

1 **Introduction**

2 Q. Please state your name and business address.

3 A. Robert C. Waruszewski, 121 Champion Way, Suite 100, Canonsburg, Pennsylvania.

4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

5 A. I am employed by Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania, Inc., (“Columbia” or “the
6 Company”) as Senior Regulatory Analyst.

7 Q. What are your responsibilities as Senior Regulatory Analyst?

8 A. I assist in the coordination and supervision of regulatory activity before the
9 Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”), including rates and
10 tariffs.

11 Q. What is your educational and professional background?

12 A. I graduated in 2011 from St. Vincent College, Latrobe, Pennsylvania where I
13 majored in both mathematics and economics. After graduation, I worked as a junior
14 accounting clerk for the Bank of New York Mellon, assisting in the preparation of
15 audits as well as gathering local tax data for the company’s employees before joining
16 Columbia in November of 2011 in the Regulatory department. In November of
17 2013, I was promoted to my current role of Senior Regulatory Analyst.

18 Q. Have you testified before this or any other Commission?

19 A. No I have not testified before this Commission. However, I have testified before the
20 Public Service Commission of Maryland on several occasions.

21 Q. Please describe the scope of your testimony in this proceeding.

1 A. I am presenting and describing several new proposals designed to expand the
2 availability of natural gas service in Columbia's service territory.

3 Q. Please describe the Company's current line extension policy.

4 A. When a potential customer requests Columbia to extend its facilities, the Company
5 uses an economic analysis to determine the cost of serving that customer, as
6 described in section 8.2 of its tariff. This analysis compares the net present value
7 ("NPV") of the projected future revenue, for that customer, to the cost to add the
8 customer. If the result is positive, that is, the projected customer revenues are
9 greater than or equal to the projected cost, then the Company will make the line
10 extension without cost to the customer. However if the result is negative, that is,
11 projected costs are greater than projected revenues, Columbia requires the
12 customer to pay a deposit for service. The deposit amount is the amount required
13 to make the analysis whole. This same approach is used if Columbia is approached
14 by multiple potential customers to be served off a single extension of facilities.

15 Q. Please explain the Company's New Area Service Rider.

16 For residential customers that do not have the ability to pay the deposit up-front,
17 the Company currently offers a pilot New Area Service Rider ("NAS"). NAS allows
18 the customer to pay the full or partial amount of the deposit over a period of 20
19 years on their monthly bills.

1 Q. Since NAS is intended to provide an option to enable more potential customers to
2 elect natural gas service, why is the Company offering additional growth options at
3 this time?

4 A. One of the largest barriers for customers to convert to natural gas is the up-front
5 deposit. While Rider NAS reduces this barrier by spreading the cost of the deposit
6 over a period of time, it does not reduce the overall cost a customer must bear to
7 have the Company extend its facilities to serve them nor does it assist the customer
8 in the upfront costs of installing piping at their home for their natural gas
9 appliances. Given the abundant supply of low-cost gas from Marcellus Shale in
10 Pennsylvania, now is an excellent time to make it easier for applicants to convert to
11 natural gas and enjoy the cost savings of this efficient natural resource.

12 Q. What does the Company propose to reduce customers' upfront cost to convert to
13 natural gas?

14 To help more Pennsylvanians enjoy the benefits of natural gas, Columbia has
15 developed three incentives that, alone and in combination with NAS, will further
16 encourage more customers to elect natural gas service: (1) footage allowance of 150
17 feet of main line per applicant without the need for a NPV analysis in normal
18 situations, (2) an allowance of 150 feet of service line in normal situations for
19 customers served in those portions of Columbia's service territory where the
20 Company owns the service line and (3) reimbursement of up to \$1,000 for the

1 installation of house piping on projects when projected revenues exceed projected
2 costs by a certain threshold.

3 Q. Has Columbia received encouragement to do more to expand the availability of
4 natural gas service?

5 A. Yes, on page 19 of her direct testimony in the 2012 Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania
6 Rate Case, Docket R-2012-2321748, I&E's Witness Lisa Boyd, while commenting on
7 the Company's original Pilot Rider NAS proposal, suggested "that an incentive offer
8 would be appropriate, either a specified free extension distance or a starting cash
9 credit towards the cost of the extension project." On page 11 of his Rebuttal
10 Testimony, Columbia's Witness, Erich Evans, stated that "Columbia is not opposed
11 to the idea of an incentive offer, as long as the Company can recover the cost of the
12 incentives." Furthermore, in the 2014 Pilot Rider NAS Proceeding – R-2014-
13 2407345, OCA's Witness Glen Watkins suggested on page 10 of his Direct
14 Testimony that "Mechanisms with a modest sharing of the cost of expansions
15 between new and existing customers should be explored." Also, in a statement
16 issued at the time of the Commission's approval of Columbia's Pilot Rider NAS,
17 Commissioner Witmer observed:

18 It is well known that I have been a staunch proponent
19 of innovative programs to encourage the extension of natural
20 gas into underserved and unserved areas of the
21 Commonwealth. Pennsylvania sits on top of one of the largest
22 natural gas reserves in the world. The ability to economically
23 extract Marcellus Shale gas has made Pennsylvania the second
24 largest producer of natural gas in the country. As a result,
25 Pennsylvania consumers, residential and very importantly,

1 industrial customers, should have every reasonable
2 opportunity to take advantage of this efficient and clean
3 burning natural resource. I am voting to support Columbia's
4 proposal because it represents an effort to expand natural gas
5 service, however, I am underwhelmed and am of the opinion
6 that it falls short of these goals.

7 Specifically, upon review of Columbia's proposal and
8 the Order before us today, I find the total funding amounts
9 and the payback parameters of Columbia's pilot Rider NAS to
10 be underwhelming. To that end, as pilot Rider NAS is put into
11 place, I strongly encourage the Company to review these
12 aspects of the program and, when opportunities arise, propose
13 mid-course corrections in order to create a more expansive,
14 dynamic and effective program that can truly encourage the
15 extension of natural gas throughout Columbia's service
16 territory.

17
18 Q: In the Pilot Rider NAS proceeding, Columbia opposed proposals to revise the
19 calculation of customers' contributions under the NPV model. Why is Columbia
20 now offering incentives to reduce the customer contribution toward the cost of new
21 construction?

22 A: There are several reasons. A primary reason is to be responsive to the comments of
23 Commissioner Witmer that Columbia propose more expansive changes to
24 encourage extensions in Columbia's service territory. Further, an allowance
25 approach will reduce the frequency of NPV calculations, thereby being more
26 "consumer friendly" to applicants.

27 **Proposed Main Extension Tariff Change**

28 Q. Does the Company currently offer any footage allowance for customers to connect
29 to Columbia's system?

1 A. No, the Company does not currently offer customers any mains footage allowance
2 to connect to its natural gas system.

3 Q. Has the Company ever offered incentives to connect to its system?

4 A. Yes, as recently as 2001, Columbia offered to extend its system by 65 feet without
5 payment of a customer contribution for any residential customer who would be
6 using natural gas as their heating source.

7 Q. Why did the Company stop offering this incentive?

8 A. Columbia's access to capital at that time was severely limited.

9 Q. Is the Company aware of any NGDCs who offer a footage allowance to residential
10 customers?

11 A. Yes, Valley Energy offers a combination of up to 200 feet of service and or main
12 extension per customer. In addition, the Company's affiliates in Ohio and Kentucky
13 and other NGDCs such as Delmarva Power (DE), Duke Energy (OH) and Dominion
14 East (OH) are some of the many companies who offer main line extensions without
15 charge to applicants. The Company recently requested the American Gas
16 Association ("AGA") to conduct a survey of natural gas utilities to inquire how many
17 natural gas utilities offer a footage allowance to residential applicants. Of the 23
18 companies that responded, approximately 60% of companies offer a mains footage
19 allowance to serve new customers.

20 Q. Please describe the Company's main extension tariff change proposal.

1 A. Columbia is proposing a tariff change for residential extensions. For each customer
2 that requests a main line extension, Columbia would install the first 150 feet of
3 main line without charge to the customer in normal situations. At the Company's
4 discretion, projects with abnormal underground conditions, such as crossing a
5 stream or state highway, or visible ledge or rock that will affect excavation or
6 excessive permitting fees would not be eligible for the 150 foot allotment. As more
7 applicants join in a single project to extend gas facilities the greater the length of
8 main the Company will install without charge to the applicant. For example, on a
9 single project with 10 customers electing to receive natural gas, the Company will
10 install up to 1500 feet of main line without requiring a customer contribution. For
11 extension projects greater than 150 feet per customer, the Company will run an
12 economic model solely on the line extension segment in excess of the 150 foot
13 allotment per customer to determine if the customer will be required to pay a
14 deposit. For example, if one customer requested a 200 foot line extension, the
15 Company would run the economic analysis on the cost to extend facilities 50 feet to
16 the customer. This deposit may be paid up front or through Rider NAS.

17 Q. Why did the Company determine 150 feet was the appropriate offer?

18 A. Please see Exhibit RCW-1. The Company calculated the miles of road and housing
19 units in its service territory and found that there was an average of 136 feet of road
20 per every housing unit. By offering a 150 foot allowance to each applicant, the

1 Company is providing an allowance equivalent to the average distance of extending
2 its main from one house to the next.

3 Q. What will be the ratemaking treatment of the allowance?

4 A. The cost of all facilities installed will be included in rate base in future proceedings,
5 and revenues will be reflected for the new customers added. If further customers
6 are connected to facilities constructed within the allowance, no credit would be
7 provided to the original customers added as part of the extension. If a customer
8 contribution is required, and new customers are later added to the extension, the
9 Company's existing rules regarding provision of a credit under its main extension
10 rules on Rider NAS will continue to apply.

11 **Proposed Service Line Tariff Change**

12 Q. Please explain the ownership of service lines throughout the Company's service
13 territory.

14 A. As specified in Columbia's tariff, in some areas of the Company's territory the
15 Company owns the service line, primarily in the eastern side of the state, while in
16 other areas, primarily in the western part of the state, the customer owns the service
17 line. I am advised that this distinction is mandated by Section 1510 of the Public
18 Utility Code.

19 Q. Does the Company currently offer any service line allowance to applicants who wish
20 to connect to the system?

1 A. No, the Company does not currently offer any service line allowance for customer
2 connections. Pursuant to section 8.1 of Columbia's tariff, the Company installs the
3 service line from its main to the point of delivery. In areas where the Company owns
4 the service line Columbia "will install the service line from its main to a convenient
5 point, approximately fifty (50) feet inside the customer's property line." However,
6 the cost of this service line extension is currently included in the facility extension
7 calculation.

8 Q. Has the Company ever offered a service line allowance for applicants?

9 A. To my knowledge, the Company has never offered a service line allowance for
10 applicants.

11 Q. Is the Company aware of any Pennsylvania NGDCs who offer a service line
12 allowance to residential customers?

13 A. Besides Valley Energy, which offers a combination of a service line and/or main
14 allowance, Leatherstocking Gas Company and Pike County Light and Power
15 Company respectively offer 100 feet and 50 feet of a service line allowance for
16 buildings that are "designed and used for year-round occupancy." In addition,
17 Columbia Gas of Ohio does not require any contribution toward the cost of a service
18 line to serve new customers. Columbia Gas of Kentucky offers a 100 foot service line
19 allowance for new customers, Columbia Gas of Maryland offers a 50 foot service
20 line allowance per customer and Columbia Gas of Virginia has proposed to offer a
21 150 foot service line allowance in its current rate case.

1 Q. Why is the Company proposing this Service Line Change?

2 A. As I stated earlier in my testimony, Columbia seeks to be responsive to the
3 comments of Commissioner Witmer that Columbia propose further changes to its
4 extension rules to enable more potential applicants to benefit from the availability
5 of low cost natural gas supplies. Besides the cost of extending the Company's main
6 line, the cost of installing a service line is another big deterrent to keep potential
7 applicants from requesting natural gas service. A service line allowance, in addition
8 to the Main Line allowance per applicant, and Pilot Rider NAS, would significantly
9 reduce the cost to potential applicants to elect natural gas.

10 Q. Who is eligible for this Program?

11 A. Residential customers in areas where Columbia owns the service line are eligible for
12 this program.

13 Q. Please describe the Company's Service Line Change Proposal.

14 A. In areas where the Company owns the service line, new applicants will receive 150
15 feet of service line allowance in normal situations. In cases of abnormal
16 underground conditions, such as crossing a stream or state highway, or visible ledge
17 or rock that will affect excavation or excessive permitting fees the customer would
18 be required to pay a contribution for the cost for the service line. In cases where the
19 service line extension would be greater than 150 feet, the customer may be required
20 to pay a deposit. The Company will run the economic analysis only on the main and
21 service line portions that exceed the 150 feet threshold to determine if a deposit is

1 required. This deposit may be paid up front or through Rider NAS. For ratemaking
2 purposes, the entire cost of the service line extension, net of any contribution, will
3 be included in rate base.

4 Q. Why did the Company determine 150 feet was the appropriate offer?

5 A. Based upon the Company's experience, if there is a Company main on the street,
6 150 feet of service line is normally sufficient to connect most customers to the
7 Company's main.

8 **Proposed House Line Reimbursement**

9 Q. Please explain the Company's house pipe proposal.

10 A. As stated earlier, the Company runs an economic analysis for customers who
11 request a main line extension. For projects where the economic analysis result is
12 positive by at least \$1,000 per customer, the Company proposes to reimburse
13 customers for a portion of the cost of the installation of house piping, up to \$1,000.
14 For example, if the economic analysis of a project of 10 residential customers yields
15 a positive result of \$10,000, the Company would reimburse each of the customers
16 up to \$1,000 for the installation of house piping. Customers would pay for the work
17 to be done in their homes and then would provide the Company documentation
18 that the work had been done in order to obtain reimbursement from the Company.

19 Q. Will the cost of the 150 feet of main and service line be included in the economic
20 analysis to determine if the customer is eligible for a house piping reimbursement?

1 A. Yes, even though the Company will extend its main 150 feet and install 150 feet of
2 service line, in areas where the Company owns the service line, at its own expense,
3 these costs will be placed in the economic model when determining if the customer
4 is eligible for a house piping reimbursement, so that existing customers do not
5 subsidize new customers for house piping.

6 Q. Which customers would be eligible for this program?

7 A. Only residential customers converting to natural gas would be eligible for this
8 program. New homes would not be eligible for this program.

9 Q. Why should the Company offer reimbursement for the installation of house piping
10 in a customer's home?

11 A. The cost of installing the house piping and new appliances can cost a customer
12 thousands of dollars. Even with the main line and service line allowances, this
13 additional cost may be a significant enough deterrent to dissuade people from
14 converting to natural gas. For projects that would generate a net positive present
15 value greater than \$1,000 per customer, the Company can offer assistance to help
16 cover the customer's house piping costs to ensure the line extension does take place.

17 Q. Won't existing customers be subsidizing new customers on the house piping
18 proposal?

19 A. No, as stated, Columbia will never reimburse a customer enough to cause the
20 project to return a negative result. Since the reimbursement can only go as high as
21 the positive result of the project, existing customers will not be subsidizing the costs

1 of new customers' piping. Let us consider two scenarios in which customers would
2 like to convert to natural gas, but without the assistance of the Company for house
3 piping installation, the projects would not go through.

4

Scenario	Economic Analysis Result	House Line Installation Costs	Net Result
1	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0
2	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000

5

6 For example, consider scenario 1, a project with a positive result of \$10,000. If the
7 Company paid the full amount of \$10,000 to assist customers in installation of
8 house piping, the net result for the project would be \$0. The economic model guides
9 the Company to make the investment of main extension for any project with a result
10 greater than or equal to \$0. So in this case, the project is still economically justified
11 by the Company even with the Company's contributions to house line installations.

12 To put it another way, the rates the customer will pay will fully cover the investment
13 to add this customer. Therefore, the effect to existing customers is the same as if a
14 project with an economic analysis result of \$0 was built for customers without any
15 money given in contribution to house piping. The upside for existing customers is in
16 Scenario 2. In this project with an initial result of \$10,000 the Company reimburses
17 the \$5,000 cost to install house piping. The net result is a \$5,000 benefit to existing

1 customers from the new customers being added onto the system, since the
2 projected revenues reduce the overall cost of maintaining the system.

3 Q. How will the Company record for ratemaking purposes, the cost of reimbursing for
4 house piping?

5 A. The Company will record the cost of reimbursing house piping as an O&M expense.

6 Q. Will the three new proposals replace the use of the Company's Pilot Rider NAS?

7 A. No, these proposals will be used in conjunction with NAS and will increase the
8 scope and effectiveness of NAS.

9 Q. Please summarize your tariff change proposals.

10 A. The Company proposes to extend its main 150 feet without charge to an applicant
11 for each applicant that applies for a line extension. Secondly, in the areas where the
12 Company owns the service line, Columbia proposes to install 150 feet of service line
13 for new applicants without charge to the applicant. Finally, for projects that have a
14 positive economic analysis greater than \$1,000 per customer, the Company
15 proposes to reimburse customers up to \$1,000 for the installation of house piping.
16 In this way, the Company is addressing three major barriers applicants face when
17 they wish to select natural gas as their heating source, the cost of main line
18 extensions, the cost of service line installations and the cost of house line
19 installations. By reducing these upfront costs, the Company will make it easier for
20 applicants to select natural gas service.

21 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

County	Adams	Allegheny	Armstrong	Beaver	Bedford	Buter	Centre	Chester	Carron	Clearfield	Elu	Fayette	Franklin	Fulton	Greene	Indiana	Jefferson	Lawrence	McKean	Mercer	Somerset	Vanango	Washington	Westmoreland	York	Total CPA Counties
Number of housing units	41041	587831	32278	78091	21894	76930	64283	194929	19801	38415	17469	62500	63762	7071	16349	38296	22323	40647	20995	51421	37809	27197	93354	167673	179599	2005358
Road miles																										
Paved	543.55	1178.07	650.27	601.58	794.78	653.8	585.77	1017.52	369.04	795.26	296.23	758.7	613.54	342.32	573.86	797.18	555.42	385.01	381.69	740.77	880.95	518.75	1090.7	1186.66	1134.54	17560.76
Other	31.06	1	14.1	23.6	33.8	38.68	27.85	17.8	17.86	27.64	111.34	13.32	12.33	3.4	4.97	31.66	4.16	1.6	158.35	31.65	24.47	9.75	1.78	24.72	13.49	669.73
Totals	835.33	1623.24	1150.38	1019.12	917.64	1608.22	894.81	2558.23	845.59	1141.82	374.72	1302.06	1008.57	321.95	931.11	1270.98	841.03	794.47	481.14	1263.09	1267.04	825.54	1753.75	2400.52	2648.89	39231.22
Total Road Miles	1405.99	5802.31	1815.66	1666.3	1746.24	2300.5	1508.43	3593.55	1477.49	1959.72	780.26	2075.08	1674.44	667.67	1509.89	2099.83	1400.61	1181.08	1011.18	2035.51	2172.46	1365.04	2846.23	3611.5	3796.92	51467.71
# housing units per mile	79.1901	101.8095	17.7385	46.8549	13.6831	34.3099	42.6158	54.0772	13.8722	19.6023	27.3878	30.1193	38.0786	10.5906	10.8279	16.2378	15.9381	34.4151	20.5565	25.7620	17.4038	19.9240	12.7892	46.4301	47.3312	38.8634
Road per home	181	52	298	118	386	154	124	98	381	269	236	175	139	699	488	290	331	153	257	209	303	265	161	114	112	116