Via Electronic Filing
Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265



Re: Pennsylvania State Senator Andrew E. Dinniman v. Sunoco Pipeline, L.P.

Consolidated Docket Nos. C-2018-3001451 and P-2018-3001453

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for electronic filing with the Commission in the above-captioned proceeding please find Clean Air Council's Response to Respondent Sunoco Pipeline, L.P.'s Objection to Documents Cited in Intervenor's Post-Hearing Brief.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Respectfully,

Joseph Otis Minott, Esq. Executive Director & Chief Counsel Clean Air Council 135 South 19th Street, Suite 300 Philadelphia, PA 19103

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania State Senator: :

Andrew E. Dinniman : Consolidated Docket Nos.

Complainant, : C-2018-3001451 : P-2018-3001453

.

Sunoco Pipeline, L.P., :

v.

Respondent.

CLEAN AIR COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.'s OBJECTION TO DOCUMENTS CITED IN INTERVENOR'S POST-HEARING BRIEF

Clean Air Council (the "Council") respectfully submits this reply to Respondent Sunoco Pipeline L.P.'s Objection to Documents Cited in Intervenor's Post-Hearing Brief. First, despite the title of Sunoco's filing suggesting an objection to documents, a reading of Sunoco's filing indicates the objection is to the Council's characterization of the documents. The Court, of course, is and always has been free to review the documents and draw its own conclusions.

Second, there is no dispute that the PHMSA records, which are publically available, describe a 20-inch ethane pipeline that exploded after sinking three feet. The Council would direct the Court, in particular, to Paragraph 14 on page 5 of the Failure Investigation Report¹:

The survey indicated that the pipe had dropped more than 3 feet since the line was originally constructed. A geotechnical survey conducted by Pennsylvania Soil and Rock determined that the failed pipe was installed across a transition area or "head wall" of an old underground mine and surface strip mine. In addition, the soil on which the pipeline was laid had undergone little consolidation since the mining was completed.

¹ Previously cited in the Council's post-hearing memorandum at n.6, available at https://cms.phmsa.dot.gov/sites/phmsa.dot.gov/files/docs/inspections-and-investigations/17866/149469enterpriseproductsoperating||cfir2015126reportandappendices.pdf|

Sunoco is correct that the word subsidence does not appear in these particular documents.

However, to suggest that additional material being added on top of the pipeline was the sole

cause of the sinking –especially given the proximity to an underground mine-- defies logic. For

the pipeline to sink three feet, it had to have sunken into something. The Failure Investigation

Report implied that the three feet of space that the pipeline moved into did *not* appear to be the

result of soil being compressed under the pipeline; as noted above, "the soil on which the

pipeline was laid had undergone little consolidation..." If the space was not a result of

compressed soil, it was caused by some other factor.

Moreover, Sunoco cannot dispute the larger relevance of these PHMSA documents:

They demonstrate that the explosion of a 20-inch ethane pipeline caused 5 acres of land to burn

and damaged the siding on a home located as far away as 2,000 feet from the failure location. *Id*.

at p. 2. As demonstrated at hearing, that is exactly the kind of danger residents along the route

seek protection from.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Otis Minott, Esq.

Executive Director & Chief Counsel

Clean Air Council

135 South 19th Street, Suite 300

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Dated: May 21, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this day I have served a copy of Clean Air Council's Response to Respondent Sunoco Pipeline L.P.'s Objection to Documents Cited in Intervenor's Post-Hearing Brief upon the persons listed below in the manner indicated in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54 (relating to service by a party).

VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Mark L. Freed

PA ID No. 63860
Doylestown Commerce Center
2005 South Easton Road, Suite 100
Doylestown, PA 18901
mlf@curtinheefner.com

Counsel for Pennsylvania State Senator Andrew E. Dinniman

Thomas J. Sniscak

PA ID. # 33891

tjsniscak@hmslegal.com

Kevin J. McKeon

PA ID. # 30428

kjmckeon@hmslegal.com

Whitney E. Snyder

PA ID. #316625

Hawke McKeon & Sniscak,LLP

100 North Tenth Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 wesnyder@hmslegal.com Robert D. Fox

PA ID No. 44322

rfox@mankogold.com

Neil S. Witkes

PA ID No. 37653

nwitkes@mankogold.com

Diana A. Silva

PA ID No. 311083

dsilva@mankogold.com

Manko, Gold, Katcher & Fox, LLP

401 City Avenue, Suite 901 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Counsel for Sunoco Pipeline L.P.

Virginia Marcille Kerslake

103 Shoen Road Exton, PA 19341 vkerslake@gmail.com

Dated: May 21, 2018

Kathryn L. Urbanowicz, E