September 8, 2014

Rosemary Chiavetta
Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by Attorney General
KATHLEEN G. KANE, Through the Bureau of Consumer
Protection,
And
TANYA J. McCLOSKEY, Acting Consumer Advocate,
Complainants

v.
Blue Pilot Energy, LLC
Respondent

Docket No. C-2014-2427655

Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed please find the Joint Petition of the Office of Attorney General and Office of
Consumer Advocate for Interlocutory Review and Answer to Material Questions, in the above-
referenced proceeding.

Copies have been served as indicated on the enclosed Certificate of Service.

Respectfully Submitted,

Candis A. Tunilo
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. #89891

Enclosures
cc: Honorable Elizabeth Barnes, ALJ
Honorable Joel Cheskis, ALJ
Certificate of Service
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Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by Attorney General KATHLEEN G. KANE, Through the Bureau of Consumer Protection,

And

TANYA J. McCLOSKEY, Acting Consumer Advocate,

Complainants

v.

Blue Pilot Energy, LLC

Respondent

Docket No. C-2014-2427655

JOINT PETITION OF THE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW AND ANSWER TO MATERIAL QUESTIONS
Pursuant to Section 5.302 of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission) regulations, 52 Pa. Code § 5.302, the Office of Consumer Advocate and the Office of Attorney General (hereinafter Joint Complainants or Petitioners) request that the Commission grant review of and answer the following questions in the affirmative:

1) Does the Commission have authority and jurisdiction to determine whether a violation of the Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law (CPL) and Telemarketer Registration Act (TRA) has occurred when considering whether the Commission’s regulations—which require compliance with these laws—have been violated?

2) Does the Commission have the authority and jurisdiction to determine whether the prices charged to customers by an electric generation supplier (EGS) conform to the EGS disclosure statement regarding pricing?

In support of this request, the Joint Complainants submit as follows:

On June 20, 2014, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by Attorney General Kathleen G. Kane through the Bureau of Consumer Protection (BCP) and the Acting Consumer Advocate Tanya J. McCloskey (OCA) filed a Joint Complaint with the Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. Ch. 28, the Commission’s regulations, 52 Pa. Code Ch. 54, 56 and 111, and Pennsylvania law. The Joint Complaint included five counts and alleges that Blue Pilot Energy, LLC (Blue Pilot) violated Pennsylvania law and Commission regulations and Orders. Specifically, the five Counts in the Joint Complaint are: (1) failing to provide accurate pricing information; (2) prices nonconforming to disclosure statement; (3) misleading and deceptive promises of savings; (4) lack of good faith handling of complaints; and (5) failure to comply with the Telemarketer Registration Act.

On July 10, 2014 Blue Pilot filed Preliminary Objections, as well as an Answer and New Matter. Blue Pilot’s Preliminary Objections argued that three of the five counts in the Complaint should be dismissed for lack of Commission jurisdiction or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. On July 21, 2014, Petitioners filed an Answer to Preliminary Objections. The Petitioners asserted that Blue Pilot’s Preliminary Objections are unsupported. The Joint Complainants argued that it is clear and free from doubt that the Complaint is legally sufficient and sought that the Commission make
determinations pursuant to the Commission’s powers and jurisdiction. The Petitioners requested that Blue Pilot’s Preliminary Objections be overruled.

By Order dated August 20, 2014, Administrative Law Judges (ALJ) Elizabeth Barnes and Joel H. Cheskis granted in part and denied in part Blue Pilot’s Preliminary Objections. Specifically, the ALJs found: 1) that the Commission lacks jurisdiction to hear complaints under the CPL and TRA even though compliance with these Acts is required by the Commission regulations, and 2) that the Commission lacks jurisdiction to determine if the prices charged to customers conformed to the disclosure statement provided to the customer.

Based on these findings, the ALJs struck Count II (Prices Nonconforming to Disclosure Statement) in its entirety and struck in part Count I (Failing to Provide Accurate Pricing Information), and Count V (Failure to Comply with the Telemarketer Registration Act) to the extent that these Counts consider the CPL or TRA. Petitioners seek review of the Order to the extent it granted Preliminary Objections and has limited the scope of the Joint Complaint.

The OCA submits that review of these material questions is necessary to ensure that all legal claims within the Commission’s authority and jurisdiction can be properly pursued in the proceeding below. The ALJs’ Order has improperly limited the Commission’s jurisdiction and authority to consider various claims which will restrict the development of the evidentiary record. If the Joint Complainants are not able to properly pursue their legal claims, significant prejudice and harm to the Petitioners and to the interests of the consumers that they represent will result. It is in the public interest and consumer interest to allow the development of an accurate and complete factual record for Commission review.

Further, resolving these issues now will expedite the conduct of this proceeding and the other proceedings brought by the Joint Complainants. The ALJs’ Order may create confusion as to the

---

1 The ALJs issued Orders on Preliminary Objections in four of the Joint Complaints filed by the OCA and BCP at Docket Nos. C-2014-2427655 (Blue Pilot), C-2014-2427659 (Respond Power, LLC), C-2014-2427656 (IDT Energy, Inc.), and C-2014-2427656 (Pa. Gas & Electric). The OCA will be filing a Petition for Interlocutory Review and Answer to Material Questions in each case. The OCA would note that though similar, the four Orders do not reach the same conclusions as to several counts so the material questions vary for each case. The Petitioners will address these differences in their Briefs in Support.
permissible scope of the proceeding. If the proceeding is improperly limited, it may result in the need to re-litigate matters before the Commission or file an appeal with the Commonwealth Court. Such a process does not promote judicial economy, especially in this instance where the Commission’s regulations and case law do not support the ruling of the ALJs.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioners respectfully request that the Commission undertake interlocutory review of the August 20 Order, answer the material questions in the affirmative, and direct that all Counts of the Joint Complainant proceed to hearing.

Respectfully Submitted,

John M. Abel  
Senior Deputy Attorney General  
PA Attorney I.D. 47313  
Nicole M. Beck  
Deputy Attorney General  
PA Attorney I.D. 315325

Bureau of Consumer Protection  
Office of Attorney General  
15th Floor, Strawberry Square  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
T: (717) 787-9707  
F: (717) 787-1190  
jabel@attorneygeneral.gov  
nbeck@attorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for:  
Kathleen G. Kane, Attorney General  
Bureau of Consumer Protection

Candis A. Tunilo  
PA Attorney I.D. 89891

Kristine E. Robinson  
PA Attorney I.D. 316479  
Assistant Consumer Advocates  
Office of Consumer Advocate  
555 Walnut Street  
5th Floor, Forum Place  
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923  
Telephone: (717) 783-5048  
Facsimile: (717) 783-7152  
c tuna10@paca.org  
krobinson@paca.org

Counsel for:  
Tanya J. McCloskey  
Acting Consumer Advocate

DATED: September 8, 2014
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Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by
Attorney General KATHLEEN G. KANE,
Through the Bureau of Consumer Protection,

And

TANYA J. McCLOSKEY, Acting Consumer
Advocate,
Complainants

v.

BLUE PILOT ENERGY, LLC
Respondent

Docket No. C-2014-2427655

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document, the
Joint Petition of the Office of Attorney General and Office of Consumer Advocate for Interlocutory
Review and Answer to Material Questions, in the manner and upon the persons listed below:

Dated this 8th day of September 2014.

SERVICE BY E-MAIL & INTER-OFFICE MAIL

Michael Swindler, Esq.
Stephanie M. Wimer, Esq.
Wayne T. Scott, Esq.
Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

John M. Abel, Esq.
Margarita Tulman, Esq.
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Daniel S. Blynn, Esq.
Mark R. Robeck, Esq.
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
3050 K Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20007

Sharon Webb, Esq.
Office of Small Business Advocate
Commerce Building, Suite 1102
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Harrisburg, PA 17101

Karen O. Mours, Esq.
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409 N. Second Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1357

Counsel for
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Candis A. Tunilo
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 89891
E-Mail: CTunilo@paoca.org

Kristine E. Robinson
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 316479
E-Mail: KRobinson@paoca.org