MR. CHESNUTT: -- and made reference to a stipulation arrived at between himself and myself for our

1	respective clients. It's my impression from reading the
2	letter that copies of that stipulation were furnished to
3	His Honor and to all parties of record. I'm not worried
4	about all parties of record, but I'm worried about
5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Yes, I received a copy of the
6	stipulation.
7	MR. CHESNUTT: Okay, and then it is my duty in my
8	agreement with Mr. Graf to make available two copies for
9	the record, which I will now do.
10	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Why don't you just provide that
11	to the court reporter.
12	MR. CHESNUTT: I shall. And it's marked in terms
13	of an exhibit, Your Honor; and perhaps it should be
14	assigned a number. That's the way, at least, Mr. Graf has
15	framed it; and I have no particular ax to grind one way or
16	the other.
17	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Why don't we make it just Oil
18	Tank Lines Exhibit 1.
19	(Oil Tank Lines Exhibit No. 1 was produced and marked for identification.)
20	marked for radicitized croft.)
21	MR. CHESNUTT: All right. And I would be I
22	would move that it be received into evidence.
23	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Are there any objections?
24	MR. OLSEN: I have none, Your Honor.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Oil Tank Lines Exhibit 1, being a

1	stipulation of counsel, is admitted into the record.
2	(Oil Tank Lines Exhibit No. 1 was admitted in evidence.)
3	evidence.
4	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: And I would also note for the
5	record that in accordance with Mr. Graf's request, he's
6	excused from appearing at these hearings on these dates.
7	If there are any other preliminaries, we'll take them up
8	now. If not, and that appears to be the case, I
9	understand that Marshall Service will proceed first.
10	MR. OLSEN: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning, Your
1.1.	Honor.
12	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Good morning.
1.3	MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, I'd like to call to the
1.4	witness seat Mr. Everett Marshall from Marshall Service,
15	Incorporated. Mr. Marshall, could you please sit there.
16	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Please stand and raise your right
1.7	hand.
1.8	EVERETT MARSHALL, called as a witness, having been
19	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
30	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Please be seated.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. OLSEN:
23	Q. Mr. Marshall, could you please give your full
24	name and business address for the record.

A. Everett Marshall III, Pearl Street, Newfield,

1.	New Jersey.
2	Q. And Mr. Marshall, by whom are you employed?
3	A. Marshall Service, Incorporated.
4	Q. And in what capacity are you employed at
5	Marshall Service, Incorporated?
6	A. Vice president.
7	Q. As vice president of Marshall Service, what are
8	your functions?
9	A. As vice president of Marshall Service I do near
10	everything that has to be done at Marshall Service.
11	Q. Are you familiar with the operations, personnel,
12	equipment, and finances of your company?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. As vice president are you involved in the sales
15	and solicitation of your company?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Mr. Marshall, did you have prepared by you or
18	under your supervision copies of your operating authority
19	which you hold from the Pennsylvania Public Utility
20	Commission?
21	A. Yes.
22	MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, I would ask that this two
23	page exhibit, copies of the operating authority of
24	Marshall Service, Incorporated, held from the Pennsylvania

Public Utility Commission be marked as Marshall Exhibit

1	Number 1.
2	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: It maybe so marked
3	(Marshall Exhibit No. 1 was produced and marked for
4	identification.)
5	BY MR. OLSEN:
6	Q. Mr. Marshall, to bring your attention to what's
7	been marked for identification as a two page exhibit,
8	Marshall Exhibit Number 1, is that a true and correct copy
9	of the operating authority held by your company, from the
10	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Mr. Marshall, does your company hold itself out
13	to provide service to the public throughout the scope of
14	this operating authority?
15	A. Yes, we do.
16	Q. Mr. Marshall, how long have you been with your
17	company?
1.8	A. I've been in charge of the operation since 1971.
19	Q. Prior to that, were you employed by Marshall
20	Service, Incorporated?
21	A. When I was growing up, I was. For 39 years I
22	guess I've been involved.
23	Q. In other words, it's a family company?
24	A. Yes.

Q. Does your company have any terminals or

1	facilities, Mr. Marshall?
2	A. We have one terminal in Newfield, New Jersey.
3	It contains our maintenance facilities and our cleaning
4	facilities and our parking area.
5	Q. Do you have tank cleaning facilities at this
6	Newfield, New Jersey
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Are your offices located at Newfield, New
9	Jersey?
10	A. All of our offices are also there.
11	Q. Approximately what is the size of that facility
12	at Newfield, New Jersey?
13	A. About two acres, three bays in the maintenance
14	facility. We have an additional two bays going to be
15	added for additional cleaning, at this point.
16	Q. And is your equipment domiciled at this
17	Newfield, New Jersey facility?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Mr. Marshall, did you have prepared by you or
20	under your supervision a list of equipment operated by
21	Marshall Service, Inc., taken from the records of the
22	company, kept in the ordinary course of business?
23	A. Yes.
24	MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, I would like to have a

three page -- excuse me, Your Honor -- two page document

1	marked for identification as Marshall Exhibit Number 2.
2	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Do so.
3	(Marshall Exhibit No. 2 was produced and marked for
4	identification.)
5	MR. OLSEN: And just as a digression, Your Honor, I
6	had distributed copies of these exhibits just before the
7	start of the hearing to Mr. Chesnutt, counsel for the
8	applicant. I didn't want the record to appear I was
9	ignoring Mr. Chesnutt.
10	MR. CHESNUTT: I acknowledge receipt.
11	MR. OLSEN: Thank you.
12	BY MR. OLSEN:
13	Q. Mr. Marshall, I'd like to bring your attention
1.4	to this two page exhibit which has been marked for
15	identification as Marshall Exhibit Number 2 and ask you is
16	that a true and correct copy of the list of the equipment
17	operated by your company?
18	A. This is a true and complete list, as far as when
19	the list was made. There's an additional five trailers
20	that should be on it, a list of trailers we just
21	purchased.
22	Q. Okay, and what type trailers are these five
23	additional trailers?
24	A. These five trailers are three-compartment and
25	four-compartment trailers used primarily for lube oil

1	service, so that we can and they're large enough that
2	we can transport in both directions.
3	Q. In essence, they are tank trailers?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Mr. Marshall, is the equipment listed on
6	Marshall Exhibit Number 2 owned by your company?
7	A. Completely.
8	Q. Does your company utilize the services of any
9	owner/operators?
10	. A. At the present time we have three lease
1.1	operators.
12	Q. Turning your attention to the second page of
1.3	Marshall Exhibit Number 2, are there any special features
14	that these trailers that are listed there have?
1.5	A. Six of the trailers are stainless steel
16	insulated. One of them is aluminum insulated. They can
17	be used to haul heavy oils. We have three-compartment
1.8	four-compartment and single-compartment trailers and also
19	bottom load, for products that need to be bottom loaded.
20	Q. Are any of these trailers equipped to protect a
21	product from freezing?
22	A. The insulated stainless steels are. They're
23	heat coils.

at full capacity at the present time?

Q. Is this equipment being operated by your company

24

1	A. No, unfortunately.
2	Q. Does your company have employees, Mr. Marshall?
3	A. Approximately 30 at the present time.
4	Q. Could you for the convenience of the Judge
5	and the record, could you break that down in terms of
6	drivers, mechanics, office personnel.
7	A. Sixteen drivers; we have including the
8	family, we have six people in the office; and the rest of
9	the people are out in the shop. Total of around 30,
10	usually off and on, depending.
1.1	Q. When you say the family, are there family
1.2	members?
13	A. My mother, my father, and myself.
14	Q. Are they salaried employees of the company?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Are these employees full time or part-time
17	employees, Mr. Marshall?
1.8	A. We have a couple part-timers off and on,
19	depending on how busy we are; and the rest are full time.
20	Q. And the part-time employees, how are they
21	employed? As office personnel, or how?
32	A. Both office and shop.
33	Q. When you say shop personnel, are you referring
24	to the mechanics or

A. Mechanics and yard people that do the cleaning

l of the trailers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And what is your definition of full time employees versus part-time employees?
 - A. Full time is the guy that works all --
 - Q. At least 40 hours a week?
 - A. Yes. Most of the people do.
- Q. And part-time employees are less than that, or is it a specific number of hours per day?
 - A. Just less than that.
- Q. What are the hours of operation of your company,

 Mr. Marshall?
 - A. Seven days a week, 24 hours a day. We -- I can -- I can say that we have phones in our homes. My father has a phone in his house, I have one in mine; and when there's nobody at the shop or in the office, one of the families are home.
 - Q. Does your company stay on call for its customers and the public?
 - A. Well, we have trucks out all the times of the day and night, even on weekends, so we always have somebody by the telephone.
- Q. Who solicits the traffic and business for your company, Mr. Marshall?
- A. I do 95 percent of it. I have a part-time salesman that works 5 percent of the time, I guess.

1	Q. Does your company stand ready, willing, and able
2	to perform transportation needs within the scope of its
3	authority held from this Commission?
4	A. Absolutely.
5	Q. Does your company have a safety program, Mr.
6	Marshall?
7	A. Yes. We have a bonus program that we have with
8	the drivers. We have on-board computers on the trucks,
9	that was not in the question and answer that we had done.
10	We've done that since then. We have a safety consultant
11	and the outside firm that comes and meets with the drivers
12	quarterly, and they audit what we do as far as the DOT
13	regulations and all the tests the drivers have to take for
14	that.
15	Q. Does your company conduct a continuing
16	surveillance on its safety program?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And how does it do that?
19	A. We use a consulting service along with myself
20	and our dispatch.
21	Q. Does your company have a specific program or
22	separate program regarding training in the transportation
23	of hazardous materials?

day -- well, actually when we hire somebody, a man has to

A. When new regulations come out, we have a three

24

ride at least three days. Sometimes it goes longer than
that. It depends on how quick he can pick up the job.

And at that point he's got everything he needs to know, as
far as DOT is concerned.

- Q. And to what type of companies does your company make deliveries and do pickups for? Describe for us your customers.
- A. Most of our customers -- well, we have the major oil companies that we haul for; and we haul to a lot of processing plants, canning houses that can motor oil.

 That's basically the biggest part of the business.
- Q. Does your company perform scheduled and timed pickups and deliveries?
- A. Most of the refineries that we haul in and out of give us pickup times. Because of the nature of the types of oils that we haul, you have to be there at a particular time and you have to then obviously get to a customer, because maybe the customer at either end -- you never know whether it's the oil is being ready at a particular time or the customer requests a particular time delivery.

But yes, we're within a half hour of pickup and delivery times, without any unforeseen -- that's just the way the business seems like lately. It's worse.

Q. And if I may digress for a moment, Mr. Marshall,

1 going back to the safety program and the hazardous 2 material transportation program, has your company been inspected and audited by the United States Department of 3 Transportation? 4 5 A. Yes. 6 O. And was that recent? 7 A. In the last two years, I believe, maybe a year 8 or two. I'm not sure when the date was. 9 Q. Does your company receive any satisfactory or 10 unsatisfactory rating from the --11 A. Satisfactory. I can say that it was supposed to 12 be a two-day audit, and they were there for just 13 approximately a half a day. Everything was in order, so 14 apparently we were doing what we had to do right. 15 MR. CHESNUTT: I think I'll object to that 16 gratuitous comment on the record. He's drawing a 17 conclusion about the length of stay. It could have been 18 very busy. They may have had a lot of people to visit 19 that day. Who knows why they left after half a day? 20 MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, it was in response to my 21 question. I think the objection goes to the weight, maybe 22 not the admissibility of the statement.

I'm going to overrule the objection, but your comment

Well, it's already in the record.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE:

about the weight is well taken.

23

24

1	MR. OLSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. OLSEN:
3	Q. Mr. Marshall, are you aware of the authority
4	sought in this proceeding by the applicant Central
5	Transport, Incorporated?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And do you believe that authority sought by the
8	applicant is in conflict with the authority you hold now
9	from this Commission?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Can you tell us what portion or any portion of
12	your authority is in conflict with the authority sought by
13	the applicant.
14	A. Thirty-five miles from Philadelphia.
15	Q. Mr. Marshall, I bring your attention to the
16	second page of Marshall Exhibit Number 1. By your last
17	answer are you referring to Folder 1, Amendment C?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. If you would look further down on the second
20	page to your Folder 1, Amendment D, do you also believe
21	that to be in conflict with the authority sought by the
22	applicant?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Mr. Marshall, have you been informed of the

supporting shipper witnesses that appeared in support of

1	the applicant in this proceeding?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Are any of those supporting shipper witnesses
4	present customers of Marshall Service?
5	A. Two of the two of them are.
6	Q. Do you remember which two?
7	A. E. F. Houghton, H-o-u-g-h-t-o-n; and Quaker
8	Chemical, Conshohocken.
9	Q. Do you presently transport shipments for those
10	two companies within the scope of your operating
1. 1.	authority?
1.2	A. Yes.
1.3	Q. Have you received any calls for service from any
14	of the supporting shipper witnesses, calls for service
15	that is within the scope of your operating authority?
16	A. Since this proceeding?
17	Q. Since the beginning of this proceeding.
18	A. No.
19	Q. Have you called upon any of the supporting
20	shipper witnesses for traffic since the beginning of this
21	proceeding?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Mr. Marshall, did you have prepared by you or
24	under your direction a representative traffic study of
25	movements of traffic by your company?

1.	A. Yes.
2	MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, I would ask that this
3	ten-page document be marked for identification as Marshall
4	Exhibit Number 3.
5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Make it so.
6	(Marshall Exhibit No. 3 was produced and marked for
7	identification.)
8	BY MR. OLSEN:
9	Q. Mr. Marshall, was what's been marked for
10	identification as Marshall Exhibit Number 3, the traffic
11	study, prepared by you or under your supervision?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And does this Marshall Exhibit Number 3 contain
14	approximately 445 movements of traffic?
15	A. Approximately.
1.6	Q. Looking at that Marshall Exhibit Number 3, would
17	you please tell us approximately from what dates, what
1.8	beginning date to what ending date this exhibit covers, as
19	far as dates of shipments.
20	A. July of '88 to December of '88.
21	Q. Does this Marshall Exhibit Number 3 contain all
22	of the intrastate Pennsylvania shipments handled by your
23	company within the scope of its operating authority?

Q. And Mr. Marshall, bringing your attention to the

A. No, just a portion of.

24

1	fourth column on each page of Marshall Exhibit Number 3
2	which is entitled commodity, with the word petroleum
3	product listed for each movement of traffic, would you
4	please describe for us what petroleum product is moved in
5	these instances.
6	A. Antifreeze, glycol, lubricating oil, some
7	specialty oils.
8	Q. Is that basically the transportation, the
9	commodities transported by your company within the scope
10	of your authority held by this Commission?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Mr. Marshall, did you bring with you today the
13	underlying original documents which went into formulating
14	this Exhibit Number 3?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And are those excuse me are those original
17	underlying documents available for applicant's counsel's
18	inspection if he so chooses?
19	A. Certainly.
20	(Pause.)
21	BY MR. OLSEN:
22	Q. Mr. Marshall, did you or did anyone under your
23	supervision have prepared from the books and records of

your company kept in the ordinary course of business the

balance sheet and income statement for the period ending

24

1 December 31st, 1987? 2 A. Yes. MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, I would ask that this 3 4 three-page document be marked for identification as 5 Marshall Exhibit Number 4. 6 JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Make it so. 7 (Marshall Exhibit No. 4 was produced and marked for identification.) 8 MR. CHESNUTT: Are you going to give me a copy of 9 10 that? 1.1 MR. OLSEN: I'm sorry, Bill. I thought I did. 12 MR. CHESNUTT: Oh, I'm sorry. 13 BY MR. OLSEN: 14 Q. Mr. Marshall, I'd like to bring your attention 15 to what's been marked for identification as Marshall 16 Exhibit Number 4. Is that a true and correct copy of the 17 balance sheet and income statement for your company for 1.8 the period ending December 31st, 1987, taken from the 19 books and records of the company? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. I'd like to bring your attention, Mr. Marshall, 22 to the third and last page of this exhibit, to the 23 category Operating Revenue, Freight Revenue, Total 24 Operating Revenue. Would you please tell us what the

figure is for 1987 in that category.

1 A. 2,166,570.

1.5

- Q. Mr. Marshall, did you have prepared or did you have prepared under your supervision copies of the balance sheet and income statement of your company for the period ending October 31st, 1988, taken from the books and records of the company and kept in the ordinary course of business?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, I would ask that this five page exhibit be marked for identification as Marshall Exhibit Number 6 -- I'm sorry -- Number 5.
- 12 JUDGE SCHNIERLE: You may so mark it.
- 13 (Marshall Exhibit No. 5 was produced and marked for identification.)
 14

BY MR. OLSEN:

- Q. Mr. Marshall, bringing your attention to what's been marked for identification as Marshall Exhibit Number 5, is this a true and correct copy of the balance sheet and income statement of your company for the period ending October 31st, 1988, taken from the books and records of your company?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to bring your attention to the second page of this Marshall Exhibit Number 5, to the category

 Operating Revenue, Freight Revenue, Total Operating

Revenue. Would you please tell us for the record what figure is there for the period 1988.

A. \$1,817,022.

- Q. Mr. Marshall, just referring back to Marshall Exhibit Number 4 to the total operating revenue reflected for the period ended December 31st, 1987, does that operating revenue represent your intrastate and interstate revenue?
 - A. All the revenue for the company.
- Q. To your knowledge, the company's finances and operations, Mr. Marshall, could you please tell us what percentage of this total operating revenue figure represents your Pennsylvania intrastate revenue.
 - A. Oh, approximately 7 percent.
- Q. Mr. Marshall, I'd like to bring your attention now to Marshall Exhibit Number 5, to the second page, the total operating revenue figure for the period ended 1988.

 Does that represent your inter and intrastate revenue?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you please tell us based on your knowledge of the company's finances and operation what percentage of that figure represents your Pennsylvania intrastate revenue.
 - A. About 7, 7 and a half percent.
 - Q. As you sit here today, Mr. Marshall, could you

tell us in terms of dollars and cents what 7 or 7 and a half percent approximately represents in dollars and cents terms.

- A. About \$140,000.00.
- Q. Of the Pennsylvania intrastate revenue figure and percentage you had just given us, Mr. Marshall, in your opinion, if this application is granted, would they be subject to a diversion?
 - A. Could.

- Q. And why would that be, Mr. Marshall?
- A. Just puts another carrier in that can do the work that we're doing. There's enough carriers in there now.
- MR. CHESNUTT: I object to that, the last statement. I move that it be stricken. The Commission is the arbiter of how many carriers should be in the field.

He's certainly entitled to opine whether he thinks that the entry of the new carrier would cause a division of business, but I don't think he's been qualified to opine on what is the appropriate level of total number of carriers.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. Olsen?

MR. OLSEN: Your Honor, we have no problem with striking the last portion of the more than enough carriers.

1	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Strike the last portion of that
2	statement.
3	BY MR. OLSEN:
4	Q. Is that 7 and 7 and a half percent Pennsylvania
5	intrastate revenue figure you told us about, is every
6	dollar of that intrastate revenue figure important to your
7	company?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And why is it important to your company?
10	A. The more money you make, the better it is.
1.1.	Q. Do you have competition when I say you, your
12	company, Mr. Marshall, does it have competition now for
13	business in intrastate Pennsylvania?
14	A. Yes, very strong competition.
15	Q. Is the applicant presently a competitor of yours
16	in intrastate Pennsylvania?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Are they presently a competitor of yours in
19	interstate commerce?
20	A. Not to my knowledge.
21	Q. Based on your knowledge, Mr. Marshall, as vice
22	president of your company in its operations, do you
23	believe there is a need for this application?
24	A. No, not within the scope of my authority.

Q. Is that the reason why you are protesting this

1	application at these proceedings?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Does your company presently hold authority for
4	interstate commerce, Mr. Marshall?
5	A. Interstate, yes.
6	Q. Interstate commerce?
7	A. Yes.
8	MR. OLSEN: I have no further questions, Your
9	Honor. I would tender Mr. Marshall for cross examination
10	and would move for the admission of the Marshall Exhibits
11	Numbers 1 through 5, subject to cross examination.
12	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Are you prepared to proceed, Mr.
1.3	Chesnutt, or do you want a recess?
14	MR. CHESNUTT: Well, why don't I proceed as far as
15	I can go and then have a recess, because
16	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Fine. Proceed.
17	MR. CHESNUTT: I have some thoughts.
1.8	CROSS EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
20	Q. What's the territorial scope of your Interstate
21	
22	A Interstate.
23	Q Interstate Commerce Commission operating
24	authority?
25	A. Forty-eight states.

1	Q. What is the commodity?
2	A. Commodity is in bulk.
3	Q. Is it your testimony that you are unaware of
4	Central Transport as an operator, interstate commerce
5	A. No.
6	Q transporting commodities in bulk?
7	A. No.
8	Q. That is not your testimony?
9	A. No. I can clarify what I meant, if you would
10	like me to do that.
11	Q. You don't need to, as far as I'm concerned. I
1.2	didn't quite understand your testimony about Exhibit 4.
13	Would you look at it, please.
14	Were you intending that the Judge and the
15	Commission understand that 7 percent, 7 to 7 and a half
16	percent of the \$2 million in total operating revenues
17	shown for 1987 were derived from transportation performed
18	in Pennsylvania intrastate commerce?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. That would be about \$140,000.00?
21	A. Approximately.
22	Q. And why did your company report \$115,000.00 as
23	its Pennsylvania intrastate operating revenues when it
24	filed its assessment report with the Interstate with

the Pennsylvania Commission?

1	A. I can't answer that.
2	MR. CHESNUTT: If Your Honor please, I'd like to
3	have marked for identification as cross examination
4	exhibit whatever you want to mark it, a copy of what
5	purports to be the assessment report filed with the
6	Pennsylvania Commission by this protestant.
7	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I think we're up to Central
8	Exhibit Number twenty the last one I have is 22. Why
9	don't we make this Central Exhibit Number 23.
1.0	(Central Exhibit No. 23 was produced and marked for identification.)
11	identification.
12	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
13	Q. Do you have a person in your company, Mr.
14	Marshall, who's responsible for filing an assessment
15	report?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that the copy
1.8	that I've given you, which has been marked as Central
19	Exhibit Number 23, is indeed the assessment report filed
20	by your company with the Pennsylvania Commission?
21	A. I would assume it is.
22	Q. Now, as I understand Exhibit Number 3, which
23	you're sponsoring, that is some portion of the
24	Pennsylvania intrastate service your company performed

during this second half of 1988. Is that correct?

	57 u
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. On what basis did you make the selection of
3	traffic that you would include in this exhibit and the
4	traffic that you would exclude from that exhibit?
5	A. There was no real dialogue. We just it
6	appears as though we picked out customers that we have,
7	because the movements are basically in the same places.
8	Q. Are there you didn't when you say it
9	appears, I assume you didn't personally get involved in
10	selecting this?
11	A. No.
12	Q. So you really don't did you give any
13	instructions?
1.4	A. I directed my bookkeeper.
15	Q. What instructions did you give your bookkeeper?
16	A. Just pick out where we've gone.
1.7	Q. Pick out where we've gone. Okay. Looking at
18	this exhibit and without looking at the underlying data,
19	which I'm going to do later, can you point to me any of
20	the traffic that is on here which was moved for E. F.
21	Houghton?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Same question with respect to Quaker Chemical.
24	A. No. I couldn't.

Q. When you responded to Mr. Olsen's question that

1	you're providing service to both of those companies, were
2	you speaking in terms of Pennsylvania intrastate commerce?
3	A. Not at the present moment. Interstate, I was
4	speaking.
5	Q. I see. And would that be true for all of 1988?
6	Its service to Houghton and Quaker would have been in
7	interstate commerce rather than intrastate commerce?
8	A. I would not say that that's entirely true. I
9	would think I couldn't answer that without going back
10	to the records.
1.1	Q. Okay. Could you indicate whether any of the
12	traffic shown on Exhibit Number 3 was transported under
13	either of the certificates that appear on the first two
14	first page of Marshall Exhibit Number 1.
15	A. Say that question again.
16	Q. Was any of the traffic depicted on Exhibit
17	Number 3 transported as a result of authority that you
18	hold under the two certificates outlined on the first page
19	of Marshall Exhibit Number 1?
20	A. Could have been.
21	Q. What origin let's look at the first
22	certificate shown on Marshall Exhibit Number 1. Strike
23	that.
24	(Pause.)

25

BY MR. CHESNUTT:

1	Q. Are you Everett E. Marshall Roman numeral III?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. You're the same person that furnished answers to
4	interrogatories that
5	A. Yes.
6	Q that my client served on you?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. You mentioned during Mr. Olsen's examination
9	that in addition to the trailers depicted or described on
LΟ	page 2 of Exhibit Number 2, that your company had recently
11	purchased five additional trailers. Is that correct?
12	A. Yes, sir.
1.3	Q. Have there been any trailers listed on this list
L 4	which was as of February 16, 1988 that have been retired,
15	sold, otherwise put out of service, since this list was
1.6	made up?
L7	A. Everything that's on this list still sits in the
18	yard, and we still have tags on everything.
19	Q. Is there any intention on the part of your
20	company in light of the purchase of five additional
21	trailers to retire or sell or otherwise dispose of any of
22	these trailer units?
23	A. Not at the present moment.
24	MR. CHESNUTT: I think I'm at the point, Your Honor

-- excuse me.

1.	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
2	Q. You indicated to Mr. Olsen that you did have
3	competition from other carriers with respect to performing
4	transportation in between points in Pennsylvania. Do you
5	recall that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Who are those carriers?
8	A. Oil Tank Lines, Seaboard Tank Lines, Chemical
9	Leaman, Matlack. Basically they're
10	Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that all of the
11	equipment shown on here, Exhibit 2, is your own equipment
12	
13	A. Yes.
14	Q that you own?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Both on the power units and the trailer units?
1.7	A. Yes.
18	MR. CHESNUTT: Okay, Your Honor. I think I'm at
19	the point where I'd need to look at the underlying data to
20	Exhibit Number 3; and I would expect I can accomplish that
21	review in 15 minutes.
22	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: There will be a 15 minute recess.
23	We'll start in again at 11:00.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. Chesnutt, do you wish to

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

24

1	continue cross examination?
2	MR. CHESNUTT: Yes.
3	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Proceed.
4	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
5	Q. Mr. Marshall, while we've been off the record
6	you were kind enough to furnish me the underlying data
7	from which Exhibit 3 was prepared. Let me see whether I
8	understand the underlying data. It consists, first of
9	all, of a pink sheet, which I would call an invoice. Is
1.0	that an appropriate
1.1	A. Yes.
12	Q description of it? And that invoice has
13	attached to it at least one and in some cases multiple
14	bills of lading. Is that correct?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. And the date that appears on Exhibit Number 3
17	under the column Date is not the date of the invoice but
1.8	rather the date of the bill of lading. Is that correct?
19	A. I would assume that's true.
20	Q. And would it also be true that as far as the
21	commodity column of your Exhibit 3 is concerned, that the
22	commodity column, you've chosen to use a description of
23	the petroleum product rather than a whole variety of

different descriptions that are used on bills of lading?

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. Now, let me direct your attention to a group of entries that appear on the first page of your Exhibit 3.

As I understand the layout of the first page of Exhibit 3, there is a break or double spacing as the month changes, in the date column. Is that basically correct?

- A. That's a good observation, I'd say.
- Q. If you go down to the shipments that are set out for September and look at the second shipment, which is September 2, 1988, am I correct that when one looks at the underlying data for that sheet or for that entry it reflects transportation of \$7,000.00 -- 7,000 gallons of combustible kerosene?
 - A. That's what it says.
- Q. All right. And have you been advised by your counsel that in Supplemental Exhibit Number 5 received into evidence in this proceeding that Central Transport has excluded transportation of kerosene from its application?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And looking now down to again on the first page of Exhibit Number 3 there is an entry for October, the last entry in the October series, dated October 27th.

Again 7,000 gallons in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania to Warminster, Pennsylvania and again the commodity is combustible kerosene. Is that correct?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Now, Warminster's in Bucks County, Pennsylvania,
3	isn't it?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Would you indicate for the Judge under what
6	authority described in Exhibit Number 1 the transportation
7	of the kerosene shipments was handled.
8	(Pause.)
9	THE WITNESS: I cannot see that there's any.
10	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
11	Q. That's right. You don't hold any authority to
12	perform intrastate transportation of kerosene, do you?
L3	A. No.
L 4	Q. I'll put those back
1.5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: He couldn't transport that
1.6	between points in the city of Philadelphia, I believe. Is
17	that
1.8	MR. CHESNUTT: Yes, but Warminster's in Bucks
L9	County. I think we've agreed to that.
20	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
21	Q. Now, I believe you were responsible, were you
22	not Mr. Marshall, for furnishing responses to certain
23	interrogatories that I served on your counsel?
24	à Yes

Q. And in response to one of the questions in that

1 series of interrogatories, you furnished to me the same 2 photocopies of the same type of invoices that underlie Exhibit Number 3. Is that right? 3 A. Yes. 4 5 Q. The same basic documentation? 6 A. Right. 7 Q. Different period of time. Okay. Now, in that 8 documentation there were two invoices, one dated 9 reflecting traffic transported on February 19 of 1988 and 10 the other on May 31 of 1988, again showing transportation 11 of kerosene, combustible kerosene from Philadelphia to 12 Warminster, Pennsylvania. Would you agree with that? 13 A. Yes. 1.4 Q. Now, also, in the material you furnished in response to the interrogatories, let me show you a series 15 16 of other documents. The one is your invoice number 648, 17 bill of lading number 30149, showing that on September 18 18 of 1987 you performed transportation of antifreeze from 19 Philadelphia to Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. All right. And could you indicate under what 22 authority shown in Marshall Exhibit Number 1

transportation of antifreeze from Philadelphia to

Wilkes-Barre is authorized.

23

24

į	movement by rail.	We	picked	it	up	in	Philadel	lphi	ia af	ter
	it came from Jersey	•	That's	mУ	und	ers	tanding	at	the	time
	and still is, that	we	can do	tha	ıt.					

- Q. All right. Let me show you invoices reflecting service performed on the 15th of September of '87, 17th of September of '87, 30th of September '87. Origin, Philadelphia in all instances; antifreeze is the commodity; and the destinations in Pennsylvania are Lewisberry and Williamsport.
- A. Continuing -- interstate movement, the same as the other with antifreeze.
- Q. All right. Look, if you will, finally at a document, your invoice number 19890, making reference to your bill of lading number 29161, reflecting that on July 31, 1987 transportation was performed from Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania to York, the commodity being Sun Quench. Is that a Sun or Sun Oil Company product?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And Marcus Hook is an origin from which you're authorized to serve Sun Oil Company?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Sunday Oil Refining? And the extent of that authority is shown on Exhibit 1 under Folder 1, Amendment A. Is that correct?
 - A. Well, that wasn't hauled for Sun Oil, what you

1	showed me.
2	Q. Who was it hauled for?
3	A. East Falls Chemical.
4	Q. All right. And under what authority was that
5	transported?
6	A. Am-C.
7	Q. Amendment C?
8	A. Yes. The load went to if you'd like me to
9	explain, the load went to Pottstown. The customer
10	couldn't hold it. We had to take the rest of it to York.
11	The destination is Pottstown, which was in the scope of
12	the authority.
13	Q. But York, Pennsylvania is outside the scope of
14	the
15	A. That's correct. It was on the truck and there
16	wasn't anything we could do with it.
17	Q. Okay.
18	Q. You indicated in response to a question that I
19	had asked you earlier that Chemical Leaman and Matlack and
20	Oil Tank Lines were competitors of yours. Is Refiners
21	Transport and Terminal a competitor of yours?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What about Crossett?
24	A. Not a direct competitor. I would assume if they
25	have authority. I don't really know. I never have any

1	dealings with them.
2	Q. Okay, but in well, I wouldn't expect you to
3	have dealings with them.
4	A. No, no. I mean, as far as knowing they competed
5	with me directly.
6	MR. CHESNUTT: Right. Thank you. There's no other
7	questions I have, Mr. Marshall.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. OLSEN:
10	Q. Mr. Marshall, could I bring your attention to
11	what's been marked for identification as Central Exhibit
12	Number 3.
13	MR. CHESNUTT: What? I'm sorry.
14	MR. OLSEN: I'm sorry. Central Exhibit Number 23.
15	BY MR. OLSEN:
16	Q. In response to a question by Mr. Chesnutt, you
17	had stated that your intrastate revenues for the year 1987
18	was 115,971. Is that correct?
19	A. Right.
20	MR. CHESNUTT: Can I have that question and answer
21	read back.
22	(The question and answer were read back by the
23	court reporter.)
24	MR. CHESNUTT: Thank you.

BY MR. OLSEN:

1.	Q. All right. Mr. Marshall, is that looking at
2	Central Exhibit Number 23, is that an increase or a
3	decrease from your intrastate revenues reported for 1986?
4	A. Decrease.
5	Q. Can you tell us what that decrease is attributed
6	to?
7	A. It could be a lot of things. Increased
8	competition, I guess.
9	Q. In preparation of Marshall Exhibit Number 3,
10	under your direction do you instruct your bookkeeper to
11	pick out the freight invoices of your company for a
12	certain period of time, showing certain origins and
1.3	destinations, or did you just instruct your bookkeeper to
1.4	pick out
15	A. Pick out
16	Q invoices? Were those invoices excuse me
17	was that direction and instruction in preparation of
18	Marshall Exhibit Number 3 in preparation for this hearing?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Were the invoices that contained Pennsylvania
21	origins and destinations
22	. A. Yes.
23	Q were those invoices to be for a certain
24	period of time or for the entire year of 1988?

 ${\tt MR.}$ CHESNUTT: I object. He already asked that

1	question. He said they didn't pick them out for any
2	special period of time. That was the question before this
3	one.
4	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. Olsen?
5	MR. OLSEN: We'll strike that, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Question withdrawn.
7	BY MR. OLSEN:
8	Q. Mr. Marshall, the combustible kerosene movements
9	from Philadelphia to Warminster that Mr. Chesnutt was
10	questioning you on, were they also continuing interstate
11	movements from rail cars?
12	MR. CHESNUTT: I object. Leading question.
13	MR. OLSEN: Could you I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
14	BY MR. OLSEN:
15	Q. On these movements of combustible kerosene from
16	Philadelphia to Warminster, describe what type of
17	movements were they?
18	A. That particular kerosene used to come from Exxon
19	in Paulsboro, New Jersey. Paulsboro was closed, the
20	terminal of Exxon in Paulsboro was closed, and we
21	continued to service the customer out of Philadelphia.
22	All the movements came, then, out of Philadelphia.
23	MR. OLSEN: I have nothing further, Mr. Marshall,
24	and I would offer into evidence Marshall Exhibits 1, 2, 3,

1	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Do you have any recross?
2	MR. CHESNUTT: I have one question on recross.
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
5	Q. Is it now your testimony, Mr. Marshall, that
6	\$115,971 is the correct amount of Pennsylvania intrastate
7	revenues for 1987?
8	A. Well, you asked me that before, and I said I
9	assume that's right. That's what I reported.
1.0	Q. Well, then, your counsel asked you whether you
11	stated that, whether this was the number; and you had
12	earlier stated \$140,000.00. I'd like to note what your
13	position is, as we close the record on your testimony.
14	A. We had an average for the last three years of
15	approximately 140,000. I believe that was what I was
16	referring to when I said about 7 percent normally. Under
17	normal circumstances we're 7 percent, which is about
18	\$140,000.00. Specifically per year, I couldn't say that;
19	but if you look at the documents, it comes out as 140.
20	Q. So you're standing by your representation that
21	someone from your company made to the Pennsylvania Public
22	Utility Commission?
23	A. Certainly.
24	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Before you make your motion, I
25	have one or two questions.

•	1			
ı	1	L		
•	•	۰	•	

1.8

EXAMINATION

RY	JUDGE	SCHNIERLE	١.

- Q. Your Folder 1, Amendment D, operating authority, property excluding household goods and with some other restrictions, you don't transport property generally; I mean, you don't use that authority to its full extent, I take it. You're a bulk commodity hauler?
 - A. Right. Only -- basically.
- Q. Okay. That type of authority could be used to haul a large range of commodities, is what I'm getting at.

 You don't haul those --
 - A. Not presently, anyway.
- Q. Presently you don't exercise that authority to its full extent. And just to clarify, on Exhibit -Marshall Exhibit 4, what you're showing there is -- excuse me -- Marshall Exhibit 5, you're comparing both years
 January 1 through October 31, '87 and '88. The figures on Exhibit 5 for each year is January through October 31. Is that --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- correct? It's January -- the '87 figure is January 1 '87 through October 31 '87, and the '88 figures are January 1 '88 through October 31 '88. Is that --
- A. Well, if you look on both the statements, the '87-88 are there for that particular month, if that's what

1.	you're asking.
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. October 31st in
4	Q. Okay. For the ten months ending
5	A. Right. And the '87 figure for that is there
6	also.
7	Q. Okay, and the '87 figure is for the ten months
8	ending October 31, 1987?
9	A. Would be 1,784,294.
10	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Okay. You may make your motion.
11	MR. OLSEN: I again, Your Honor, renew my motion to
12	enter into evidence Marshall Exhibits Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4,
13	and 5.
14	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Any objection?
15	MR. CHESNUTT: No. There's one question I would
16	like to ask the witness, if I may, if I may be indulged.
17	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Go ahead.
18	MR. CHESNUTT: It's recross.
19	RECROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
21	Q. When you answered to Mr. Olsen that you guessed
22	that the reason that your intrastate revenues were 115,000
23	in 1987 versus 168,000 in 1986, could you tell me what
24	carriers obtained authority to perform Pennsylvania

intrastate operations in bulk commodities during the

1.	period 1987. What new operations were instituted?
2	A. I couldn't tell you right offhand.
3	Q. Are you aware of any?
4	A. Not right offhand.
5	MR. CHESNUTT: That's all I have.
6	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Do you have any objection to
7	Marshall Exhibits 1 through 5?
8	MR. CHESNUTT: I have none, Your Honor, and I would
9	move the admission of Central Exhibit Number 23.
1.0	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Marshall Exhibits 1 through 5 are
11	admitted into evidence.
12	(Marshall Exhibits No. 1 through 5 were admitted in evidence.)
13	evidence.,
14	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Do you have any objection
15	MR. OLSEN: I have no objection to Central Exhibit
16	Number 23.
17	. JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Central Exhibit 23 is admitted
18	into evidence:
19	(Central Exhibit No. 23 was admitted in evidence.)
20	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Do you have any further witnesses
21	to call?
22	MR. OLSEN: No, Your Honor. I have no further
23	witnesses on behalf of my client, Marshall Service; and I
24	would ask Your Honor respectfully, that he be excused at
25	this time from this hearing, since this only witness today

1	has been put on with his testimony.
2	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: You may be excused, and you may
3	step down, sir.
4	MR. OLSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. O'Kane, do you want to start
6	now, or do you want to take about an hour for lunch and
7	come back at 12:30 and we'll start in then?
8	MR. O'KANE: I think if we take an hour for lunch
9	and come back at 12:30 that will be fine.
10	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Is that acceptable to you, Mr.
11	Chesnutt?
12	MR. CHESNUTT: Absolutely, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: The hearing stands in recess
14	until 12:30. (Whereupon, at 11:25 a.m. the hearing recessed, to
1.5	recovene at 12:30 p.m.)
16	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. O'Kane, are you prepared to
1.7	present testimony?
18	MR. O'KANE: I am, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Proceed, please.
20	Stand and raise your right hand.
21	JOHN B. REPETTO, called as a witness, having been
22	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
23	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Please be seated.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. O'KANE:

1	Q. John, will you please state your name and
2	business address for the record.
3	A. John B. Repetto, 102 Pickering Way, Lionville,
4	Pennsylvania 19353.
5	Q. By whom are you employed?
6	A. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines.
7	Q. And in what capacity?
8	A. Vice president of pricing and traffic services.
9	Q. And what are your job responsibilities as the
10	vice president of pricing and traffic services?
11	A. As vice president of pricing and traffic
12	services, I am responsible for the corporate pricing
13	activities and all of the traffic related functions,
14	including contract, administration, and tariff
15	responsibilities for both interstate and intrastate
16	filings.
17	Q. What is the business of Chemical Leaman Tank
18	Lines?
19	A. Basically the business of Chemical Leaman Tank
20	Lines is to provide distribution services, specializing in
21	bulk transportation of both liquid and dry commodities.
22	Q. Does it engage in these services in Pennsylvania
23	and elsewhere?
24	A. Yes, it does, both on an intrastate basis and on
25	an interstate basis, serving shippers within the

- Pennsylvania area and also consignees within Pennsylvania.
- Q. How long have you been employed by Chemical Leaman?
 - A. Approximately 18 years.

- Q. And would you describe the positions you've held over that period of time.
- A. Start with Chemical Leaman, working in the field, working at an operating terminal in the mid-Atlantic region, which would be the Essington/Croydon area. I served as a cost methods analyst, doing time and motion studies.

I moved into the corporate headquarters approximately four or five years later, worked in their data processing department, was responsible for Central dispatch, which is the moving of the equipment basically on an interstate and intrastate basis; and I guess for approximately the last 8 years have been working on the administrative side, basically in pricing and traffic.

- Q. Have you appeared for Chemical Leaman in the past as a witness before the Public Utility Commission in Pennsylvania or similar commissions?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And in what -- what did you do in such hearings?
 What functions did you perform in such hearings?
 - A. Basically it was to provide testimony in support

Ιt

1	of various matters before the Commission. This involved
2	both authority and also rate matters, more recently rate
3	matters.
4	Q. Are you familiar with the application of Central
5	Transport in this matter?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	Q. Are you familiar with the authority that
8	Chemical Leaman holds in Pennsylvania?
9	A. Yes, I am.
10	Q. Will the authority which Central is applying
11	for, if granted, adversely affect Chemical Leaman?
12	A. Yes, it will.
13	Q. Are you familiar with Chemical Leaman terminals
14	in the Pennsylvania area?
15	A. Yes, I am.
16	Q. Where are these terminals located?
17	A. We have approximately 89 terminals within the
18	State of Pennsylvania. If I have a list can I refer to
19	my list?
20	MR. O'KANE: Yes, that's fine.
21	Do you have any objection to his referring to a
22	list? I'm not planning to
23	MR. CHESNUTT: You're not going to introduce it as

MR. O'KANE: I'm not planning to introduce it.

24

1	was	a	list	that	was :	furnish	ed	to	you	ar	nd	
2			MR.	CHESN	UTT:	Okay.	. F	ine	e .			
3			THE	WITNE	ss:	First	ter	mir	nal	it	1 i	st

1.0

THE WITNESS: First terminal it lists is the terminal at Glenmoore. Second one is a terminal we have at Nazareth, Pennsylvania. The third one on the list is a terminal we have in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. The fourth on the list is a terminal we have in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania. The fifth one on the list is a terminal out of Williamsport, Pennsylvania.

The sixth on the list is a terminal we have in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. The next on the list is a terminal in Malvern, Pennsylvania. The next one on the list is Evansville, Pennsylvania.

The next one is a terminal in Warren, Pennsylvania. The next one on the list is a terminal in Aliquippa, Pennsylvania, which is outside of Pittsburgh. The next one on the list is a terminal in Altoona, Pennsylvania. And the last one on the list is a cleaning and maintenance facility in Groveton, Pa.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Could I stop you for a minute. Where are Glenmoore, Evansville, and Groveton, in terms of counties?

THE WITNESS: Glenmoore is in Chester County.

It's on Route 322. It's basically I'm going to say about

10 miles west of Downingtown.

1	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: How about Evansville?
2	THE WITNESS: Evansville, Pennsylvania if you
3	have a map of Pennsylvania, I can tell you what counties
4	I'm not familiar with the county, off the top of my
5	it's in the eastern part of the state.
6	MR. CHESNUTT: I think I can help you, if Your
7	Honor please. Well, there are two Evansvilles. One's in
8	Berks County and the other is in Columbia County.
9	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Berks County. How about
.0	Groveton?
.1	THE WITNESS: Groveton is in the western part of
.2	the state, and I'm going to say you're going to have to
L3	draw a line. It's west of Harrisburg, and I'm not sure.
L 4	MR. CHESNUTT: Groveton, under in the Rand
L5	McNally commercial reference map and guide of Pennsylvania
L6	it indicates that there's a Groveton in Allegheny County.
L 7	MR. O'KANE: Near Pittsburgh. For the record also,
L 8	Groveton, as far as I understand, is not a terminal.
.9	THE WITNESS: It is a cleaning and maintenance
20	facility.
21	MR. O'KANE: It is a cleaning and
22	THE WITNESS: It does not have tractors and power.
23	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Thank you. I didn't realize the
24	specific location of those three.

BY MR. O'KANE:

	397
1	Q. Are you familiar with the products that Chemical
2	Leaman hauls out of those terminals in Pennsylvania?
3	A. Yes, I am.
4	Q. Would you go through a list and state the
5	chemicals that Chemical Leaman hauls at the various
6	terminals; and where applicable, state whether or not they
7	have anything to do with Central's that is, whether or
8	not whether or not Central's application would be such
9	that Central could haul a similar type product if the
10	authority was granted. Do you understand the question?
11	A. Yes, I do. Let me answer the first one. If I
12	don't, come back to me. The Glenmoore terminal basically
13	handles two types of products, one of which is food grade;
14	and the other is dried chemicals.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The food grade would not be effected by Central's request. The dry chemicals would be. The second terminal is Nazareth, Pennsylvania. They are primarily a cement terminal. They also handle various chemical products. The chemical side would be effected. The cement side would not be effected.

The third terminal, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, is a cryogenic terminal. It handles basically cryogenic products, which include liquid nitrogen, liquid argon, and liquid oxygen, and would not be effected.

> The fourth terminal is Mechanicsburg. That is

primarily a petroleum terminal. There are, I believe, two trailers that handle chemical products. The two that handle chemical products would be effected by Central's request.

The third -- excuse me -- the next terminal,

Williamsport, is predominantly a chemical terminal and

would be effected. The next terminal, Wilkes-Barre, is

again a chemical terminal and would be effected. The next

terminal, Malvern, is again a chemical terminal and would

be effected.

The next terminal, Evansville, is wholly a cement terminal and would not be effected. Warren is predominantly a petroleum terminal and would not be effected. Aliquippa is predominantly a chemical terminal and would be effected.

Altoona is predominantly a petroleum terminal and would not be effected. And Groveton, as I said before, is a cleaning and maintenance -- does not provide transportation services.

- Q. Is Chemical Leaman Tank Lines a publicly-owned company?
- A. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Chemical Leaman Corporation. Chemical Leaman Corporation is a publicly-held company.
 - Q. Has Chemical Leaman Tank Lines ever been a

1 | publicly held --

- A. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines was a publicly held company up to I believe 1970 or 1977 when, in effect, they became a subsidiary of Chemical Leaman Corporation; but prior to 1977 they were a publicly held company.
- Q. Since 1977 has Chemical Leaman Tank Lines -- strike that. Since 1977 what operating companies have been owned by Chemical Leaman Corporation, if you know that, if you have the answer.
- A. Chemical Leaman Corporation owns Chemical Leaman Tank Lines. They also own a real estate holding company called Chemical Properties. They own a company called Tank Service Company, they own a company called Chemical Leaman of Virginia, they own a company called Klipsch Hauling, and they own a more recent company called New Bulk Services. I believe that's the list.
- Q. Do you know approximately the percentage of revenues generated for Chemical Leaman Corporation by Chemical Leaman Tank Lines?
- A. Chemical Leaman Corporation will do approximately \$245 million in 1988, and Chemical Leaman Tank Lines will be approximately 225 million of that. If I had a calculator I could do the math.
- Q. Prior to the formation of New Bulk Services, what percentage of Chemical Leaman Corporation's revenues

would Chemical Leaman have contributed, approximately? 1 2 A. I'd say approximately 99.5 percent, the only difference being would be Chemical Properties. There was 3 4 small income on trailer leasing, but 99.5 percent are 5 higher. 6 Q. Do you own any stock in Chemical Leaman 7 Corporation? 8 A. As of today I do not. I have been a past 9 stockholder of both Chemical Leaman Tank Lines and 10 Chemical Leaman Corporation. 11 Q. Has Chemical Leaman Corporation -- is Chemical 1.2 Leaman Corporation at the present time paying dividends? 13 A. Chemical Leaman Corporation is not paying 14 dividends. I believe the last dividend that the stock 15 paid was approximately the first quarter of 1982. 16 Q. Prior to 1982 did Chemical Leaman Corporation 17 and when Chemical Leaman Tank Lines was a public company 18 prior to 1977, did those companies pay dividends while you 19 were a shareholder? 20 A. Yes, they did. 21 Q. Did they pay dividends on a regular basis? 22 A. They paid dividends on a regular basis, yes,

23

24

25

through 1981-82.

Q. Within the last five or six years has Chemical

they did. Again, I'm speaking from the time frame 1971

Leaman	closed	any	terminals	in	Penns	vlvania

- A. Yes, it has. It's closed, I believe, five terminals within the state of Pennsylvania. It closed Essington, Pennsylvania; it closed Croydon, Pennsylvania, which is in north Philadelphia; it closed Conshohocken; it closed Paris, Pennsylvania, which is approximately 10 to 15 miles west of Pittsburgh; and it closed Perryopolis, which is approximately 20 miles south of Pittsburgh.
- Q. Are you familiar with the rationale of Chemical Leaman in closing such corporation -- in closing such terminals?
- MR. CHESNUTT: I object. He's not been shown to be qualified in the area of having responsibility for decision making on the closing of terminals, so anything he might have in the way of knowledge would be hearsay.

 BY MR. O'KANE:
- Q. John, in your functions as vice president of Chemical Leaman, do you participate in any committees?
- A. Yes, I do. I participate in the Chemical Leaman management committee, which is charged with the day-to-day running of the company. I am also a member of an administrative committee. I'm on a data processing steering committee, and chairman of the Chemical Leaman pricing committee.
 - Q. And have you participated in these committees or

- 1 similar type committees over a period of time, and if so,
 2 so state --
 - A. The answer is I have participated on those for a period of time. And I'm going to say that I've been a corporate officer since 1978, and I have been a member of the management committee since that time. And the other committees were after that time frame, but they are all involved in the day-to-day working of Chemical Leaman.
 - Q. Have you ever been a director of Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc.?
 - A. I have not been a director.
 - Q. In your capacity as a member of those committees for Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, were you a party to information regarding the closing of terminals by Chemical Leaman?
 - A. Yes, I was.

- Q. Did you participate in any way in decisions to close any of those terminals?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. With respect to the Essington terminal, will you describe why Chemical Leaman closed that terminal and what were the factors that were considered in connection with Chemical Leaman's closing of that terminal.
- A. The Essington terminal was predominantly a petroleum and lube oil terminal located, I guess, just

south of the Philadelphia airport. It was mainly moving various products for Philadelphia Electric, which was our largest customer.

Based upon plant -- or a planned closing of the lube oil and the heavy oil business by Philadelphia Electric, the terminal was not necessarily required to service that customer. The main reason there was the advent of nuclear power, with Three Mile Island and Peach Bottom coming on stream.

- Q. Did Chemical Leaman transport any chemicals out of the Essington terminal?
- A. Yes, it did. It transported chemicals for other shippers basically out of the Marcus Hook; Claymont, Delaware; southern Philadelphia tier; and they were not related to the nuclear situation with Philadelphia Electric.
- Q. Now, with respect to those customers for which Chemical Leaman transported chemicals out of the Essington terminal, was Chemical Leaman able to continue to service those customers after closing the Essington --
 - A. It was not able to.
- Q. With respect to Croydon, could you go into the background of the closing of that terminal.
- A. Croydon was a terminal we had located in the north Philadelphia area. Predominantly worked for

customers in that area. That would include Rohm-Haas;
Stauffer; A.E. Staley's, in Morrisville, Pennsylvania.

And basically for a competitive situation, the cost of maintaining that terminal for those shippers did not prove to be a financial situation where Chemical Leaman could produce a desirable return on its investment.

- Q. Now, when Chemical Leaman closed that terminal, was it able to service any of these customers from other terminals?
- A. On an intrastate basis the answer would be no.

 On an interstate basis, some of the loads were picked up

 by our Bridgeport, New Jersey terminal, which is located

 approximately two miles across the Commodore Barry bridge

 in New Jersey.
- Q. And what was the approximate date that Chemical Leaman ceased operations in Croydon?
- A. I'd say it was approximately 3 and a half years ago.
- Q. Now, with respect to the Paris terminal, could you give us any information about the closing of that terminal.
- A. The Paris terminal was -- well, Paris and the Perryopolis terminal were both in existence mainly to handle the steel mills in the western Pennsylvania area. They handled an awful lot of sulfuric acids, nitric acids,

1 et cetera, power serving also some facilities in the West
2 Virginia market.

Because of the decline of the steel industry within I guess the entire United States, it was deemed that these terminals could not necessarily provide the services, mainly due to plant shutdowns by the individual steel mills.

- Q. Were there any existing customers that were serviced by these -- by those two terminals, with respect to chemicals, other than the steel mills?
 - A. Yes, there were.

- Q. Was Chemical Leaman able to service those customers from other terminals in the area?
 - A. I do not believe so.
- Q. Was there another terminal that Chemical Leaman closed that we mentioned?
- A. There was a terminal in Conshohocken,

 Pennsylvania, which closed in I'm going to say

 approximately five years ago. It was a terminal that

 handled cement, to some did agree, and also dry chemicals.
- Q. Now, when Chemical Leaman closed these terminals, what happened to the employees who were working out of those terminals?
- A. I would say the vast majority of them were laid . off.

- Q. Were they offered positions at other terminals?
- A. In some cases they were, and in some cases it wasn't -- the employees did not necessarily want to relocate. But it was hard, for example, for drivers living in a certain area to relocate great distances, so the net result was the majority of them were laid off.
- Q. I believe you have testified that Chemical Leaman Tank Lines paid dividends on this common stock to its public shareholders prior to 1977 when it became a subsidiary of Chemical Leaman Corporation, and then Chemical Leaman Corporation continued to pay dividends until 1982?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Do you know why Chemical Leaman Corporation ceased paying dividends in 1982?
- A. Yes, I do, and the reason being was basically the financial performance of the company. There was not enough money to pay the stockholders a dividend.
- Q. Has that situation continued until the present date?
- A. It has. There have been no dividends paid from I guess the first quarter of '82 through today.
- Q. Are you familiar with the published earnings reports of bulk haulers in general, regarding their revenues and profits since 1980?

A. Yes, I am. As in -- as chairman of the corporate pricing committee, one of my responsibilities is obviously to look at our competition and to see what -- how our competitors are doing. And that data I do see rather frequently, especially the information that's published through the ICC annual reports.

Q. Have bulk transportation carriers in general been as profitable since 1980 as they had heretofore?

MR. CHESNUTT: I object. There's — first of all,
I would expect anyone that's going to answer a question on
degree of profitability to have specific data, because
it's obviously a qualitative judgment question as to
whether it's more profitable or less profitable or what is
indeed profitability, particularly if you're viewing
privately held corporations, because there's lots of ways
to measure profitability and there's lots of ways to get
money out of corporations, through salaries and other
means, that would cause one to question a broad brush
statement such as is being asked from this witness.

Secondly, I don't think it's relevant to measure the profitability of the broad category of carriers that Mr. O'Kane has asked about, because they are not participants in this proceeding.

We have no idea whether they conduct operations in Pennsylvania intrastate commerce, which is the focus of this matter, and it just simply is an irrelevant and unfounded question or -- I mean, the witness is in no position to provide an answer to which the Commission and the Judge can assign any degree of credibility.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. O'Kane?

1.3

1.4

1.8

MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, I think it is relevant in these proceedings. I think the profitability of carriers in general is wide spread and known by people in the industry. The profits have been seriously hurt since deregulation, amongst carriers.

It affects things very relevant to this hearing, that is, the public interest involved in this. And we have an applicant seeking new authority in Pennsylvania, where there is no showing that existing carriers have been unable to provide it.

We have a proceeding in which if the authority is granted we're going to have one more carrier, more capacity, and a possibility if not the probability that marginal characters, not necessarily Chemical Leaman, will be forced out of business.

And I think that's in the public interest and I think this witness can testify. He's not testifying specifically as to something. He's testifying to something that's general knowledge in the industry.

MR. CHESNUTT: Well, I submit that there is no

1	exception that I'm aware of to the admissibility standards
2	that this Commission applies, that it reaches into quote
3	the general knowledge area. I mean, I really doubt that
4	the profitability of a segment of the trucking industry is
5	a matter of general knowledge. It's a matter of specific
6	knowledge.
7	I mean, there are specific data that are submitted,
8	and I just you know, I think it's an area that we
9	should not have this witness introducing testimony in this
0	generalized presence.
.1	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: There's no doubt in my mind that
2	it's an issue that's relevant to the proceeding, in terms
.3	of the profitability of the industry, but I'm having some
. 4	serious problems assigning any weight to it.
15	MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, I'll withdraw
.6	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I understand your general
17	approach.
.8	MR. O'KANE: All right. I will withdraw the
.9	question at this time. May we have a short recess?
20	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Five minute recess.
21	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
22	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I'm going to explain a little
23	more of my reason for my ruling on that objection. I'm
24	going to sustain the objection. I just think at this

point the question is too -- the question's too broad.

	4.10
1	We're not dealing with all bulk carriers, first of
2	all, and I can't see where the answer would have any
3	weight whatsoever, without some further foundation in
4	terms of what specific carriers he's looked at for what
5	period of time, you know, some more detail before you get
6	to the question.
7	MR. O'KANE: I understand, Your Honor, and I'm
8	going to withdraw that question. I'm not going to pursue
9	that avenue.
1.0	BY MR. O'KANE:

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 Q. If this application is granted, will you expect 12 it to have a significant, adverse impact on Chemical 13 Leaman Tank Lines immediately?
 - A. No, I would not. It would not have a significant impact.
 - Q. And why would you say it would have no immediate, significant, adverse impact?
 - A. Well, in your question I took the word significant and immediate --
 - Q. Fine.
 - A. -- to the answer. If those words were not there, if you're talking about a longer range, then I'm saying one more competitor within the area would have an impact but it would not be a significant, immediate impact.

	ATT
1	Q. But an added competitor would have a significant
2	it would have an impact on the competitors in the area?
3	A. Absolutely. Q. Chemical Leaman. From Chemical Leaman's
4	Q. Chemical Leaman. From Chemical Leaman's
5	standpoint, you are familiar with Chemical Leaman's

standpoint, you are familiar with Chemical Leaman's decision-making process. If the impact grew to be a significant impact and effected the profitability of a terminal, what course of action could you expect Chemical Leaman to take in the circumstances?

A. Would you give me that --

Q. Okay. If the authority were granted and Central's intrastate traffic in Pennsylvania grew to a point where it seriously impacted Chemical Leaman's operations at a given terminal, what steps might Chemical Leaman take in such a situation?

MR. CHESNUTT: I think I'm going to have to object to that. First of all, it's speculative on the condition precedent; but even if the condition precedent is satisfied, we -- the Judge and the Commission have an awful time trying to figure out at what point significant impact has been achieved versus insignificant impact.

And then from that the Judge, then, has to accept whatever this witness is going to say about the decision-making process to close the terminal. I just don't think it's probative evidence.

MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, I think I'm presenting the rules or the -- I guess the criteria which the Commission uses to determine whether or not to grant an authority put a very significant burden, I believe, on protestants to find that the granting of it would be contrary to the public interest.

1.5

1.8

And I think, as you pointed out in your order of the second, the protestants will generally file protecting their own interest or attempting to protect their own interest; and I agree. Again, I think in protecting their own interest, I again -- as Adam Smith said, they're lead as if by an invisible hand, at times, to protect the public interest.

I think we have testimony on the record now that because of things that have happened over the past years, employees are laid off, I think that's the public interest. Stockholders are not receiving dividends. I think that affects the public interest.

I think shippers who had a carrier with authority to serve them closed terminals and effected those shippers, that's a public interest.

And I think this is simply designed to say that although immediately the grant of this authority might not significantly impact Chemical Leaman, which is a larger carrier in Pennsylvania, at least over the course of time,

1	the grant of authority on request will have an impact on
2	Chemical Leaman and on other carriers and it may
3	significantly impact the public interest. And that's the
4	that's the avenue I'm attempting to go down.
5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: He I'm going to overrule the
6	objection. He's testified that he's been involved in
7	these kind of decisions in the past. I think he's capable
8	of giving an answer to that last question.
9	THE WITNESS: Obviously the answer, the ultimate
10	problem would be closing the terminal. If we were not
11	able to compete adequately, provide adequate return to the
12	stake holders of the company, that being the employees,
13	the shareholders, the customers within the area, the
14	ultimate alternative would be to close the terminal.
15	MR. O'KANE: I have no further questions.
16	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. Chesnutt, do you wish to
17	cross examine?
18	MR. CHESNUTT: I think so.
19	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Would you want a recess, or you
20	want to start right in?
21	MR. CHESNUTT: Well, I think we may as well start
22	right in. If I get bogged down I'll ask for a recess.
23	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Proceed.

CROSS EXAMINATION

24

25

BY MR. CHESNUTT:

1	Q. When was the Glenmoore terminal of Chemical
2	Leaman first opened?
3	A. I've been with the company 18 years. It was
4	prior to my tenure with Chemical Leaman.
5	Q. Same question with Nazareth.
6	A. Same answer.
7	Q. Bethlehem?
8	A. Bethlehem terminal opened 1976, I believe.
9	Q. Now, you did furnish responses to certain
10	interrogatories that I served on your counsel, did you
11	not?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. And when you responded to those interrogatories
14	you furnished me a list of Pennsylvania terminals 1988
1.5	from which, I believe, you were reading when you gave
16	answers on direct examination. Is that right?
17	A. That is correct.
1.8	Q. And the material from which you're reading
19	indicated that with respect to Mechanicsburg, that that
20	facility was being moved to a new location in January but
21	you didn't know of what year?
22	A. That is of January 1989.
23	Q. And did it, in fact was that facility, in
24	fact, moved?

A. Yes, it was.

1	Q. And to what location was it moved?
2	A. I do not have the street address, but it is in
3	Mechanicsburg, the new facility in Mechanicsburg. I'm not
4	aware of the street address.
5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Could I break in for a second?
6	In other words, you'd had a facility in Mechanicsburg at
7	one street address and you've just moved it to another
8	street address?
9	THE WITNESS: That is correct. That is correct.
10	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
11	Q. How long has the company operated a facility,
12	some facility at Mechanicsburg?
13	A. Again, it's going to be in excess of my tenure
1.4	with Chemical Leaman, which would be at least 18 years.
15	Q. Okay. Are there any of the facilities that you
16	mentioned in your direct examination as terminal
1.7	facilities that were not in existence in 1980 as terminal
18	facilities of the company?
19	A. 1980. Malvern facility was acquired by Chemical
20	Leaman when we purchased Coastal Tank Lines in 1986.
21	Q. All right. You acquired another trucking
22	company in 1986?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And the name of that company was Coastal Tank

Lines?

1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. It in itself was a major participant in the
3	transportation of bulk commodities on an interstate basis,
4	wasn't it?
5	A. Yes, it was.
6	Q. Did it hold any Pennsylvania intrastate commerce
7	or intrastate commerce authority at the time that Chemical
8	Leaman purchased it?
9	A. Yes, it did.
10	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Did you buy the PUC authority as
11	well, the Pennsylvania intrastate?
12	THE WITNESS: No, we did not. We did not. We
13	purchased the assets of Coastal Tank Lines, which would be
14	the tractors, the trailers, and some of the facilities.
1.5	We did not purchase Coastal Tank Lines.
16	MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, I don't know whether we're
۱7	on the record or not on the record
1.8	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: We're on the record.
19	MR. O'KANE: We're on the record. If I might
20	interject on the record. Chemical Leaman purchased assets
21	of Coastal Tank Lines, but also did purchase authority.
22	And the authority, I believe there was Pennsylvania
23	authority acquired and assigned to new bulk services; and
2.4	T think New Bulk

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Okay.

1	MR. CHESNUTT: I'm more than willing to accept the
2	statement of counsel on that.
3	MR. O'KANE: I don't think that I don't know
4	whether that was I was just responding to your
5	question. I don't know it as relevant, necessarily, to
6	this.
7	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: All right. Thank you.
8	MR. CHESNUTT: No, I appreciate having that in the
9	record. So maybe if I might inquire of counsel, New Bulk
10	Services, then, holds an ability to perform services in
11	intrastate commerce, Pennsylvania, to the extent of
12	whatever Coastal Tank Lines authority authorizes?
13	MR. O'KANE: I believe so, Bill. I believe it has
14	some, yes.
15	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: All right. Thank you for that
16	clarification, Mr. O'Kane.
17	MR. CHESNUTT: With respect
18	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Sorry for that interruption.
19	MR. CHESNUTT: No, it's quite all right. Anything
20	to clarify the record.
21	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
22	Q. Now, the Essington facility was closed when?
23	A. I'm going to say approximately five years ago.
24	Q. Okay. And the Paris facility?

25

A. I'm going to say approximately six years ago.

1	Q. Is that as in France?
2	A. Yes, it is. P-a-r-i-s, Paris.
3	Q. And Perryopolis?
4	A. I'm going to say at the same time, approximately
5	six years ago.
6	Q. Were those facilities, Essington, Croydon,
7	Paris, Perryopolis, and Conshohocken engaged in performing
8	only Pennsylvania intrastate service when they were up and
9	operating?
10	A. The Essington terminal was approximately 90
11	percent intrastate. I would say the same was true for the
12	Conshohocken terminal. The other three terminals were
13	predominantly interstate.
14	Q. Now, your company and when I refer to your
15	company, I'm talking about Chemical Leaman Tank Lines,
16	Inc does provide services in both Pennsylvania
17	intrastate commerce and in interstate and foreign
18	commerce. Is that correct?
19	A. That is correct.
20	MR. CHESNUTT: All right. If Your Honor please,
21	I'd like to have marked for identification as Central
22	Exhibit Number 24 for the purposes of cross examination
23	what purport to be assessment reports filed with the

Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc. And I will borrow the

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission by protestant

24

1	reporter's copy for just a moment.
2	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: You may do so.
3	(Central Exhibit No. 24 was produced and marked for
4	identification.)
5	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
6	Q. I don't know that you're necessarily the person
7	responsible for making this filing with the Pennsylvania
8	Public Utility Commission, Mr. Repetto, but do you have
9	any reason to doubt the accuracy of what I've handed to
10	you as Central Exhibit Number 24?
11	MR. O'KANE: Objection, Your Honor. This is beyond
12	the scope of direct examination of this witness, and I
13	don't think it's proper scope for the cross examination.
14	MR. CHESNUTT: Well, I don't think I'm bound by the
1.5	scope of direct examination. We're making an
16	administrative record here. We have a witness who's
17	described an adverse effect on his company, and we have
18	testimony along those lines.
19	This is an indication of the relative impact of
20	Pennsylvania intrastate operating revenues on the total
21	operating revenues of the company, and I you know, I
22	can't believe it's not a relevant piece of information for
23	this record.

could request the Judge to take judicial notice of this

Indeed, I believe if I wish to do it another way ${\tt I}$

24

information, as a report filed with the Commission.

MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, I have no objection to your taking judicial notice of this report as being filed with the Commission, but I believe that counsel for the applicant should restrict his cross examination to those matters that the witness testified to.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I'm -- the problem I'm having, I don't recall -- I don't recall him testifying that the profitability of Chemical Leaman had been impaired. I recall testimony regarding terminal closings, but not any testimony regarding profitability.

MR. CHESNUTT: Well, what are we -- what's being objected to? The question -- whether it's accurate or not. Is that --

MR. O'KANE: I'm not objecting to the accuracy of it. I'm objecting to expanding the scope of our case through cross examination. I think he -- I think the rules permit the witness to be cross examined on all matters that he testified to.

He has not testified to Chemical Leaman's revenues in calendar years '87, '86, or '85. And to the extent that Mr. Chesnutt wants to -- wants the Court to take judicial notice of this document, I have no objection to that, but I don't think this witness should be cross examined on matters that he hasn't testified to.

And actually we will have, Your Honor -- we may have, Your Honor, for the -- I read your order on the Matlack matter. We responded -- we did respond to all interrogatories, and I think that's for the purpose of informing counsel so that he can prepare for our testimony.

1.

1.1.

Last week or I believe last week I got a letter from Mr. Chesnutt requesting that I send documentation supporting Chemical Leaman's response to question 17, which I haven't responded to as yet. I -- we have not brought that in as a part of our case.

I don't think it is -- it is relevant to our case, and I don't think -- I don't think it should be dragged out of us via a discovery route, if you will, and then put in the record here.

I think we're entitled to present our case the way we want to present it, to try or attempt to get on the record what we want to get on to protect their interest in this, the interest of the public; but I don't think our witnesses should be open to broad and widespread cross examination by simply appearing.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I'm going to sustain the objection. I don't believe the witness testified regarding set -- let me finish, Mr. Chesnutt. I don't believe the witness testified regarding Central -- or

excuse me -- Chemical Leaman's profitability.

If you wish to submit this exhibit, either ask me to take judicial notice of it or submit a certified copy of these documents.

I have no problem accepting them as rebuttal evidence on behalf of Central; but I don't believe it's appropriate to cross examine this witness on these documents, since I don't recall any testimony regarding --- from him regarding Chemical Leaman's profitability.

MR. CHESNUTT: If I understand Your Honor correctly, are you sustaining Mr. O'Kane's position that I'm limited to exploring issues about this participant in this proceeding by whatever Mr. O'Kane chooses to define as the scope of what they had to say by this witness on direct examination?

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Yes. That's my ruling.

MR. CHESNUTT: All right. Well, I'm going to take a section of that. I might say to Your Honor that the protest filed in this document by this carrier makes specific reference to this number. It also takes the position that the service will be detrimental to the existing authorized service of the above named motor carrier.

And if you're telling me a protestant can come in here and expose my client to whatever examination that he

1	wants to conduct of him and then he can take refuge by
2	putting on a little case like he's put on here where he
3	hasn't produced one document and hasn't made any sort of
4	respectable showing that a protestant would make and then
5	take refuge in the fact that I haven't put anything in
6	this record.
7	So now I'm going to shut the applicant's counsel
8	up, because I have chosen to put in a halfhearted
9	protestant's case. That's what you rule.
10	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Yes. I'll elaborate on that.
11	MR. CHESNUTT: Good.
12	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: If he has not proven if he has
13	not put in sufficient evidence to sustain the allegations
14	in his protest, then that's a risk that he bears. But
15	that doesn't justify expanding the record way beyond what
16	is needed to make a judgment on the merits of the two
17	sides' cases.
18	If I make an allegation and a pleading and I don't
19	put on evidence in support of it, that's my risk. But the
20	objection is sustained. You may proceed.
21	MR. CHESNUTT: Do we have that exhibit marked? Is
22	it
23	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: It's marked as Central Exhibit
24	24.

MR. CHESNUTT: Thank you. And do I have a

1	statement from you, Mr. O'Kane, on the record, that this
2	is an accurate presentation of the assessment report form
3	MT-87, MT-86, and MT-85 filed by your client with the
4	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission?
5	MR. O'KANE: I'm not going to make a statement on
6	the record like that. I think the record will speak for
7	itself, that this came from the Commission's files. I
8	won't object to it if it is submitted as under judicial
9	notice. I what's filed is filed.
10	MR. CHESNUTT: I request that the Judge take
11	official notice of this document.
12	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I will take official notice of
13	the document.
1.4	MR. CHESNUTT: Thank you, sir.
15	(Pause.)
16	MR. CHESNUTT: If Your Honor please, I'd like to
17	have marked for identification as Central Exhibit Number
18	25 what is a multipage document, 17 pages in length, which
1.9	states at the top Securities and Exchange Commission,
20	Washington, D.C. 20549, form 10-Q.
21	(Pause.)
22	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: It shall be so marked.
23	(Central Exhibit No. 25 was produced and marked for identification.)
24	identification.

MR. CHESNUTT: Thank you. And I would request Your

Honor take judicial notice of this document, as well.

MR. O'KANE: I object to that, Your Honor. I don't know of any rule that permits taking judicial notice of a filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission in this proceeding.

This, Your Honor, is the document for which I have received a request for admission from Mr. Chesnutt. I haven't responded.

I think it's appropriate for you to rule at this present time, because I would -- I would respond that this is not relevant to these proceedings and that basically counsel for Central is entitled to put on his case as he sees fit with what documents and other evidence he can put before the Commission.

And whether we choose to put on a two-bit performance or whatever that is referred to, that is our right and risk; but we shouldn't have Central's case pulled out of our files, is my position.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Well, as I understand it, this is a document that is -- these are documents that are publicly filed, are they not?

MR. O'KANE: This is not a publicly filed document. The document, I have no objection to the accuracy of the document; I have an objection to the relevancy of the document in this proceeding.

1	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Well, assuming you have, let's
2	take those one by one. If you had an objection to the
3	accuracy of the document
4	MR. O'KANE: I have no objection, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. Chesnutt could supply a
6	certified copy and I would have to accept that, so
7	MR. O'KANE: I have no objection.
8	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: What's your challenge on the
9	relevancy issue?
10	MR. O'KANE: Well, I think I think before I
11	challenge it, I think perhaps Mr. Chesnutt should make a
12	statement as to why he believes it is relevant.
13	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Would you give us an offer of
14	proof, Mr. Chesnutt.
15	MR. CHESNUTT: As to why I think it's relevant?
16	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Yes.
1.7	MR. CHESNUTT: Sure. First of all, I think it's
18	now been established that what is contained in Exhibit
19	Number 25 are facts; and the Judge is empowered to take
20	official notice, judicial notice, if you will, of facts.
21	And it's in 52 Pennsylvania Code Section 5.408-A, it is
22	stated that the Judge
23	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Can I stop you for just one
24	second. Since Mr. O'Kane has stipulated to the accuracy

of the document, I don't -- I believe that if -- I'm

assuming that if I rule that this is relevant, you will not object to its admissibility otherwise.

MR. O'KANE: That's right. He has made a request for an admission and, of course, if you order that that's a valid request, we're not -- we're not questioning the accuracy. We're questioning the relevancy of it.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I don't think we have to argue about the judicial notice at this point. What's the relevancy of the document, Mr. Chesnutt?

MR. CHESNUTT: Well, the relevancy of the document, among other things, is that it gives a current picture of the company's financial condition, about which we have heard at great length from the witness about this failure to pay dividends and all these other gloom stories; and so we have an updating of that information through October 2, 1988.

Now, certainly that's within the scope of his examination, and I think His Honor is certainly entitled to have a very up-to-date piece of information concerning that.

That takes us through page ten. Well, in fact, that takes us the whole way through the document, because it is, in effect, a financial document. That's all it is. And so on that basis alone it would meet Mr. O'Kane's unusual objection about having cross examination limited

to the scope of the witness's direct examination. So on that basis alone, I believe it would be admissible.

But as a second item, let me call Your Honor's attention to page 11, where we have discussion of a significant development; and there we have placed in issue certain issues that have intrigued the Judge, concerning applicant and on which he has required applicant to provide information, testimony.

And when I sought the same sort of information from Matlack, we had a big hassle. But the Judge's latest ruling on that is that I'm entitled to have that information from Matlack. And I think the Judge is entitled to know what the situation is with respect to Chemical Leaman, as well.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I believe that in view of his testimony regarding the failure to pay dividends for several years, I don't — it seems to me that the relevancy of this document is inescapable, Mr. O'Kane. And to that extent, with respect to this document, I'm going to overrule your objection.

You may proceed, Mr. Chesnutt.

MR. CHESNUTT: Thank you.

(Pause.)

1.3

MR. CHESNUTT: Now --

MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, now, in overruling the

objection and the context of the request that there be an 1. 2 admission here, I assume that there is an admission. 3 JUDGE SCHNTERLE: That's what I assume. MR. O'KANE: I think that's an admission that can 4 become part of the record. I don't know that it's 5 6 relevant to cross examine this witness on it; but if he 7 chooses to, I think that has to be kept to the testimony, 8 direct testimony. 9 JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I -- that's my understanding. 10 I'm assuming you're going to cross examine him regarding 11 the failure to pay dividends in the closing of the five 12 terminals. 13 MR. CHESNUTT: Yes, I'm going to cross examine him 14 about that. I don't think I'm obliged to cross examine 15 him about anything, but quite frankly, but --16 JUDGE SCHNIERLE: The point is you're not going to 17 go beyond that point, using this document. 18 MR. CHESNUTT: No, sir. I certainly am not. 19 That's right. If Your Honor please, I am now distributing 20 to everyone a document that has the number 16 on it, which 21 I request be marked as Exhibit Number 26 on behalf of 22 Central. 23 JUDGE SCHNIERLE: It maybe so marked. 24 (Central Exhibit No. 26 was produced and marked for

identification.)

1	MR. CHESNUTT: Thank you, sir.
2	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
3	Q. Mr. Repetto, do you recognize Exhibit Number 26?
4	A. Yes, I do.
5	Q. You furnished that answer, didn't you
6	A. Yes, I did.
7	Q in your response to interrogatories that I
8	served on your counsel. Is that right?
9	A. That is correct on I believe number 16, which is
10	on the document.
11	Q. Yes. And these regulatory income statements
12	that appear in response to this document are true and
13	correct?
14	A. To my knowledge, yes. I did not personally
15	prepare them. They were prepared at my request.
16	Q. Right. But as the person furnished responding
17	to interrogatories, you do take responsibility for the
18	accuracy of them. Is that correct?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Now, there's an item, if you'll turn with me to
21	page 3 of Exhibit Number 26, if you follow down the line
22	to
23	A. I'm sorry. I don't have a page 3. Or page 3 is
24	this or I'm not sure which one you were looking at.

Q. Okay. Let me -- I'm on Exhibit Number 26, which

1	is the last
2	A. Okay. The last page.
3	Q. Third sheet.
4	A. Third sheet.
5	Q. That's the more accurate way. And you see
6	there's an entry very much to the bottom of the page under
7	Roman numeral III called interest and amortization of
8	discount expense and premium. Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And that in 1987 was in the amount of four
11	thousand seven hundred and eighty-six thousand seven
12	hundred and one?
1.3	A. I believe the number is 4 million.
1.4	Q. You're absolutely correct. Four million seven
15	hundred and eight-six thousand seven hundred and one
16	dollars. Is that correct?
1.7	A. That is correct.
1.8	Q. Is it not a fact that during 1987 Chemical
1.9	Leaman Corporation took out a termed loan in the amount of
20	\$9 million for the purpose of using the proceeds of that
21	loan to repurchase its common stock?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. And do the payments on the item, the
24	\$4785,701.00 item that I referred to from Exhibit Number

26, include a repayment of that loan?

A. That's where it would be calculated, yes.

21.

- Q. And were payment terms of that loan for the annual payment of \$2,250,000.00 of principal per year for four years?
 - A. That, I'm not aware. I cannot attest to that.
- Q. Are you aware of whether the interest rate on that loan is 1 percent?
 - A. Again, I'm not aware of that.
- Q. If I were to show you page 14 of the annual report of Chemical Leaman Corporation in 1987, stockholders annual report, would that refresh your recollection?

MR. O'KANE: Your Honor, I object. Your Honor, this witness has testified to his position and responsibilities at Chemical Leaman. He is not a member of the accounting staff. He didn't prepare these statements. He may or may not have — this is beyond the scope of his — of his testimony.

MR. CHESNUTT: Well, he was pretty expert when he was on direct examination, telling about the failure to pay dividends and all the other financial problems that the corporation was having. I can't imagine that he's lost that information in a short span of time.

MR. O'KANE: If you will limit your cross examination to what he testified, then I'll have no

1	objection.
2	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: The objection's overruled. I
3	believe this is legitimate cross examination regarding the
4	issue of failure to pay dividends.
5	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
6	Q. Now let me show you page 14 of the 1987 annual
7	report to stockholders by Chemical Leaman Corporation.
8	And they have a little item here about the term loan, and
9	the interest rate's 11 percent. Isn't that correct?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. And payable within one year in 1987 is
12	\$2,250,000.00. Is that correct?
1.3	A. That is correct.
1.4	Q. And payable after one year is the amount of
1.5	\$6,750,000.00. Is that correct?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Would you agree with me that \$2,250,000.00
18	multiplied by four is \$9 million?
19	A. That is correct.
50	Q. Thank you, sir.
21	(Pause.)
22	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
23	Q. Now let me show you page 16 of the annual report
24	to stockholders of Chemical Leaman Corporation for 1987.

And would you agree with me that the representation as

- made in there, that commitments to purchase revenue equipment and to improve operational facilities amount to \$1,111,000.00 at December 31, 1987?
 - A. That's exactly what it says.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Do you have any reason to disbelieve that statement in the annual report?
 - A. No, I do not.

- Q. Turn with me, if you will, to Exhibit Number 26 again. That's the three page item which is the response to interrogatory number 16. And look at the second sheet of that exhibit, if you would, sir. And you break -- or the preparer of the income statement breaks operating revenue down into four general categories under Roman numeral I. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Would you indicate whether any of the intercity contract carrier revenues on the second line under Roman numeral I -- that is the second line that's associated with any dollar figures -- any of that intercity contract carrier revenue is derived from operations conducted in Pennsylvania intrastate commerce?
- A. I would believe the answer would be no, it would not. It should be in the top line, common carrier revenue.
 - Q. Thank you. Tell me about freight revenues -

1	local cartage. What does that mean?
2	A. That number there is normally revenue we derive
3	from an intracity revenue, so it would be within the state
4	of within the city of Philadelphia or within the city
5	of Houston, within the city of Chicago.
6	MR. CHESNUTT: If Your Honor please, let me read my
7	notes here and maybe if you want to take a five minute
8	recess that's fine, but I don't think I'll need five
9	minutes. I'll abide by your ruling on that, and all other
10	rulings.
11.	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: We'll take a five minute recess.
12	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
13	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
14	Q. Mr. Repetto, has Chemical Leaman Tank Lines
15	opened any new terminal facilities anywhere in the United
16	States during 1988?
17	A. Yes, it has.
18	Q. Where?
19	A. Opened a new facility in Laredo, Texas; it
20	opened a facility in Louisiana, right outside of Baton
21	Rouge; it opened a facility in Ohio, Coal Grove, Ohio.
22	They are three that come to mind very quickly.
23	Q. In 1988?

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. Open any in 1987?

1	A. I'm going to say the answer is yes. I know
2	there was one that was opened in Ohio right outside of
3	Ripley.
4	Q. Open any in 1986?
5	A. Well, I'm still on '87.
6	Q. Oh, I'm sorry.
7	A. I'm sorry. I think that's probably it in '87.
8	'86, I cannot recall.
9	Q. Because it was too long ago?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. Possible they could have opened some?
12	A. I would say it's probable.
13	Q. Same thing would be true in 1985, wouldn't it,
1.4	that it's probably they opened some but you couldn't
15	recall specifically?
16	A. Probably less probable in '85.
17	MR. CHESNUTT: Your Honor, I request that you mark
1.8	I think it's Exhibit Number 27, on behalf of Central is
19	that correct
20	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Yes.
21	MR. CHESNUTT: a document on which is an item
22	number 17, which is an interrogatory framed to this
23	protestant by me on behalf of my client

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: It shall be so marked.

24

1	(Central Exhibit No. 27 was produced and marked for identification.)
2	identification.)
3	BY MR. CHESNUTT:
4	Q. Mr. Repetto, did you furnish this answer to
5	interrogatory number 17?
6	A. Yes, I did.
7	Q. Are you aware of a followup request? And I
8	direct it to your counsel, in which I've requested the
9	documents that are associated with the four incidents that
10	are described on pages 2 and 3 or sheets 2 and 3 of
11	Exhibit Number 27.
12	A. I am aware that there is a request, yes.
13	Q. Are you aware that I have not been furnished
14	with those documents?
15	A. That, I'm not aware of.
16	Q. Were any documents generated as the result of
17	these incidents?
18	A. I guess I don't understand the question.
19	Q. Do documents exist concerning these incidents?
20	A. Again, I'm not sure I'm not trying I just
21	don't understand the question.
22	Q. All right. Let's turn to page 2 of Exhibit 27,
23	which states when you relate it to the question that's on
24	page 1 of Exhibit 27, as I read Arabic numeral 1 on page

2, it says that on November 7, 1986, there was an alleged

1	violation. Did that alleged violation take the form of a
2	writing that was directed to Chemical Leaman Corporation?
3	A. Yes, it would have, yes.
4	Q. And who would have issued that writing?
5	A. It would have been one of the agencies listed on
6	page 11, in answer to your interrogatory number 17, and I
7	do not have the underlying document, whether it was
8	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission or the Department
9	of Environmental Resources, et cetera.
10	MR. CHESNUTT: Those are all the questions I have
11	of the witness.
12	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Any redirect, Mr. O'Kane?
13	MR. O'KANE: No redirect.
14	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Do you move for admission of your
15	exhibits?
16	MR. CHESNUTT: I do, sir.
17	MR. O'KANE: I object, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Let's take them one by one. 24
19	is the assessment report, or assessment reports. Do you
20	have an objection to that, those?
21	MR. O'KANE: I have no objection. I think you
22	already said you would take judicial notice of those,
23	those documents.
24	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: All right. Exhibit 24 is

25

admitted.

1	(Central Exhibit No. 24 was admitted in evidence.)
2	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Central Exhibit 25, which is the
3	Securities and Exchange form and the attachments?
4	MR. O'KANE: I think Your Honor has already ruled
5	on that issue. I think there is a there was a motion
6	for an admission, and I think you ruled that
7	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: You don't challenge the accuracy
8	of that document?
9	MR. O'KANE: I'm not challenging the accuracy of
10	that document:
11	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Central Exhibit Number 25 is
12	admitted.
13	(Central Exhibit No. 25 was admitted in evidence.)
14	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Central Exhibit Number 26?
15	MR. O'KANE: No objection.
16	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Central Exhibit Number 26 is
17	admitted.
18	(Central Exhibit No. 26 was admitted in evidence.)
19	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: And Central Exhibit Number 27.
20	MR. O'KANE: Objection, Your Honor, on that one.
21	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Grounds?
22	MR. O'KANE: On the grounds of relevancy beyond the
23	scope of cross examination, beyond the proper scope of
24	cross examination.
25	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: You probably should have raised

the scope question when he was asking the questions.

What's the relevancy to this, Mr. --

MR. CHESNUTT: Well, the relevancy of it is in line with Your Honor's order recently issued on the similar issues with Matlack, that it's a, I guess, what's sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander type ruling.

We have a situation where issues have been called into question about violations of this type. We've been compelled to furnish information; we've done so. And Your Honor has stated more articulately than I possibly could reasons why this information is as relevant from the protestant's side of the aisle as it is from the applicant's.

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. O'Kane?

MR. O'KANE: Well, Your Honor, Matlack objected -Matlack, I guess, first filed written interrogatories on
the applicant, asking for this information. Then Mr.
Chesnutt objected. Matlack argued that they went to the
fitness of the applicant, and you agreed. I don't
disagree.

We didn't -- Chemical Leaman didn't serve interrogatories on the applicant in this regard. In part of your opinion in your recent order written you said Mr. Chesnutt's interrogatories directed at Matlack were relevant.

You said what's sauce for the goose is good for the gander, or something to that effect, or people who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. And I think perhaps those comments are appropriate. We didn't throw any stones. As a matter of fact, we -- as a matter of fact, we responded -- we did respond to the interrogatories.

Our response along with those of our protestants were used by Mr. Chesnutt in his argument on there. Now -- and there was a typographical error. We responded fully. There was a typographical error apparently in question number 18. And we then furnished the documents.

I think -- I think the fitness of the applicant is proper, is a proper grounds here. I think perhaps his environmental record is a proper determination for this.

I don't know that this is -- it was used for the extent to provide balance or whatever it is.

I have no objection, but the problem here is to get something in on the record. I don't know what it means there. There's some servicing information. There's no explanation of the contingencies. We don't think it's in our interest to open up this avenue as a protestant in this area, and --

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Well, my feeling -- I have a couple of responses or thoughts on that subject. One is this thing, but for the fact that because of the ongoing

battle between Matlack and Central over this issue, it's possible that Matlack -- as far as I know -- I don't know what Matlack's going to do in their case in chief about Central's -- whatever potential violations Central may have.

1.3

I understand, however, that Central may desire to respond to whatever Matlack raises by not only looking to the records of Matlack but to the other carriers, other carriers in the industry as well, including Chemical Leaman.

So consequently, the fact that you didn't go after them first, I don't view as necessarily relevant to determining the issue. The other aspect, as I indicated in my order, I could envision an applicant using this kind of information in an affirmative fashion, in any event.

And consequently, I'm going to overrule the objection and admit Central Number 27 into evidence.

(Central Exhibit No. 27 was admitted in evidence.)

JUDGE SCHNIERLE: I suspect this may not -- may or

may not be the last word I hear on this issue, if you

furnish the documents that he's seeking that underlie

these violations. I suspect I may be hearing more about

this in the future, possibly. But at this point in the

game, I believe that Central 27 is relevant and

admissible.

1	Is there any further business to transact today?
2	Do you have any further witnesses?
3	MR. O'KANE: No further witnesses, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: Mr. Chesnutt?
5	MR. CHESNUTT: I was out of witnesses some time
6	ago, Your Honor. And I would suggest that we adjourn
7	until next Tuesday, I believe it is.
8	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: The hearings on this matter are
9	adjourned until 1:00 p.m. of Tuesday of next week. Thank
10	you very much.
11	MR. O'KANE: And Your Honor, I would expect to be
12	excused from those hearings. We'll have no interest in
13	the protestant's case going on in Pittsburgh, and there is
14	no need for us to appear.
15	JUDGE SCHNIERLE: That's correct, Mr. O'Kane.
16	(Whereupon, at 2:10 p.m. the hearing was
17	adjourned.)
1.8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me during the hearing of the within cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same.

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER

The foregoing certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.

HOLBERT ASSOCIATES SABINA L. LOHR Suite 401, Kunkel Building 301 Market Street

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101