

TO THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH BARNES,  
PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS MY RESPONSE  
TO SUNOCO'S PIPELINE MOTION FOR A  
TELEPHONIC PREHEARING CONFERENCE  
AND REVISED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE  
AND EXPEDITED RESPONSE.

(CASE) WILMER BAKER  
(C-2018-3004294) VS  
SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.

I FEEL YOUR HONOR, THERE IS NO  
NEED TO CHANGE THE PROCEDURAL  
SCHEDULE. I HAVE SHARED MY WHOLE  
FILE WITH SUNOCO'S ATTORNEYS.

MY LAST FILE WAS DELIVERED (4/16/2019)  
ONE DAY AHEAD OF TIME, FROM YOUR  
AMENDED ORDER (DATED 3/18/2019)  
THAT'S 29 DAYS!

SUNOCO'S ATTORNEYS HAVE UNTIL  
MAY 27, THATS 41 DAYS TO REPLY  
WITH THE WITNESS FILES, MY OUTLINE  
CHRISTINA DIGIULIO WITNESS  
STATEMENT, I BELIEVE THAT TO  
BE PLENTY OF WRITTEN TESTIMONY  
FOR THEM TO BUILD THEIR DEFENSE.

Sincerely,  
Wilmer J Baker

RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF C.A.L.J.

19 MAY -1 AM 11:53

PA PUC

RECEIVED

2019 MAY -3 AM 8:18

PA PUC  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES