169 W Queen Lane
Philadelphia, Pa. 19144
October 10, 2016

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Commonwealth Keystone Building

400 North Street

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

RECEIVED

OCT 1 0 2016

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Re: Exception to September 22 Decision in case C-2015-2469655

On September 26, 2016 by the end of the day I received notification of a Certified Mail Delivery requiring my signature. I made arrangements for redelivery on September 29th but due to a screw-up by a substitute USPS carrier there was a miscommunication and I was unable to sign for the parcel until October 1st. An initial finding by Administrative Law Judges Heep and Pell on my complaint to the public utility commission was ruled unfavorably to me.

I wish to file an exception to the initial decision in case **C-2015-2469655**. Kindly accept the attached as my exception to the initial decision.

Sincerely,

Susan Kreider

Susan Kreider v. PECO Energy Company Customer Account #47458-02006 PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655 RECEIVED

OCT 1 0 2016

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

October 12, 2016 FILE FOR AN EXCEPTION
to the September 22, 2016 DECISION by the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Administrative Law Judges Darlene D Heep & Christopher Pell

These are the issues:

Excerpts, in italics, from Testimony to the PA PUC by Andrew A Marino posted on Smart Grid Awareness, A Website by SkyVision Solutions, Consumer Protection Advocate

In dispute of the PUC claim that Kreider supplied no expert testimony supporting her claim of adverse health effects from smart meter exposure, Complainant Ms. Kreider (hereafter "Complainant") is a Registered Nurse in Pennsylvania who has thoroughly researched the issue of EMF exposure. Complainant lacks financial resources to pay expert witnesses to appear in person, however, supplied abundant documentation on the health effects of EMF including references to testimony by experts who did not appear in person.

Complainant finds it very troubling that her testimony was rejected in favor of the obviously biased testimony of PECO's experts. In addition, PECO refused to offer the Complainant a reasonable accommodation, upon learning of her health issues, such as replacement of her meter with a PECO analog or AMI meter such as the one she previously had. Among important points that to the Complainants surprise are being ignored by the PUC are:

- There is a reasonable basis in established science for the Complainants' concern regarding risks to human health caused by man-made electromagnetic energy in the environment, including the type of electromagnetic energy emitted by smart meters. These health risks are heightened in the very young, the very old, and in those with preexisting diseases or disorders. Complainant has Guillàin Barré Syndrome as recognized by the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, adjudicated June 2008.
- Electromagnetic hypersensitivity is a documented neurological condition in which the
 affected person experiences musculoskeletal, immunological, and/or neurological
 symptoms that noticeably flare or intensify upon exposure to man-made electromagnetic
 energy in the environment. PECO Expert Mark Israel, MD repeatedly characterized
 Guillàin Barré Syndrome as an immune disorder; in fact it is a disorder by which the

Susan Kreider v. PECO Energy Company Customer Account #47458-02006 PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655

body's immune system attacks the neurological system. About 5-10% of the general public are self-reported to suffer from this disorder.

- Complainants were forced into the almost impossible position of conducting experiment[s] on themselves to prove to PECO's satisfaction that their claims of a link between their symptoms and electromagnetic energy from smart meters were sufficiently credible as to warrant some remediable action by PECO. For 15 months, Complainant experienced PECO's experiment of deploying a smart meter on her house, without consent.
- There is no justifiable reason for PECO to doubt the reality of the Complainants' symptoms, to question their intentions in seeking relief. To not respect and implement remedial action based on the Complainant's empirical observations that exposure to smart meter energy should be avoided trivializes the health and safety of the estimated 5-10% of general public who suffer this condition. My new healthcare provider, since August 2016, Laurence I Miller, DO, espouses that he believes that incidence of EHS is far greater than current estimates.
- Chronic exposure to the electromagnetic energy from smart meters causes risks to human health that go far beyond the capability of the energy to trigger hypersensitivity reactions in sensitive persons. A large literature in experimental biology indicates that man-made electromagnetic energy, including that from smart meters, causes biological effects involving every essentially physiological process that occurs in living organisms. A large literature in non-experimental biology shows that man-made electromagnetic energy, including that from smart meters, is associated with a plethora of human diseases. People who suffer from pre-existing conditions are particularly vulnerable, and all the Complainants suffer from such conditions.
- Complainant disputes the testimony of Glenn Pitchard, PECO's Grid Engineer. The testimony was clearly biased and ignores any of the recent literature pointing out the significant limitations of the FCC standards and their irrelevance to contemporary EMF emitting technology such as cell phones, cell towers, antennas and smart meters. The PECO "expert" falsely claimed that the smart meters fire off less frequently than the old AMI meters. It has been reported on the internet and validated by our own readings with an EMF measuring device, that the smart meters pulse several times a minute while the AMI meters fire once every five minutes.
- PECO's claim that the FCC has pronounced smart meters safe is spurious because the FCC has made that statement only with regard to the heating and cooking effects of electromagnetic energy. The Complainants have made no claims that smart meters are like microwave ovens.
- PECO has claimed that expert committees have pronounced smart meters safe, but PECO
 has not acknowledged the blatant conflicts-of interests that infect such committees nor the

Susan Kreider v. PECO Energy Company Customer Account #47458-02006 PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655

serious limitations on their reports, such as the failure to address much of the relevant literature. Complainant alleges that Mark Israel MD's literature review was cherry-picked because he was paid to represent PECO's interests. Dr. Israel acknowledged EHS as a medical condition. Since he admits that is not licensed in the Commonwealth and therefore lacks the authority to examine, diagnose, and treat me, by what authority can he assert that "Ms. Kreider doesn't have it?"

- PECO proposes to expose human beings to smart-meter electromagnetic energy over their objection under conditions that would not be acceptable to any institution in the United States where human experimentation can lawfully be performed. Consequently, coercing the Complainants to endure the risks and uncertainties of such exposure is unwarranted, unjustified, and would amount to involuntary human experimentation by PECO.
- According to the FCC, smart meters and cellphones are safe when manufactured according to the presently mandated emission levels. But the FCC defines an emission level as 'safe' if it doesn't result in adverse biological effects caused by heating or cooking of the exposed subject. Nowhere does the FCC say that smart meters are safe with regard to physiological changes [caused] by physical processes other than heating or cooking. That claim is unsupportable and counter-scientific, and has not been made by the FCC.
- There is a very large data base of empirical studies in experimental biology that demonstrates beyond reasonable doubt that biological effects can occur at levels of manmade electromagnetic energy actually present in the environment.
- Consequently [there is] no rational basis to argue that PECO's energy [levels for smart meters] is too small to matter. Incidence of EHS represents suffering for a huge portion of the population.
- There is a sound basis in experimental biology that supports their concerns regarding the consequences to their health that have occurred and that may occur due to future chronic exposure to the electromagnetic energy emitted by smart meters. Under the conditions pertinent to the conditions of this case, coercing the Complainants to endure these risks and uncertainties is unwarranted, unjustified, and would amount to involuntary human experimentation by PECO.

In addition, PECO is ignoring that the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehab Act recognizes EHS as a protected class requiring accommodation.

Complainant believes that the ALI's DECISION was corrupted by the extraordinary interference of State Representative Godshall. The Commission's early September 2015 DECISION favored the Complainant. Then, presumably at PECO's behest, Representative Bob Godshall interfered when he contacted Commissioner Gladys M Brown in correspondence dated October 7, 2015 failing to

Susan Kreider v. PECO Energy Company Customer Account #47458-02006

PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655

copy the Complainant who, incidentally, is not a Godshall constituent. Godshall's family is on the PECO payroll. Representative Godshall's letter contained egregious misrepresentations regarding Ms Kreider's analog meter, including the statement that it endangered the grid. Evidence shows that it is the Sensus meters that endanger the grid as well as personal property and safety. Indeed PECO had to remove 186,000 meters deployed in 2012 due to their propensity to cause fires. The letter indulged in highly tendentious sentiment.

As Chair of the Consumer Affairs Committee, Godshall has interfered with multiple House & Senate Bills calling for smart meter Opt-Outs to be presented to the General Assembly for a vote, annually, since 2012. Complainant argues that Bob Godshall's behavior as a public official warrants investigation for a violation of ethics.

In conclusion:

The primary responsibility of the federal government is to protect the rights of its citizens. Life is the most fundamental right. – Donald J. Trump, FB Page

The Complainant requests that the PUC Expert Testimony of other EHS Complainants who could afford them be considered in her case, too. Why would Complainant endure this process if she did not suffer from EHS?

The Complainant requests that the PUC requires PECO to either validate the precision of the analog meter refurbished by Hialeah Meter in July 2015 -or- perhaps with the assistance of the parent Exelon Corporation, procure a safe analog meter that won't exacerbate Kreider's EHS symptoms.

Thank you for these reasonable accommodations.

Susan E Kreider
Susan E Kreider

Distribution:

Nosemary Chiavetta, Secretary PUC Darleen D Heep, A∐ PUC Christopher P Pell, A∐ PUC Shawane Lee, PECO Counsel Ward Smith, PECO Counsel RECEIVED

OCT 1 0 2016

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU



UPS GROUND External Carrier:

PUC

Agency Floor 10/11/2016 9:58:16 AM

1254R4140352954696

reflecting ldwide.

recycled

ISH 13.00N ZZP 480 78.60 07/2016

(7785-

Vou.

ercial en:

or less.

c media cash

0

econd time -

ent.