

September 17, 2020

Via E-File

Honorable Elizabeth Barnes Administrative Law Judge Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street, 2nd Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120 ebarnes@pa.gov

Re: Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval of a Default Service Program for the Period of June 1, 2021 through May 31, 2025 Docket No. P-2020-3019356

CAUSE-PA Statement in Support of Partial Settlement

Dear Judge Barnes,

Attached, please find the Statement of the Coalition for Affordable Utility Services and Energy Efficiency in Pennsylvania (CAUSE-PA) in Support of the Joint Petition for Approval of Partial Settlement.

Copies will be circulated in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted, **PENNSYLVANIA UTILITY LAW PROJECT** *Counsel for CAUSE-PA*

Esborr K. N/an/

Elizabeth R. Marx, Esq. emarxpulp@palegalaid.net

CC: Per Certificate of Service Secretary Rosemary Chiavetta

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for : Approval of a Default Service Program for the : Docket No. P-2020-3019356 Period of June 1, 2021 through May 31, 2025 :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify I have on this day served copies of the **Statement of the Coalition for Affordable Utility Services and Energy Efficiency in Pennsylvania (CAUSE-PA) in Support of the Joint Petition for Approval of Partial Settlement** in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a party) and consistent with the Commission's March 20, 2020 Emergency Order.

VIA EMAIL ONLY	
Honorable Elizabeth Barnes	Kimberly A. Klock, Esq.
Administrative Law Judge	Michael J. Shafer, Esq.
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	PPL Services Corporation
Commonwealth Keystone Building	Two North Ninth Street
400 North Street, 2 nd Floor	Allentown, PA 18101
Harrisburg, PA 17120	kklock@pplweb.com
ebarnes@pa.gov	mjshafer@pplweb.com
Michael W. Hassell, Esq.	Aron J. Beatty, Esq.
Lindsay Berkstresser, Esq.	David Evrard, Esq.
Post & Schell, PC	Office of Consumer Advocate
17 North Second Street, 12 th Floor	555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601	5 th floor, Forum Place
mhassell@postschell.com	Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
lberkstresser@postschell.com	abeatty@paoca.org
	devrard@paoca.org
Steven C. Gray, Esq.	Gina L. Miller, Esquire
Small Business Advocate	Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement
Office of Small Business Advocate	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
300 North Second Street, Suite 202	PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 1710	Harrisburg PA 17105-3265
sgray@pa.gov	<u>ginmiller@pa.gov</u>
Pamela Polacek, Esq.	Deanne M. O'Dell, Esq.
Adeolu A Bakare, Esq.	Lauren Burge, Esq.
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
100 Pine Street	213 Market Street, 8 th Fl.
P.O. Box 1166	Harrisburg, PA 17101
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108	dodell@eckertseamans.com
ppolachek@mcneeslaw.com	lburge@eckertseamans.com
abakare@mwn.com	

Charles E. Thomas, III, Esq. Thomas, Niesen & Thomas, LLC 212 Locust Street, Suite 600 Harrisburg, PA 17101 <u>Cet3@tntlawfirm.com</u>	Todd S. Stewart, Esq. Hawke, McKeon & Sniscak, LLP 100 N. 10 th Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 <u>tsstewart@hmslegal.com</u>
Kenneth L. Mickens, Esq. The Sustainable Energy Fund of Central Eastern Pennsylvania 316 Yorkshire Drive Harrisburg, PA 17111 <u>kmickens11@verizon.net</u>	Gregory Peterson, Esq. Phillips Lytle LLP 201 West Third Street, Suite 205 Jamestown, NY 14701 gpeterson@phillipslytle.com
John F. Lushis, Jr., Esq. Norris McLaughlin, PA 515 West Hamilton Street, Suite 502 Allentown, PA 18010 jlushis@norris-law.com	Derrick Price Williamson, Esq. Barry A. Naum, Esq. Spilman, Thomas & Battle PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 dwilliamson@spilman.com bnaum@spilmanlaw.com
Kevin C. Blake, Esq. Phillips Lytle, LLP 125 Main Street Buffalo, NY 14203 <u>kblake@phillips1ytle.com</u>	Thomas F. Puchner, Esq. Phillips Lytle LLP Omni Plaza 30 South Pearl Street Albany, NY, 12207-1537 tpuchner@phillipslytle.com
James Laskey, Esq. Norris McLaughlin, PA 400 Crossing Blvd., 8 th Floor Bridgewater, NJ 08807 <u>jlaskey@norris-law.com</u>	Consultants: Robert Knecht, <u>rdk@indecon.com</u> Barbara Alexander, <u>barbalex@ctel.net</u> Steven L. Estomin, <u>sestomin@exeterassociates.com</u> Serhan Ogur, <u>sogur@exeterassociates.com</u>

2 about K. Man

Elizabeth R. Marx, Esq. Pennsylvania Utility Law Project *Counsel for CAUSE-PA* 118 Locust Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101 717-710-3825 / <u>emarxpulp@palegalaid.net</u>

DATE: September 17, 2020

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

:

:

:

:

Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval of a Default Service Program and Procurement Plan for the Period June 1, 2021 through May 31, 2025

Docket No. P-2020-3019356

STATEMENT OF THE COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE UTILITY SERVICES AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN PENNSYLVANIA IN SUPPORT OF THE JOINT PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

The Coalition for Affordable Utility Services and Energy Efficiency in Pennsylvania ("CAUSE-PA"), a signatory party to the Joint Petition for Approval of Partial Settlement ("Joint Petition" or "Partial Settlement"), respectfully requests that the terms and conditions of the Partial Settlement be approved by the Honorable Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth H. Barnes ("ALJ") and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("Commission") without modification. For the reasons stated more fully below, CAUSE-PA believes that the terms and conditions of the Partial Settlement are in the public interest.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

CAUSE-PA intervened in this proceeding to ensure that PPL's Default Service Plan (DSP) is appropriately designed to ensure that default service remains accessible and affordable for low income consumers and other vulnerable consumer groups. Specifically, CAUSE-PA sought to explore the following issues in this proceeding: (1) the adequacy of pricing protections for

economically vulnerable consumers enrolled in PPL's Customer Assistance Program (CAP); (2) whether PPL's proposed Time of Use (TOU) rates are designed in a manner that protects vulnerable consumers; and (3) the appropriateness of PPL's Standard Offer Program (SOP) as currently designed. The Partial Settlement, which was arrived at through good faith negotiation by all parties, is in the public interest in that it addresses two areas of primary concern to CAUSE-PA. While the parties were ultimately unable to resolve all issues of concern for CAUSE-PA, and reserved several issues for full litigation, the Partial Settlement nevertheless helps to narrow the scope of litigated issues while fairly balancing a number of competing interests in this proceeding. As such, CAUSE-PA asserts that the Partial Settlement is in the public interest and should be approved.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

CAUSE-PA adopts the background as set forth in Paragraphs 1-15 of the Joint Petition. By way of further background, and in relevant part as it pertains to the Partial Settlement terms, CAUSE-PA submitted the expert testimony of Mr. Harry Geller in this proceeding. Mr. Geller also addressed PPL's proposal to institute a Time of Use (TOU) Rate, and explained: "Economically vulnerable households often have very little discretionary energy usage, ...and are more likely to live in smaller homes with less efficient heating and cooling spaces – all factors which make it difficult to shift load during peak periods." (CAUSE-PA St. 1 at 19: 3-16). Mr. Geller also highlighted recent research which showed that time varying usage rates "disproportionately increases bills for households with elderly and disabled occupants, and predicts worse health outcomes for households with disabled or ethnic minority occupants." (CAUSE-PA St. 1 at 20: 1-8). For these reasons, Mr. Geller supported PPL's proposed exclusion of CAP customers from TOU rates, and argued that additional protections should be adopted for all confirmed low income customers and other uniquely vulnerable customer groups – such as seniors and those with medical usage. (CAUSE-PA St. 1 at 20:19 to 21:13).

III. CAUSE-PA SUPPORT FOR THE PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

The following terms of this Partial Settlement address issues of concern to CAUSE-PA, and reflect a balanced compromise of the interests of the parties in this proceeding.

Time of Use Rate Provisions

CAUSE-PA asserts that the terms in the Partial Settlement fairly balance and resolve the Time of Use rate issues of concern in this proceeding, and should be approved. As noted above, Mr. Geller provided testimony and evidence showing that TOU rates can be harmful to economically vulnerable consumers, especially low income seniors, disabled individuals, and other marginalized populations. The Partial Settlement fairly addresses these concerns, in balance with other issues in this proceeding, and is therefore in the public interest.

Specifically, paragraph 27 requires PPL to perform additional analysis and reporting on the TOU rate program in its next DSP, including an evaluation of the appropriate hours for onpeak pricing. Paragraph 27 also requires PPL to include specific language on its website advising consumers that TOU rates may not be appropriate for customers with inflexible usage, such as those with medical devices, and referring low income customers to available universal service programming. In turn, Paragraph 28 specifically requires PPL to evaluate the impact of PPL's TOU rates on confirmed low-income customers as part of its annual Act 129 reporting.

In balance with the other sections of this Partial Settlement, and in light of the substantial number of litigated issues in this proceeding, CAUSE-PA asserts that the provisions of the Partial Settlement regarding PPL's TOU rate proposal are in the public interest, reasonably address CAUSE-PA's concerns, and should be approved.

3

<u>Standard Offer Program</u>

With regard to PPL's Standard Offer Program (SOP), the Partial Settlement resolves several issues raised by CAUSE-PA with regard to the SOP, while reserving others for resolution through litigation. In balance, the provisions of the Partial Settlement regarding PPL's SOP represent a step in the right direction to improve PPL's SOP, and should be approved in tandem with the additional litigated issues advanced by CAUSE-PA in this proceeding.

Specifically, paragraphs 25 and 26 of the Joint Petition provide for measurable improvements to PPL's SOP administration. These provisions of the Partial Settlement allow for interested parties to the proceeding to work with PPL to revise the guidelines and scripts to be used by PPL's customer service representatives and its third party SOP administrator, Hansen. In turn, the Partial Settlement requires PPL to increase monitoring of Hansen and, if necessary, take additional actions – including additional training or contract termination.

Together, these provisions will help improve PPL's SOP administration. CAUSE-PA believes, in balance, that these provisions of the Settlement are in the public interest and should be approved.

Importantly, the SOP provisions contained in the Settlement are designed to address issues with PPL's current SOP administrator that were identified through the course of the proceeding. (See OCA St. 2-Supp). These provisions do not address the evidence identified in this case that unwitting SOP participants who roll over onto a new contract at the conclusion of the 12-month program term pay excessive rates which far exceed the price to compare. (CAUSE-PA MB at 33-39; CAUSE-PA St. 1 at 24:11-13). Additional SOP reforms, including targeted SOP participant education and safeguards to protect SOP participants from unwittingly rolling onto a high cost contract, must be adopted to address the critical SOP pricing issues

4

unearthed in this proceeding. (CAUSE-PA MB at 33-39). Nevertheless, and notwithstanding the issues reserved for litigation, the ability for the parties to reach agreement on next steps to address issues with PPL's third-party SOP administrator, as included in paragraphs 25 and 26 of the Joint Petition, has reduced the number of fully litigated issues in this proceeding and addressed areas of concern for CAUSE-PA. As such, the Partial Settlement is in the public interest and should be approved.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

CAUSE-PA submits that the Partial Settlement, which was achieved by the Joint Petitioners after an extensive investigation of the Company's filing, is in the public interest and should be approved. Acceptance of the Partial Settlement avoids the necessity of further proceedings regarding the settled issues. Accordingly, CAUSE-PA respectfully requests that the ALJ and the Commission approve the Partial Settlement without modification.

> Respectfully submitted, **PENNSYLVANIA UTILITY LAW PROJECT** *Counsel for CAUSE-PA*

A sbook K. Man

Elizabeth R. Marx, Esq., PA ID: 309014 John Sweet, Esq., PA ID: 320182 Ria M. Pereira, Esq., PA ID: 316771 118 Locust Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 Tel.: 717-236-9486 Fax: 717-233-4088 pulp@palegalaid.net

September 17, 2020