COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANTA

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Investigation Regarding Rate Issues
Related to Disbursements from
Pennsylvania Universal Service Fund,
Investigation for consideration of
whether there should be further
intrastate access charge reductions
and intraLATA toll rate reductions in
the service territories of rural
incumbent local exchange carriers and
all rate changes that should or would
result in the event that disbursements:
from the Pennsylvania Universal
Service Fund are reduced.

(Initial In-Person Pre-Hearing Conference)

ECRETARY'S BURE NO. 1-00040105

DOCUMENT FOLDER

Pages 1 through 15

Hearing Room 1 Commonwealth Keystone Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Wednesday, February 16, 2005

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:05 a.m.

BEFORE:

SUSAN D. COLWELL, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

PATRICIA ARMSTRONG, Esquire
THOMAS NIESEN, Esquire
REGINA MATZ, Esquire
Thomas Thomas Armstrong & Niesen
212 Locust Street, Suite 500
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108-9500
(Counsel for Rural Telephone Company Coalition)

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 761-7150

1-800-334-1063

1	STEVEN C. GRAY, Esquire
2	Office of Small Business Advocate Suite 1102, Commerce Building 300 North Second Street
3	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101 (Counsel for Office of Small Business Advocate)
4	AND THE RESERVE TO THE PARTY OF
5	PHILIP F. McCLELLAND, Esquire JOEL H. CHESKIS, Esquire Office of Consumer Advocate
6	555 Walnut Street 5th Floor, Forum Place
7	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1923 (Counsel for Office of Consumer Advocate)
8	ROBERT V. ECKENROD, Esquire
9	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Office of Trial Staff
10	Post Office Box 3265 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265
11	(Counsel for Office of Trial Staff) MICHELLE PAINTER, Esquire
12	MCI 22001 Loudoun County Parkway, C2-2-105
13	Ashburn, Virginia 20147 (Counsel for MCImetro Access Transmission Service)
14	
	JENNIFER DUANE, Esquire
15	Sprint/United 401 9th Street, NW, Suite 400
16	Washington, DC 20004
	(Counsel for Sprint/United)
17	BRADFORD M. STERN, Esquire
18	Rothfelder Stern LLC
10	625 Central Avenue
19	Westfield, New Jersey 07090
	(Counsel for Omnipointe Communications, Inc.,
20	<pre>d/b/a T-Mobile; Omnipointe Communications, Inc., d/b/a T-Mobile; and Voicestream Pittsburgh LP,</pre>
21	d/b/a T-Mobile, and voicestream Fittsburgh hr, d/b/a T-Mobile Nextel Communications, Inc.)
22	ALAN C. KOHLER, Esquire Wolf Block Schorr Solis-Cohen LLP
23	212 Locust Street, Suite 300
20	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101
24	(Counsel for AT&T)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARK A. KEFFER, Esquire 1120 20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel for AT&T) SUSAN DeBUSK PAIVA, Esquire Verizon 1717 Arch Street, 32nd Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (Counsel for Verizon PA and Verizon North) JOHN F. POVILAITIS, Esquire Ryan Russell Ogden & Seltzer 800 North Third Street, Suite 101 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102 (Counsel for Qwest Communications Corporation) CHRISTOPHER M. ARFAA, Esquire Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP One Logan Square 18th & Cherry Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (Counsel for Cingular Wireless LLC and Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless) * * *

3 None

_

EXHIBIT INDEX

1		70117	DII INDEX			
2	NUMBER		FOR IDENTIFICA	<u>NOIT.</u>	IN_EVIDE	NCE
3	None					
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
1						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
9						
90						
21						
22	Any	reproductio	n of this trans ithout authoriz	cript		
23		by the cert	ifying reporter			
24			***			
25						

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SUSAN D. COLWELL: Good morning. It's Wednesday, February 16, 2005. This is the place set for the pre-hearing conference in the case captioned Investigation Regarding Intrastate Access Charges and IntraLATA Toll Rates and Rural Carrier Charges and the PA Universal Service Fund, docketed at Investigation Docket 00040105.

I'm Administrative Law Judge Susan Colwell, assigned by the Commission to preside in this matter; and I note for the record the attendance of the following counsel -- and I just gave the green sheet away. The Office of Consumer Advocate, Philip McClelland and Joel Cheskis.

MR. McCLELLAND: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: The Office of Small Business Advocate, Steven Gray.

MR. GRAY: Good morning, Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: Office of Trial Staff, Robert

Eckenrod.

MR. ECKENROD: Good morning, Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: Jennifer Duane for Sprint.

MS. DUANE: Here, Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: All right. We just met.

24 | Good morning.

MS. DUANE: Good morning, Your Honor.

14

15

16

19

20

21

24

25

JUDGE COLWELL: Patricia Armstrong for the Rural Telephone Company Coalition, and Mr. Niesen is also signed in. MS. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Niesen and Ms. Matz are also here on behalf of the Rural Telephone Company Coalition. JUDGE COLWELL: Which kind of brings us to the first point I have to make, and that is that we do have microphones at all the tables. Because of the size of the room, I ask you, if you have to speak, please do so into the microphone. Make sure the red lights are on. Sometimes when you turn them on, they make that noise. So you might want to only turn it on if you're using it. Okay. Mr. Stern. MR. STERN: Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE COLWELL: Good morning. You're here on behalf of Omnipointe Communications, doing business as T-Mobile, Voicestream Pittsburgh, doing business as T-Mobile, and Nextel Communications? MR. STERN: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE COLWELL: Ms. Michelle Painter is signed in for MCI. We have Mr. Kohler for AT&T, Mr. Povilaitis for Quest Communications.

JUDGE COLWELL: Good morning.

MR. POVILAITIS: Good morning, Your Honor.

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

granted.

And Mr. Arfaa.

MR. ARFAA: Good morning, Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: You're here on behalf of Cingular Wireless and Cellco Partnership, doing business as

Who did I miss?

MS. PAIVA: Susan Paiva, Your Honor, from Verizon Pennsylvania and Verizon North.

JUDGE COLWELL: Good morning.

Anybody else?

MR. KOHLER: Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: Yes, sir.

MR. KEFFER: I am Mark Keffer, K-E-F-E-R.
I'm also representing AT&T.

JUDGE COLWELL: Good morning, Mr. Keffer.

Okay. The first thing I have is a motion for admission pro hac vice. Zsuzanna Benedek has moved for admission pro hac vice for Jennifer Duane. Any objections to this motion?

(No response.)

JUDGE COLWELL: Hearing none, the motion is

The next thing I have are petitions to intervene. I had asked for entries of appearance, and some of you in a show of an admirable abundance of caution also

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

filed petitions to intervene. They were filed by Omnipointe Communications Enterprises, doing business as T-Mobile;

Voicestream Pittsburgh, doing business as T-Mobile Nextel

Communications; AT&T; Qwest; Verizon; and Cingular Wireless;

Cellco Partnership, doing business as Verizon Wireless. Are there any objections to these petitions?

MS. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, on behalf of the Rural Telephone Company Coalition, we have no objection to the petitions to intervene. We would reserve our right to object to perhaps a scope of their participation depending upon how this matter evolves, but we will not object to intervention.

JUDGE COLWELL: Fair enough.

Anyone else?

(No response.)

JUDGE COLWELL: Okay, then, everybody is granted.

Do we have any other preliminary matters which we need to discuss before we begin with the directions from the Commission's order?

(No response.)

JUDGE COLWELL: This is a quiet room for having so many people in it. Okay.

The stated purpose of this investigation is to determine whether there should be further intrastate

access charge reductions and intraLATA toll rate reductions in the service territories of rural incumbent local exchange carriers and all rate issues and rate changes that should or would result in the event that disbursements from the PA Universal Service Fund are reduced. After we complete this investigation, I am to issue a decision with a fully developed analysis and recommendation on at least the six questions stated in the text of the order.

Some of you were thorough in giving me a lot to work with. Some of you chose to defer what we were going to do. But my agenda right off the bat is to tell you that there are six specific questions in the Commission's order which I am required to address. So that's one thing that's not negotiable. I have to absolutely put that in there.

At this point, I need to make sure there's at least one party willing to address every one of those six questions. So, if you have your orders handy, you might want to turn to the paragraph section.

The first one, whether intrastate access charges and intraLATA toll rates should be further reduced or rate structure modified in the rural ILECs' territories. I see a show of hands. Who's going to address that?

MS. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, might we go off the record just for one moment on that question?

JUDGE COLWELL: Yes. We're off the record.

2

3

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

21

24

25

(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)

JUDGE COLWELL: While we were off the record, the parties discussed possible approaches to handling this case. We are going to set a meeting for two months' time; and, at that time, the parties, after consultation with each other, are going to be able to tell me how they are going to approach each of the issues listed in the Commission's order. That's nice. I don't have to go through the whole list to find out who's going to tell me about what.

I did get some recommendations from several 12 of the other parties. Do any of the parties who filed those want to discuss those at this time? I know OCA gave me a list.

Mr. McClelland.

MR. McCLELLAND: Your Honor, I think you have given us the opportunity to develop those concerns that we put in there. I think the two-month period will be very helpful to do that. And, if I also may, there has been some discussion of FCC activity on this; and I believe it will probably have at least another notice from the FCC which will also be helpful during that two-month period. that's all well.

JUDGE COLWELL: Okay. I think the other ones are pretty much in keeping with what we have said.

would like to have addressed at this time? Speak up now. 3 Yes, sir. MR. McCLELLAND: If I can just ask a 4 5 guestion. If you were speaking of getting together, were you saying in two months' time? JUDGE COLWELL: Yes. 7 MR. McCLELLAND: And would that be April 8 18th, which is a Monday? 9 10 JUDGE COLWELL: I thought we could handle that off the record. We'll discuss that off the record when 11 we get time for everybody. 12 MR. McCLELLAND: Good enough. 13 JUDGE COLWELL: Because you also need to set 14 some time to talk to each other. MR. STERN: Your Honor, a clarification, 16 then. So, at two months' time, I presume we can extend 17 partial issues to be presented to you before then for 18 resolution. 19 JUDGE COLWELL: Sure. 20 MR. STERN: If the parties partially settle 21 on a certain issue, I would presume you will separate next 22 week if we got it to you. 23 JUDGE COLWELL: What I want to avoid is 24

Anybody else have any concerns that they

issuing six different orders. Now, I understand that you're

talking about the wireless issue.

MR. STERN: The legal jurisdiction issue.

JUDGE COLWELL: And that in my mind is the only one that has the potential for being separated from the rest of this investigation. So, if anybody else disagrees, please let me know right now, but I haven't seen it. It isn't necessarily a given, but it has potential. I can see why you want to move it. The rest of them are part of this investigation and different aspects really are the same issues.

MR. STERN: I'm focusing on that one issue, Your Honor.

JUDGE COLWELL: Okay. So, yes, I understand that, if you come to some agreement and you want to file for that next week, I would see something in writing next week. But please don't do that with all the other issues.

All right. Does anybody else have any other concerns? Speak up now. This is a difficult case, not just for you but for me as well; and you're way more familiar with the issues than I am. So don't assume I understand it.

(No response.)

JUDGE COLWELL: Okay. What we're doing to do is, I will issue an order that will set the next meeting date; and it will also direct you to address the issues that are in this Commission order. It will be due five days

```
1 before that hearing. By then, you should have met or spoken
2 |on conference calls enough so that you understand each
  other's issues and approaches; and these should have taken
  some shape better than they have at this point.
                   So, is there anything else for the record
5
6
  today?
                   (No response.)
7
                   JUDGE COLWELL: Okay. Hearing no response,
8
  we'll go off the record.
                   (Whereupon, at 10:55 a.m., the
10
                   hearing was adjourned.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me, or under my direction, and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

By: Judith C. Shuller

Judith E. Shuller, CSR