

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 213 Market Street 8th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 TEL: 717 237 6000 FAX: 717 237 6019

Karen O. Moury 717.237.6036 kmoury@eckertseamans.com

July 6, 2020

Via Electronic Filing

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary PA Public Utility Commission P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Petition of PECO Energy Company for Approval of its Default Service Program for the Period from June 1, 2020 Through May 31, 2025 – Docket No. P-2020-3019290

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for electronic filing please find Electric Supplier Coalition's Petition for Certification of a Ruling on a Discovery Matter with regard to the above-referenced matter. Copies to be served in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Karen O. Moury Karen O. Moury

KOM/lww Enclosure

cc: Hon. Eranda Vero w/enc. Cert. of Service w/enc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this day I served a copy of the Electric Supplier Coalition's Petition for Certification of a Ruling on a Discovery Matter upon the persons listed below in the manner indicated in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54.

Via Email only

Kenneth M. Kulak, Esq. Brooke E. McGlinn, Esq. Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP 1701 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 <u>Ken.kulak@morganlewis.com</u> Brooke.mcglinn@morganlewis.com

W. Craig Williams, Esq. Anthony Gay, Esq. Jack Garfinkle, Esq. Exelon Business Services Company 2301 Market Street, S23-1 P.O. Box 8699 Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699 <u>Craig.williams@exeloncorp.com</u> <u>Anthony.gay@exeloncorp.com</u> Jack.garfinkle@exeloncorp.com

Aron J. Beatty, Esq. David T. Evrard, Esq. Lauren Myers, Esq. Office of Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut St., 5th Fl., Forum Place Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923 <u>abeatty@paoca.org</u> <u>devrard@paoca.org</u> <u>lmyers@paoca.org</u>

Sharon Webb, Esq. Erin K. Fure, Esq. Daniel G Asmus Office of Small Business Advocate Forum Place Building 555 Walnut Street, 1st Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 <u>swebb@pa.gov</u> <u>efure@pa.gov</u> dasmus@pa.gov Robert W. Ballenger, Esq. Josie B. H. Pickens, Esq. Joline R. Price, Esq. Kinteshia Scott, Esq. TURN and Action Alliance Community Legal Services, Inc. 1424 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 rballenger@clsphila.org jpickens@clsphila.org JPrice@clsphila.org kscott@clsphila.org

John F. Lushis, Jr., Esq. Norris McLaughlin, P.A. 515 West Hamilton St. Suite 502 Allentown, PA 18101 jlushis@norris-law.com

James Laskey, Esq. Norris McLaughlin, P.A. 400 Crossing Blvd., 8th Floor Bridgewater, NJ 08807 jlaskey@norris-law.com

Charis Mincavage, Esq. Adelou A. Bakare, Esq. Jo-Anne S. Thompson, Esq. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 100 Pine Street P.O. Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 <u>cmincavage@mcneeslaw.com</u> <u>abakare@mcneeslaw.com</u> jthompson@mcneeslaw.com Elizabeth R. Marx, Esq. John Sweet, Esq. Ria Pereira, Esq. PA Utility Law Project 118 Locust Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 pulp@palegalaid.net

Devin McDougall, Esq. Staff Attorney Earthjustice 1617 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1130 Philadelphia, PA 19103 dmcdougall@earthjustice.org

Logan Welde, Esq. Staff Attorney & Dir. of Legislative Affairs Clean Air Council 135 S. 19th St., Suite 300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 <u>lwelde@cleanair.org</u>

Dr. Steven L. Estomin Dr. Serhan Ogur Exeter Associates, Inc. Suite 300 10480 Little Patuxent Parkway Columbia, MD 21044 <u>sestomin@exeterassociates.com</u> <u>sogur@exeterassociates.com</u> Gregory L. Peterson, Esq. Kevin Blake, Esq. Thomas Puchner, Esq. Phillips Lytle LLP 201 West Third Street, Suite 205 Jamestown, NY 14701-4907 <u>gpeterson@phillipslytle.com</u> <u>kblake@phillipslytle.com</u> <u>tpuchner@phillipslytle.com</u>

Barbara Alexander Consumer Affairs Consultant 83 Wedgewood Drive Winthrop, ME 04364 <u>barbalexand@gmail.com</u>

Brian Kalcic Excel Consulting 225 S. Meramec Avenue, Suite 720 St. Louis, MO 63105 excel.consulting@sbcglobal.net

Dated: July 6, 2020

Karen O. Moury

Karen O. Moury

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

:

:

Petition of PECO Energy Company for Approval of its Default Service Program for the Period from June 1, 2021 through May 31, 2025

Docket No. P-2020-3019290

PETITION OF ELECTRIC SUPPLIER COALITION FOR CERTIFICATION OF A RULING ON A DISCOVERY MATTER

TO THE HONORABLE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VERO:

Pursuant to Section 5.304(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations, 52 Pa. Code § 5.304(a)(2), the

Electric Supplier Coalition ("ESC" or "Coalition") hereby files this Petition for Certification requesting

interlocutory review of the Interim Order issued by Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Eranda Vero on

July 2, 2020 regarding the Motion to Compel of PECO Energy Company ("PECO") relating to

Interrogatories, Set I, Nos. 2, 4, 5 and 6. The Electric Supplier Coalition avers as follows.

1. The proposed Question for Certification is as follows:

Whether it was appropriate to direct electric generation suppliers ("EGSs") to produce pricing, sales, complaint and long-term contract information relating to the competitive generation services they provide to shopping customers in the retail market in a proceeding that is designed to establish the parameters under which PECO will provide default generation service to non-shopping customers in a regulated market?

Proposed Answer: No.

2. The three main reasons this was inappropriate are that: (a) EGS activities in the competitive retail market selling electricity to shopping customers are wholly irrelevant to the regulated structure under which PECO sells electricity to non-shopping customers; (b) EGS information from the competitive retail market is highly confidential and, even aggregated, would be prejudicial if shared among themselves; and (c) requiring the ESC to produce irrelevant and highly confidential information about its members' participation in the competitive retail market as a condition of offering its perspectives about PECO's regulated default service model and related proposals would violate their fundamental

1

rights of due process. If the ALJ is persuaded, she could treat this Petition as a request for reconsideration and so modify the Interim Order.

3. The central purpose of PECO's four-year DSP V Plan is to establish the plan for PECO as the default service provider to procure and supply generation service to customers on its distribution system who do not receive generation service from EGSs in the retail competitive market. These are nonshopping customers.

4. By contrast, the EGSs who are members of the Coalition do not serve non-shopping customers. Rather, they supply generation service to customers on PECO's distribution system who elect to receive generation from an EGS in the retail competitive market established by the Competition Act. They serve shopping customers.

5. Ignoring the separate and distinct roles that: (a) PECO has a default service provider serving *non-shopping customers* at fully reconcilable rates in a Commission-regulated environment, and that (b) Coalition members have of serving *shopping customers* in a fully competitive market, the Interim Order inappropriately intertwines these vastly different activities. In order to avoid substantial prejudice to the Coalition's legal rights, it is critical that the ALJ recognize the differences in these services.

6. EGS activities in the competitive retail market are largely irrelevant to how PECO fulfills its role as a default service provider in the regulated environment. How EGSs price their competitive retail products, how many customers they serve, how much electricity they sell to their customers, whether their shopping customers complain about their prices and how they structure their energy supply contracts have nothing to do with how PECO's regulated default generation service is procured or sold to non-shopping customers. To the degree EGS activities are relevant in this proceeding, it is in relation to whether the DSP provides the space needed to exist in a competitive market for shopping customers in the face of a dominant incumbent serving non-shopping customers.

7. The Interim Order claims that the information sought by PECO is relevant in assessing the extent to which ESC members can expand their businesses under the DSP program, and refers to the possibility for EGSs to charge higher prices for such service. In making these assertions, the Interim

{L0888255.2}

2

Order relies on ESC testimony about the stagnation of the market but overlooks the facts that ESC members currently have no role in PECO's DSP program and are not seeking such a role as part of this proceeding. While it is true that the ESC testimony points to a number of flaws in PECO's DSP V Plan and with its "basic default service model," Mr. Kavulla relies on his observations about the stagnant market to propose specific recommendations to address those shortcomings, including changes in PECO's four-year plan and revisions to the existing default service structure going forward.

8. At no time does Mr. Kavulla suggest that EGSs operating in the competitive retail market should take their business models and replace or supplement PECO's default service structure. Indeed, he expressly references the need for a separate process to examine alternative default service frameworks, which would be required under the Commission's regulations. In such a proceeding, it would be incumbent upon the Commission to determine how other entities would procure and price default service for customers. It would be up to the Commission to structure default service to protect customers. EGS activity in the competitive market would be irrelevant to that process and would continue on its own path.

9. Particularly problematic is the Interim Order's suggestion that the ESC aggregate the data so as to preserve its confidentiality – data that the Commission itself protects from public disclosure unless aggregated statewide. This approach wholly overlooks the fact that only the ESC's counsel would be able to view the data in aggregate and that no ESC representative, including its own witness, would have any visibility to this data or any opportunity to review or respond to other parties' reaction to this data and its impact on ESC's recommendations. These realities create untenable dynamics.

10. Notably, none of the requested information currently exists. It belongs to seven companies who are competitors and it is not sitting on a shelf. Indeed, it would take extensive time and resources to compile it, while the ESC members are focused on running their businesses and protecting customer interests during COVID-19. Simply, it is unreasonable to place the Coalition in a position of picking between responding to irrelevant, highly confidential interrogatories or exercising its rights to review and testify about PECO's DSP V Plan. The Coalition has a right to offer its perspectives as to whether PECO's plan or the default service structure need to be revised to comply with the law.

{L0888255.2}

3

WHEREFORE, the Electric Supplier Coalition respectfully requests that Administrative Law

Judge Vero grant this Petition for Certification.

Respectfully submitted,

Karen O. Moury

Karen O. Moury, Esquire Attorney ID 36879 Deanne M. O'Dell, Esquire Attorney ID 81064 Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 213 Market St., 8th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 717.237.6000 Fax 717.237.6019 kmoury@eckertseamans.com dodell@eckertseamans.com

Date: July 6, 2020

Attorneys for Electric Supplier Coalition